# MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN & LAND USE BYLAW

2023 REVIEW
ANNUAL REPORT &
MONITORING MATRIX



#### INTRODUCTION

Policy MUNI 8.3.2 of the County's *Municipal Development Plan* requires an annual review and report to test the success or failure of the policies within the *Municipal Development Plan* (MDP) and *Land Use Bylaw* (LUB), and evaluate whether the policies are meeting the plan's objectives. This monitoring matrix ensures transparent and best practice decision making occurs while applying these policies and regulations. The following are the findings broken down by section, followed by recommendations for proposed changes.



#### **OUR AGRICULTURAL COMMUNITY**

#### Objective: Protect and encourage the County's strong agricultural community

The foundation and defining attribute of Lacombe County is its rural character. Agriculture was identified as being one of the most important aspects of the County for the community at all levels – economically, culturally and environmentally. While Lacombe County has a strong agricultural sector, it still faces many issues such as slow to stagnant population growth, fragmentation of land through premature or unnecessary subdivision and competing uses.

This section aims to ensure that the rural character of Lacombe County is protected by supporting its agricultural community, safeguarding agricultural lands through responsible subdivision and development practices necessary to enable the agricultural community to succeed, while ensuring agriculture continues to thrive in Lacombe County by conserving large tracts of agricultural lands for future generations. The main focus of the policies is on subdivision and preventing the fragmentation and land use change from primary agriculture, while also supporting specialized agricultural operations that need less land.

#### **2023 Matrix Results:**

The percentage of land zoned Agricultural 'A' District in the County is still extremely high at 98.6%. There was one rezoning this year, which was required to facilitate a boundary adjustment subdivision for five residential lots in Kuusamo Krest (Sylvan Lake). This rezoned 0.218 hectares (0.539 acres) from the Agricultural 'A' District to the Residential Lake Area 'R-RLA' District, representing a miniscule change for land removed from agriculture.

In 2023, the County adopted the *South of Highway 11 (East of Haynes) Area Structure Plan*, which replaces the non-statutory *South of Highway 11 Country Residential Multi-Lot Outline Plan* (2001). This added 260 hectares (643 acres) to the inventory of land identified for non-agricultural uses in a statutory plan, bringing the total to 14.066 hectares (34.758 acres).

The total number of first parcel out subdivisions received was fifteen (15), and all were approved. The average size of the applications approved was 1.66 hectares (4.10 acres), which is a decrease from the average of 1.72 hectares (4.25 acres) last year. Whereas the default size of a first parcel out subdivision is 1.62 hectares (4 acres), the County has discretion to approve a maximum of 2.2 hectares (5.5 acres) subject to certain criteria. As such, the average size is well within the discretionary maximum.

The total number of fragmented parcel subdivisions received was four (4), and two (2) were approved. The average parcel size of approved applications was 12.78 hectares (31.58 acres). One (1) application was refused because it was not considered a fragmented parcel. Another one (1) application for two parcels severed by a trail right-of-way was refused because no policies allowed for such a subdivision. The refusal was appealed and the Land and Property Rights Tribunal (LPRT) granted subdivision approval due to the unique circumstance.

The total number of agricultural parcel subdivisions received was five (5) and all were approved. The average size of the applications approved was 9.06 hectares (22.39 acres). One application for a berry u-pick farm did not meet the agricultural subdivision policies because the business had not been established. A reduced parcel size was approved as a first parcel out subdivision. The decision was appealed and the Land and Property Rights Tribunal (LPRT) upheld the decision as there was no agricultural business on the site. In 2022 review, the County added a policy that requires agricultural subdivisions to follow the current or proposed expansion area of the agricultural operation. This policy change has eliminated the problem previously noted of some

agricultural subdivisions resulting in oversized parcels.

The total number of boundary adjustment subdivision applications received was three (3), and all were approved. Two (2) applications resulted in no increase in parcel size and one (1) application resulted in a decrease in size for the parcel to return land back to agricultural production. This conformed with the first parcel out subdivision policy of this section.

The County responded to Natural Resources Conservation Board (NRCB) referrals on one (1) new confined feeding operation and seven (7) expansions to existing operations.

#### Suggested actions based on the 2023 Matrix Results:

There are no suggested actions for the 2023 annual review, as all policies have been identified as "on-track".



Lands within the South of Highway 11 (East of Haynes) Area Strucutre Plan, 2022

Annual Review of the Lacombe County
Municipal Development Plan & Land Use Bylaw

## **OUR NATURAL ENVIRONMENT & RECREATION**



Objective: Demonstrate responsible stewardship of the County's natural environment and provide a variety of recreational opportunities

The aim of this section is to protect and enhance the County's natural capital and continue to provide for parks, recreation and other community services, building upon the County's green infrastructure that enhances the quality of life for County residents. A number of policies ensure that the County continues to protect its community from any potential hazards which may arise within a development or as result of a development. Ensuring the safe disposal of wastewater, safeguarding groundwater and the proper retention and filtration of stormwater are all fundamental to the responsible stewardship of the County's natural environment. The County has adopted several programs to inform the public and increase awareness and protection of the County's natural capital. Allowing the public to access natural recreational areas encourages environmental stewardship, and the County continues to accept donated lands to create passive recreation amenities that embrace natural areas

Municipal Reserve is an important tool for the provision of public amenities as it allows the County to ensure that a developer of a subdivision provides lands for public use, which also enhances the quality of a development by providing public space and buffer areas. Further, this tool is flexible in that the County is able to decide when and where to take the reserve/cash in lieu based on the overall value that it will add to the community. Cash-in-lieu of reserve land is typically required where it is determined that land is not required at present or in the future, and the money is placed in a bank account for future reserve lands or amenities. Reserves may also be deferred to the remainder lands to provide for a larger public amenity area in a future subdivision application.

One integral way of protecting the County's waterbodies and watercourses is through the incorporation of Environmental Reserve. As part of any second parcel subdivision, the County requires Environmental Reserve or Environmental Reserve easement, depending on the location or type of waterbody or watercourse. In developments where environmental reserve is required, municipal reserve is also required adjacent to it so that the County can incorporate trails along the water body or water feature.

#### 2023 Matrix Results:

There were no applications this year requiring a biophysical study, geotechnical study, environmental site assessment, or historical resources impact assessment.

No subdivision approvals required dedication of Municipal Reserve lands; however, \$2,318.78 was taken as cash-in-lieu for Municipal Reserve requirements. No applications required Environmental Reserve and one (1) application required Environmental Reserve Easements. The amount of Environmental Reserve Easement was 7.06 hectares (17.45 acres) along an unnamed watercourse. No subdivision had their Environmental Reserve or Environmental Reserve Easement requirements relaxed by the County.

No Municipal Reserve was taken adjacent to Environmental Reserve, as there were no applications with a combination of both Municipal Reserve and Environmental Reserve dedications.

No lands were donated to the County in 2023. To date, the County has received a total of 206.1 hectares (509.03

acres) of donated lands. The previously donated lands contribute to publicly accessible natural recreational areas. In September 2023, the County celebrated the official opening of Burns Nature Park, a 7.7 hectares (19.03 acres) nature sanctuary nestled on the shores of Sylvan Lake. These lands were donated by James and Patricia Burns in 2022.

In 2023, the County expanded park and open space related amenities significantly. This included 1.5 kilometers (0.93 miles) of new natural trails (Burns Nature Park) and 3.2 kilometers (1.99 miles) of new paved trail (Sandy Point Regional Trail). The County also added six (6) new signs to its inventory of educational signage, installed at Burns Nature Park. The topics covered by the signs have expanded to also include local history, Indigenous history, and a land acknowledgement - a result of the amendments made in the previous annual review. Garbage receptacles and benches have also been installed at Burns Nature Park.



Burns Nature Park view of Sylvan Lake, 2023



Burns Nature Park trail and signage, 2023



Trail to Sandy Point, 2023

There was one (1) municipal subdivision where Lacombe County initiated subdivision for municipal/public use purposes. The application was to create separate parcel to accommodate a lease for a wastewater treatment facility in Lincoln Ranch.

The County continues to encourage the farming community to participate in conservation projects to ensure the protection of ecosystem services and the continued environmental stewardship of the farming community. While the County no longer administers the Alternative Land Use Services (ALUS) program, ALUS is still active in our community and supported by Lacombe County. In the past year, the amount of land enrolled in ALUS in Lacombe County has more than doubled. To date, 285.54 hectares (705.58 acres) of land has been enrolled within Lacombe County, in 93 projects with 19 participants.

To compliment the range of general conservation projects supported, Agricultural Services continues to host educational events. This year two (2) conservation workshops were held and the County also hosted the 'Wonderful World of Wetlands', a youth engagement session.

The County's *Environmental Action Plan* has a number of initiatives to promote the County as an environmental steward, as well as encourage the community to be responsible stewards of the environment. Lacombe County's Environmental Stewardship Award provides recognition to a resident practicing environmental stewardship. Ward Nelson, the 2023 recipient, has added mitigative measures to his property to prevent livestock damage to the Medicine River. To keep his cattle and horses from using the river as a water source, Ward installed a solar-powered livestock watering system and constructed a dugout. Additionally, he has planted over 2000 tree seedlings along the riverbanks to restore the natural vegetation and enhance the stability of the riverbanks.

In 2023, the County adopted the *Parks and Reserves Management Bylaw* and updated the *Use and Management of County Reserve Lands Policy*. The new bylaw ensures that the County is able to address the unauthorized use of County reserve lands through education and enforcement. The *Municipal Development Plan* will need to be updated to refer to the new bylaw.

In the 2017 update of the *Municipal Development Plan*, the community identified public parks and open space as an important priority for the County to focus on.

Since 2017, Council has removed the three projects of a Parks and Open Space Master Plan, Gull Lake Area Structure Plan, and Buffalo Lake Area Structure Plan from the Long Range Planning Program. These projects are no longer necessary as the *Municipal Development Plan* and other planning documents like the *Gull Lake Intermunicipal Development Plan* already contain strategic direction for future development that has been serving this purpose since 2017. Also, despite not creating a Parks and Open Space Master Plan, the annual review shows that the County's open space and trail inventory continues to increase.

#### **Suggested actions based on the 2023 Matrix Results:**

- 1. Amend Section 4.6 of the *Municipal Development Plan* to refer to the *Parks and Reserves Management Bylaw*.
- 2. Remove policies requiring the Parks and Open Space Master Plan, Gull Lake Area Structure Plan, and Buffalo Lake Area Structure Plan in the *Municipal Development Plan*.

#### Objective: Diversify and support economic growth

The aim of this section is to facilitate an accessible, diverse and resilient economic climate in the County, taking advantage of our existing infrastructure and servicing, and providing for future endeavors large and small. A number of policies in this section ensure we continually grow and strengthen our economic base while protecting land for the continued success of the agricultural sector. The foundation of our commercial and industrial development strategy is that large scale industrial and commercial developments are located along major highways and urban fringe areas, while home based businesses can locate in the agricultural area to help support the agricultural community. A diverse rural economy can support traditional agriculture while also promoting economic synergies with tourism, supplemental farm business, and agricultural support services. Tourism is important to the economic development of the County, and plays a key role in harnessing and building upon the culture of the area.

An important component of the County's economic growth is the clustering of commercial and industrial developments to help create synergies. Not only does this clustering allow the County to achieve its development goal, but it also positively impacts various industries as they continue to co-locate for mutually beneficial reasons.

The Development Incentive Grant was piloted since 2018 in the Hamlet of Mirror to encourage small businesses to locate in the hamlet, and in 2019 staff implemented a communication strategy to promote the grant program. In 2020 Council decided to extend the program for five (5) more years.

The County continues to support and encourage the incorporation of crime prevention principles to help mitigate crime in our community. Crime Prevention through Environmental Design (CPTED) sets out easy to incorporate design principles, such as landscaping, fencing or lighting for your property, which can greatly reduce the chance of a crime occurring.

#### 2023 Matrix Results:

2023 was an instrumental year for the enhancement of the County's economic development program. This year, the County completed the *Economic Development Strategy*, which has been a high priority document for County Council. This document will guide the County's future economic development initiatives. In line with the direction of the *Economic Development Strategy*, in the previous year's review, the County identified several changes to the *Municipal Development Plan* and *Land Use Bylaw* to simply the County's approval process and help reduce barriers for businesses and entrepreneurs. In this review, staff have conducted a review of uses listed in the commercial and industrial districts of the *Land Use Bylaw*, and have done a cleanup of terms and definitions to ensure clarity for business investors on the types of uses that can be considered in each district.

An agri-industrial business that specializes in the manufacturing and selling of dairy farm equipment to local dairy producers opened a new location in North Aspelund Industrial Park this year. Further, a \$70 million dairy processing facility began construction in South Aspelund Industrial Park in 2023. This facility is co-owned by five western milk marketing boards (Alberta Milk, SaskMilk, Dairy Farmers of Manitoba, B.C. Milk Marketing Board and B.C. Dairy Association) which are all members of the Western Dairy Pool. It is the first facility of its kind in Canada and will concentrate milk from local producers to be sold to national and international markets.



Dairy Innovation West

Concentrating the milk reduces the transportation required and emissions, cutting costs which improve the bottom line for producers.

All five (5) of the County's major commercial and industrial parks are located along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas. These include Wild Rose Commercial Park, North Aspelund Industrial Park, South Aspelund Industrial Park, Burbank Industrial Park, and Iron Rail Business Park. To date, development approvals are in line with our location strategy.

In 2023, the County was successful in obtaining two major economic development grants. In Spring, we obtained the Northern Rural Economic Development (NRED) grant (\$30,000) from the Government of Alberta for the purposes of creating a business retention and attraction strategy. The County also obtained the Rural Economic Development Capacity-Building Micro-Grant from the Rural Municipalities of Alberta (\$10,000) to create a series of videos promoting Lacombe County to Alberta-wide audiences. These videos showcase Lacombe County in six theme areas: (1) general - welcome to Lacombe County; (2) agriculture in Lacombe County; (3) nature in Lacombe County; (4) recreation in Lacombe County; (5) living in Lacombe County; and (6) doing business in Lacombe County.

In our agricultural and residential areas, there were seventeen (17) home based business applications, and seven (7) minor business or trades applications this year.

Four (4) applications were received for businesses that support or facilitate tourism this year. The applications included three (3) eating and drinking establishments and one (1) special event.

Natural resources are an important part of the economy and play a fundamental part of not only the County's economy, but also the Province. There were three (3) existing gravel pits renewed with no changes this year, and three (3) existing pits renewed with expansions to their previous approval.

As recommended in the 2022 review, County staff have revamped the branding and advertising for the Hamlet of Mirror Development Incentive Grant. This includes a refreshed, easy-to-read information package and updated social media and newspaper advertising. While we have not received any uptake of the program in 2023, we have received numerous inquiries about the program from Mirror residents.

Several initiatives are also ongoing where the County supports its tourist assets, including Policy RC(10) which provides operating support to historical, cultural, tourism and visitor information facilities, and services, and Policy RC(1) which provides funding for community facilities. This year, nine (9) *Policy RC(1)* applications were submitted, and Council approved funding to support community facilities totaling \$259,640.52. Seven (7) *Policy RC(10)* applications were also submitted, and Council approved funding to support historical and cultural tourism services and groups totaling \$171,825.43.

#### Suggested actions based on the 2023 Matrix Results:


- 1. Incorporate reference to the Council endorsed *Economic Development Strategy* in the *Municipal Development Plan*.
- 2. Review the list of uses and their associated definitions in commercial and industrial districts in the *Land Use Bylaw* to ensure clarity for business investors on the types of uses that can be considered in each district.



NOVA Chemicals, Joffre Plant Facility



Deep Roots Farm



Gull Lake Honey Company



#### **OUR BUILT ENVIRONMENT**

# Objective: Ensure compatible and responsible development of the County's built environment

The aim of this section is to retain the County's population and attract new members to our community through the provision of a variety of residential options which are affordable, environmentally conscious and geographically strategic. The County's housing strategy firstly focuses developments into hamlets, secondly near existing multi-lot developments, and thirdly areas identified as being suitable for residential development. The strategy's aim is to protect agricultural land from subdivision and acreage pressure, and help strengthen and grow hamlet communities.

A large number of our current multi-lot residential developments were rezoned and subdivided prior to 2017, creating a large inventory of available residential lots. Since 2017, there has been fourteen (14) new dwellings in a Hamlet residential district, ninety eight (98) new dwellings in a Recreational Vehicle Resort 'R-RVR' District, and sixty six (66) new dwellings in other residential districts. The economic climate may be playing a part in the slower up-take of the County's current multi-lot developments, but we continue to see an increase in multi-lot development permits compared to previous years, which is positive.

#### 2023 Matrix Results:

In terms of development approvals in 2023, the majority of housing approved this year is still within the County's Agricultural 'A' District with twenty three (23) first dwellings and eight (8) second dwellings. Three (3) of the agriculture second dwellings were located on a property less than 40 acres in size, two (2) for compassionate care, and one (1) replacement dwelling. One (1) dwelling was approved in a Hamlet residential district, twelve (12) dwellings were approved in a Recreational Vehicle Resort 'R-RVR' District, and eleven (11) dwellings were approved in other residential districts.

There is still a strong trend of agricultural land being the primary area for residential development, which reflects the culture of our area as an agricultural community. Since 2017, there has been two hundred and eighty seven (287) new dwellings in the Agricultural 'A' District. During the public consultation to amend the *Municipal Development Plan* in 2017, we heard a desire from the community to allow more dwellings in the agricultural area. At that time, Council chose to take a gradual approach to expanding dwellings allowed in the agricultural area, and reduced the minimum parcel size for two dwellings from 32.36 hectares (80 acres) to 16.18 hectares (40 acres). The intent of the gradual approach was to reduce the minimum parcel size for two dwellings incrementally and monitor results. In late 2023, Council chose to undertake specific community consultation regarding the minimum parcel size for two dwellings in the Agricultural 'A' District. This consultation and bylaw changes will be reported on in the 2024 annual review.

#### Suggested actions based on the 2023 Matrix Results:

There are no suggested actions for the 2023 annual review, as all policies have been identified as "on-track".

#### Objective: Support innovative and efficient infrastructure and technologies

The aim of this section is to ensure the continued strength and tradition of County infrastructure and service provision to County residents and businesses by building efficiency within our road system, ensuring the utilization of communal and/or regional water and wastewater systems, and maintaining a high standard for stormwater management.

The County's road infrastructure is integral to the economic success of the County and development can often place additional pressure on the existing road infrastructure; therefore, the *Municipal Development Plan* and *Land Use Bylaw* set out numerous policies and regulations to ensure the highest level of service for County residents.

Regional and communal water and wastewater are important considerations to the future protection of the environment and a consistent source of waste disposal and water provision for our industries. The County sets out policies to work with and cooperate with neighbouring municipalities to provide regional services. The County is also part of water and wastewater commissions, which provide regional servicing in the County. Where regional water and wastewater services are not available, all new multi-lot development is required to install communal systems.

The policies on stormwater management were increased as part of the adoption of the *Municipal Development Plan* and *Land Use Bylaw* in 2017. Developers are now required to provide constructed wetlands or use existing wetlands for the retention/detention of stormwater from developments. These systems allow for longer retention rates and more filtration and removal of particulates, which leads to cleaner water entering the natural system.

Lacombe County aspires to be at the forefront of policy initiatives that aims to encourage and support the use of alternative energy and green technologies which benefit our community and contribute to a healthier economic and environmental climate.

#### **2023 Matrix Results:**

One (1) application completed a traffic impact review this year to evaluate the potential impacts of traffic generated by a proposed development to the surrounding transportation system (DOW Rezoning and subdivision). The rail yard expansion project will not have an impact on the traffic operations, nor the capacity of the adjacent road network.

Two regional servicing projects were undertaken this year:

- Collaboration with the Town of Blackfalds on water reservoir upgrades and installation of larger wastewater line through Blackfalds for the North and South Aspelund Industrial Parks.
- Completion of Highway 12/21 Edberg and Clive water lines

One (1) application completed a stormwater management plan this year (DOW Rezoning and subdivision). The existing drainage system at the site is adequate to handle the proposed rail yard expansion.

Demonstrating our support to alternative energy, Lacombe County installed 288 - 400 W solar panels on the roof of our public works shop in 2021. In the first year of operation (2022), the system produced 110 MWh of electricity, which equates to powering just over 15 Albertan homes for a year. In the second full year of operation (2023), the system produced 133.01 MWh of electricity, which equates to powering just over 18 Albertan homes for a year. This equals an average 37.8% less electricity used from the grid for the public works shop, an estimated \$10,100 in savings, and 52,140 kg in carbon emissions saved.

Six (6) development permit applications included solar components on the roof. It is important to note that personal alternative energy systems are not required to obtain a development permit.

#### Suggested actions based on the 2023 Matrix Results:

There are no suggested actions for the 2023 annual review, as all policies have been identified as "on-track".



Rural road, Division 5

#### **Objective: Foster Strong Municipal Leadership**

The aim of this section is to embody a set of values and best practices aimed at instilling trust and confidence in Lacombe County not only as a municipal leader and partner, but also as a role model in the community; accountability, transparency, communication, and continued education are all integral to building a foundation on which we can achieve this.

The policies in this section are to ensure that the County is transparent and accountable in terms of the implementation of its policies. The success of the *Municipal Development Plan* is also predicated on strong relationships with adjacent municipalities. The County has a long tradition of partnerships with its neighbours which it will continue and build on as economic success, environmental stewardship and vibrant communities have no borders, and are most successful when they work together.

As the *Municipal Development Plan* and *Land Use Bylaw* are 'living documents', their effectiveness needs to be monitored and evaluated over time. The County carries out a full review each year and publishes the monitoring matrix to communicate how the overall community vision is being supported.

Recent years have highlighted the national effort towards reconciliation with Indigenous peoples as a pressing issue. The findings of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission have framed the reconciliation conversation nationally. Municipalities have an opportunity and responsibility to contribute to reconciliation, as their work connects land, people, and governance. Municipal practices involving Indigenous peoples must be built on relationships of mutual respect, trust, dialogue, and meaningful engagement. Recognition of the rights of Indigenous peoples is essential to reconciliation, and municipalities should champion collaborative approaches that respect those rights.

To practice municipal land-use planning in a way that honors reconciliation, it is imperative to:

- Demonstrate awareness and respect for Indigenous community values, cultural practices, local decision-making systems, and principles of self-determination;
- Seek to understand, value, and support Indigenous planning systems and processes;
- Understand that the harmful legacy of colonialism has continuing, intergenerational impacts on Indigenous peoples and communities;
- Practice with cultural safety and cultural humility through active listening, learning, and understanding to confront and eliminate biases.

#### **2023 Matrix Results:**

The monitoring matrix will be presented to Council on May 9, 2024. Based on the matrix review, there are three (3) suggested actions for *Municipal Development Plan* amendments and one (1) suggested action for *Land Use Bylaw* amendments. In addition there were three (3) public requests for changes. The details of the proposed amendments based on the matrix review are included under 'Suggested Actions based on the Matrix Results' throughout this report.

The County did not complete any new or updated Intermunicipal Development Plans this year, as significant work has been done on these projects in recent years. Two (2) new Joint-Use Agreements were created (Fire Services Agreement with the City of Lacombe and Wastewater Trunk Line Funding Agreement with the Town of Blackfalds) and one (1) Joint-Use Agreement was updated this year (JEA with the Town of Blackfalds).

The total spent by the County as a result of all its Joint-Use Agreements was \$3,054,770.64, which shows our continued dedication to municipal partnerships. Nine (9) development and two (2) subdivision applications were circulated for comment to help ensure conflict is avoided between municipalities.

Lacombe County commemorated the National Day for Truth and Reconciliation this year by hosting a presentation by Beverly Keeshig-Soonias on the 'Oral Tradition as Part of Our Identity'. Lacombe County also presented a virtual event to staff, featuring one of our own staff members, the Cardinal family 'Intergeneration Resilience: Sharing Stories From Families of Residential School Survivors'. The presentation was a video documenting the familiy's discussion with their father, a residential school survivor, and focuses on their resilience. A further commitment to learning this year was evident through the number of educational opportunities taken or books read by planning staff.

#### Suggested actions based on the 2023 Matrix Results:

There are no suggested actions for the 2023 annual review, as all policies have been identified as "on-track".

# **OUR AGRICULTURAL COMMUNITY**

|                |                                       |  |  |  | d ansaurana the Country   |  |   |  |  |  |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
|                |                                       |  | _  | Objective: Protect and   | d encourage the County  | 's strong agricultural co  | mmunity   |  |  |  |
| Policy         | Related<br>Supporting<br>Statement(s) | How is success and/or implementation measured?   | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,<br>2017)  | Findings (2018)  | Findings (2019)   | Findings (2020)  | Findings (2021)   | Findings (2022)  | Findings (2023)  | How are we doing?  Room for On-track Improvement |
| 3.3.1          | 1, 2                                  | Percentage of land in Lacombe County zoned<br>Agricultural 'A' District  | 98.68%   | 98.65%   | 98.65%  | 98.63%   | 98.63%  | 98.6%  | 98.6%  | ✓  |
| 3.3.2          | 1, 2, 4                               | Amount of land removed from agricultural use for current year  | 43.57 hectares (107.66 acres)  | 127.75 hectares (315.68 acres)   | 53.69 hectares (132.67 acres)   | 84.21 hectares (208.09 acres)  | 60.17 hectares (148.68 acres)   | 91.19 hectares (225.34 acres)  | 29.43 hectares (72.72 acres)   | ✓  |
| 3.3.3          | 1                                     | Staff will undertake annual review of MDP policies alongside Agricultural Guide  | Completed - no findings  | Completed - no findings  | Completed - no findings   | Completed - no findings  | Completed - no findings   | Completed - no findings  | Completed - no findings  | $\checkmark$                                     |
| 3.3.4          | 1, 2, 3                               | How much land has been identified for non-<br>agricultural uses vs. how much land has been<br>rezoned (total existing at the time)  Percentage of land identified for non-agricultural | 13,806 hectares (34,115 acres) identified for non-agricultural uses vs. 3,713 hectares (9,175 acres) rezoned | 13,806 hectares (34,115 acres) identified for non-agricultural uses vs. 3,791 hectares (9,370 acres) rezoned | 13,806 hectares (34,115 acres) identified for non-agricultural uses vs. 3,802 hectares (9,396 acres) rezoned                      | 13,806 hectares (34,115 acres) identified for non-agricultural uses vs. 3,863 hectares (9,545 acres) rezoned | 13,806 hectares (34,115 acres) identified for non-agricultural uses vs. 3,863 hectares (9,545 acres) rezoned  | 13,806 hectares (34,115 acres) identified for non-agricultural uses vs. 3,925 hectares (9,698 acres) rezoned | 14,066 hectares (34,758 acres) identified for non-agricultural uses vs. 3,925 hectares (9,699 acres) rezoned             | ✓  |
|                |                                       | uses remaining to be rezoned  Annual review of impacts and/or changes observed   | 73% identified lands remaining to be rezoned   | 73% identified lands remaining to be rezoned   | 73% identified lands remaining to be rezoned  | 72% identified lands remaining to be rezoned   | 72% identified lands remaining to be rezoned  | 72% identified lands remaining to be rezoned   | 72% identified lands remaining to be rezoned   |  |
|                |                                       | in areas around rezoned lands  | No changes for 2017  | No changes for 2018  | No changes for 2019   | No changes for 2020  | No changes for 2021   | No changes for 2022  | No changes for 2023  |  |
| 3.3.5          | 1, 2, 3                               | Number of subdivisions approved which are larger than the maximum permitted parcel size for current year   | 0 applications   | 0 applications   | 0 applications  | 0 applications   | 0 applications  | 0 applications   | 0 applications   | ✓  |
|                |                                       | Annual number of development appeals in the Agricultural 'A' District for current year   | 1 application  | 2 applications (1 agricultural<br>service facility and 1 cannabis<br>production facility)                    | 3 applications (1 sand<br>and gravel extraction and<br>processing, 1 outdoor<br>recreation facility, and 1<br>setback relaxation) | 1 application (community facility)   | None  | 1 application (major home<br>based business - mobile<br>butcher shop)  | 4 applications (1 minor business or trade; 1 special event; 1 riding and boarding facility; 1 major home based business) |  |
| 3.4.1          | 3                                     | Number of subdivision refusals by the Subdivision<br>Authority vs. total number of applications, for current<br>year   | 5 refused vs. 24 applications  | 2 refused vs. 43 applications  | 3 refused vs. 35 applications   | 2 refused vs. 28 applications  | 5 refused vs 50 applications  | 2 refused vs 32 applications   | 2 refused vs 29 applications   | ✓  |
|                |                                       | Number of applicant appeals vs. total number of applications for subdivision, for current year   | 3 appeals vs. 24 applications  | 2 appeals vs. 43 applications  | 1 appeal vs. 35 applications  | 2 appeals vs. 28 applications  | 5 appeals vs 50 applications  | 3 appeals vs. 32 applications  | 2 appeals vs. 29 applications  |  |
| 3.5.1<br>3.5.2 | 3                                     | Number of first parcel out subdivision applications approved vs. number refused, for current year  | 9 approved vs. 0 refused   | 20 approved vs. 0 refused  | 17 approved vs. 0 refused   | 14 approved vs. 0 refused  | 21 approved vs 1 refused<br>Reason for refusal: Proposed  | 16 approved vs. 0 refused  | 15 approved vs 0 refused   | <b>√</b>   |
| 3.5.3          |                                       | Average size of an approved first parcel out subdivision for current year  | 1.73 hectares (4.27 acres)   | 1.69 hectares (4.18 acres)   | 1.67 hectares (4.13 acres)  | 1.72 hectares (4.25 acres)   | parcel did not contain a residence, as required under Policy AG 3.5.1(a). The refusal was appealed, and the Land and Property Rights Tribunal (LPRT) upheld the decision to refuse.  1.64 hectares (4.05 acres) | 1.72 hectares (4.25 acres)   | 1.66 hectares (4.10 acres)   |  |

# **OUR AGRICULTURAL COMMUNITY**

|         |                       |  |  | Objective: Protect and   | d encourage the County | 's strong agricultural c                                | ommunity  |   |  |                         |           |
|---------|-----------------------|--|--|--|------------------------|---|---|---|--|-------------------------|-----------|
| Policy  | Related<br>Supporting | How is success and/or implementation   | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,   | Findings (2018)  | Findings (2019)        | Findings (2020)   | Findings (2021)   | Findings (2022)   | Findings (2023)  | How are \               | we doing? |
|         | statement(s)          | measured?  | 2017)  |  |                        |   |   |   |  | Room for<br>Improvement | On-track  |
| 3.6.1 3 |                       | Number of fragmented parcel subdivisions approved vs. number refused, for current year  Average size of an approved fragmented parcel subdivision for current year | A approved vs. 3 refused Reasons for refusal: Applications were refused as they were not considered fragmented parcels. The refusals were appealed and the Municipal Government Board (MGB) upheld the Subdivision Authority's decision on 3 applications 12.43 hectares (30.72 acres) | Reasons for refusal: Applications were refused as they were not considered fragmented parcels. The refusal was appealed and the Municipal Government Board (MGB) upheld the Subdivision Authority's decision  Note: There were 2 other applications (not reported in the numbers above) that had no decision made, as the applicants withdrew the application prior to a decision being made. The reasons for withdrawal were that under a strict interpretation of Policy AG 3.6.1 the applications were not eligible for fragmented parcel subdivision, as they would create more than three (3) titles on the quarter section, and the applicants preferred to withdraw the application prior to refusal.  12.42 hectares (30.69 acres) |                        | 2 approved vs. 0 refused<br>8.29 hectares (20.49 acres) | 8 approved vs 4 refused Reasons for refusal: Proposed parcels were not considered fragmented parcels. 3 refusals were appealed, and the Land and Property Rights Tribunal (LPRT) upheld the decision on 1 application to refuse, and granted the subdivision approval on 2 applications. It was noted the lack of a definition for an 'undeveloped right-of-way' led to one appeal board decision.  21.78 hectares (53.8 acres) | 4 approved vs. 1 refused Reason for refusal: Proposed parcel was not considered a fragmented parcel. The refusal was appealed and the Land and Property Rights Tribunal (LPRT) upheld the Subdivision Authority's decision 27.96 hectares (69.09 acres) | 2 approved vs. 2 refused Reason for refusal: One application was for a proposed subdivision of two parcels severed by a trail right-of-way. The application was refused as no policies allowed for such a subdivision. The refusal was appealed and the Land and Property Rights Tribunal (LPRT) granted the subdivision approval due to the unique circumstance.  Another application for a fragmented parcel was refused as the parcel was not considered a fragmented parcel.  12.78 hectares (31.58 acres) |                         |           |

# **OUR AGRICULTURAL COMMUNITY**

|        |                       |  |  | Objective: Protect and  | d encourage the County   | 's strong agricultural co   | ommunity   |   |  |                                  |
|--------|-----------------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|----------------------------------|
| Policy | Related<br>Supporting | How is success and/or implementation   | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,   | Findings (2018)   | Findings (2019)  | Findings (2020)   | Findings (2021)  | Findings (2022)   | Findings (2023)  | How are we doing?                |
| rollcy | Statement(s)          | measured?  | 2017)  | Tilidiligs (2010)   | Tillulitys (2019)  | i iliuliigs (2020)  | rinaings (2021)  | i iriuiriys (2022)  | i iliuliigs (2023)   | Room for<br>Improvement On-track |
| 3.7.1  | 1, 3, 4               | Number of agricultural parcel subdivisions approved vs. number refused, for current year  Average size of an approved agricultural parcel subdivision, common types of operations, for current year  | 1 approved vs. 2 refused Reasons for refusal: Custom farming service application refused as it did not meet the definition of an agricultural operation. The refusal was appealed to the Municipal Government Board (MGB) and the Subdivision Authority's decision was upheld.  Performance horse breeding application refused as it was deemed not to meet the 75% established criteria. The refusal was appealed and the Municipal Government Board (MGB) approved the subdivision since it was satisfied the business is established.  13 hectares (32.12 acres) for an existing sheep farm | 2 approved vs. 0 refused 4 hectares (9.88 acres) for an existing hog farm and existing horse boarding operation | 1 approved vs. 0 refused 16.18 hectares (40 acres) for an existing U-pick berry farm | 3 approved vs. 0 refused 8.60 hectares (21.25 acres) for an existing tree farm, horse breeding operation, and a horse training operation  | 0 applications   | 4 approved vs. 0 refused 15.28 hectares (37.75 acres) for a greenhouse expansion, existing tree farm, existing lambing operation, and existing horse boarding facility 3 applications followed boundaries larger than the existing use or proposed expansion area | 5 approved vs 0 refused 9.06 hectares (22.39 acres) for a poultry operation, tree farm, garlic farm, and horse ranch. One application for a berry u-pick farm did not meet the agricultural subdivision policies because the business had not been established. A reduced parcel size was approved as a first parcel out subdivision. The decision was appealed and the Land and Property Rights Tribunal (LPRT) upheld the decision. In the previous review, the County added a policy that requires agricultural subdivisions to follow the current or proposed expansion area of the agricultural operation | improvement •                    |
| 3.8.1  | 1, 2, 3               | Number of boundary adjustment subdivisions approved vs. number refused, for current year  Number of boundary adjustment subdivisions approved that did not conform to other subdivision policies in this Section  Number of boundary adjustment subdivisions approved that resulted in a net increase in size of either parcel | No review  (policy was inserted August 8, 2019)  | No review  (policy was inserted August 8, 2019)   | 2 approved vs. 1 refused 0 applications 0 applications                               | 4 approved vs. 0 refused 0 applications 1 application increased in size, however conformed to other subdivision policies in this section Inquiries to the County have indicated the policy as worded leads to confusion | 5 approved vs 0 refused  2 applications resulted in no increase in either parcel size  3 applications increased in size, however conformed to other subdivision policies in this section (1 application conformed to fragmented parcel subdivision, 1 application conformed to agricultural parcel subdivision, and 1 application conformed to first parcel out subdivision) | 2 approved vs. 0 refused  1 application resulted in no increase in either parcel size  1 application increased in size, however conformed to other subdivision policies in this section (fragmented parcel subdivision)   | 3 approved vs. 0 refused  2 applications resulted in no increase in either parcel size  1 application resulted in a decrease in size for the parcel to return land back to agricultural production and conformed to the first parcel out subdivision policy in this section  |                                  |
| 3.9.1  | 1, 4                  | Number of NRCB referrals for new confined feeding operations for current year  Number of NRCB referrals for expanded confined feeding operations for current year  | 0 referrals<br>7 referrals   | 6 referrals<br>18 referrals   | 2 referrals 10 referrals   | 2 referrals<br>6 referrals  | 5 referrals 6 referrals  | 3 referrals 4 referrals   | 1 referral 7 referrals   | ✓                                |

|                |                                   | Objective: Demonstrate responsible stewardship of the County's natural environment  and provide a variety of recreational opportunities   |  |   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Polic          | Related y Supporting Statement(s) | How is success and/or implementation measured?  | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,<br>2017)  | Findings (2018)   | Findings (2019)  | Findings (2020)  | Findings (2021)   | Findings (2022)  | Findings (2023)  | How are we doing?  Room for Improvement On-track |  |  |
| 4.3.1          | 1, 2                              | Number of subdivision applications conditionally approved with Environmental Reserve (ER) dedication required for current year  | 2 applications   | 1 application   | 1 application  | 1 application  | 0 applications  | 0 applications   | 0 applications   | ✓  |  |  |
|                |                                   | Number of subdivision applications conditionally approved with Environmental Reserve Easement   | 0 applications   | 2 applications  | 5 applications   | 1 application  | 4 applications 1 on Parlby Creek, 1 on                  | 2 applications   | 1 application  |  |  |  |
|                |                                   | (ERE) dedication required for current year<br>Most common waterbodies/courses where<br>Environmental Reserve (ER) or Environmental Reserve<br>Easement (ERE) taken for current year | No applications on named waterbodies/watercourses  | No applications on named waterbodies/watercourses   | 1 tributary to Blindman River,<br>1 tributary to Whelp Brook,<br>1 on Whelp Brook, others<br>on unnamed waterbodies/<br>watercourses | 1 on Haynes Creek, 1 on an<br>unnamed waterbody                                | Whelp Brook, 2 on unnamed watercourses                  | 1 on Medicine River; 1 on unnamed watercourse  | 1 on unnamed watercourse   |  |  |  |
| 4.3.2          | 1, 2                              | Number of development permits affected or proximal to a waterbody/course  Number of development permits which have had the  | 23 applications with environmentally sensitive features  | 55 applications with environmentally sensitive features   | 21 applications with environmentally sensitive features  | 30 applications with environmentally sensitive features                        | 19 applications with environmentally sensitive features | 5 applications with environmentally sensitive features   | 10 applications with environmentally sensitive features                          | ✓  |  |  |
|                |                                   | minimum 30m setback relaxed   | 0 applications   | 0 applications  | 0 applications   | 0 applications   | 0 applications  | Note: The County has adjusted the way it tallies this metric to only include developments that are influenced by nearby waterbodies and wetlands  0 applications | 0 applications   |  |  |  |
| 4.3.3          | 1, 2                              | Number of applications considered which required a geotechnical report/study for current year   | 4 applications (Burbank<br>Heights, Lincoln Ranch, The<br>Nursery Golf Course and<br>Country Club, Procor) | 2 applications (Resubdivision of<br>existing residential lot in Milton<br>area, and North Aspelund<br>Industrial Park - Phase 1 and<br>Phase 2) | 2 applications (fragmented parcel subdivision and Transand Inc.)   | 1 application (resubdivision of<br>existing residential lot in Milton<br>area) | 0 applications  | 0 applications   | 0 applications   | ✓  |  |  |
| 4.3.4<br>4.3.5 | 3, 4                              | Total amount of Environmental Reserve (ER) dedication included in conditionally approved applications for current year  | 1.52 hectares (3.7 acres)  | 6.52 hectares (3.70 acres)  | 6.07 hectares (15 acres)   | 3.84 hectares (9.5 acres)  | 0 hectares (0 acres) 0 hectares (0 acres)               | 0 hectares (0 acres)   | 0 hectares (0 acres)   | ✓  |  |  |
|                |                                   | Total amount of Municipal Reserve (MR) dedication adjacent to Environmental Reserve (ER), included in conditionally approved applications for current year                          | 2.05 hectares (5.07 acres)   | 1.53 hectares (3.79 acres)  | 0 hectares (0 acres)   | 0 hectares (0 acres)   |   | 0 hectares (0 acres)   | 0 hectares (0 acres)   |  |  |  |
| 4.3.6          | 3, 4                              | Total dollar amount of public access levy received for current year  Current lake access/improvements underway using these dollars  | \$0<br>No new lake access projects<br>underway   | \$0<br>No new lake access projects<br>underway  | \$0<br>No new lake access projects<br>underway   | \$77,500<br>No new lake access projects<br>underway                            | \$0<br>No new lake access projects<br>underway          | \$0<br>No new lake access projects<br>underway   | \$0 Funds from the lake access reserve were used for amenity improvements to the | ✓  |  |  |
| 4.3.7<br>4.3.8 | 1, 2                              | Administrative review of alignment with provincial legislation  | Completed - no findings  | Completed - no findings   | Completed - no findings  | Completed - no findings  | Completed - no findings                                 | Completed - no findings  | Sunbreaker Cove Boat Launch Completed - no findings                              | ✓  |  |  |

|  |  | Ob  | ojective: Demonstrate re<br>and prov  | esponsible stewardship<br>vide a variety of recreat  |   | environment   |   |  |  |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| Related Policy Supporting Statement(s) | How is success and/or implementation measured?   | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,<br>2017)   | Findings (2018)   | Findings (2019)  | Findings (2020)   | Findings (2021)   | Findings (2022)   | Findings (2023)  | How are we doing?  Room for Improvement On-track |
| 4.3.9 1, 2                             | Total number of naturalized stormwater management facilities included in conditionally approved applications for current year  Compliance with County Standards for Stormwater Management Facilities  Current projects or initiatives which support these policies | 1 naturalized stormwater management facility (Burbank Heights)  Yes compliant  Projects/Initiatives: County's Environmental Management Plan, Environmental Improvement Grant, Sylvan Lake Management Committee, Take it Off Program on Gull Lake/Buffalo Lake/Sylvan Lake, Alternative Land Use Services (ALUS), Crooker Aggregate Pit Wetland Creation, Dedicating donated lands to park spaces (Such as Kuhnen Park, Anderson Park, Mary & Cliff Soper Natural Area), OHV trails, Environmental & Protective Services Department workshop opportunities for community members | Lake Management Committee, Take it Off Program on Gull Lake/Buffalo Lake/Sylvan Lake, Alternative Land Use Services (ALUS), Crooker Aggregate Pit Wetland Creation, Dedicating donated lands to park spaces, OHV trails, Environmental & Protective Services Department | O naturalized stormwater management facility (Transand Inc. stormwater system is proposed to be a dry pond because the footprint of the pond is less than what is recommended to sustain a wet pond).  Yes compliant  Projects/Initiatives: County's Environmental Management Plan, Environmental Improvement Grant, Sylvan Lake Management Committee, Take it Off Program on Gull Lake/Buffalo Lake/Sylvan Lake, Alternative Land Use Services (ALUS), Crooker Aggregate Pit Wetland Creation, Dedicating donated lands to park spaces, OHV trails, Environmental & Protective Services Department workshop opportunities for community members | No applications had stormwater management facilities  Yes compliant  Projects/Initiatives: County's Environmental Management Plan, Environmental Improvement Grant, Sylvan Lake Management Committee, Take it Off Program on Gull Lake/Buffalo Lake/Sylvan Lake, Alternative Land Use Services (ALUS), Crooker Aggregate Pit Wetland Creation, Dedicating donated lands to park spaces, OHV trails, Environmental & Protective Services Department workshop opportunities for community members | Environmental Sustainability Program (rain barrels), Sylvan Lake Management Committee, Take it Off education initiative on Gull Lake/Buffalo Lake/ Sylvan Lake, Alternative Land Use Services (ALUS), Crooker Aggregate Pit Wetland Creation, Dedicating donated lands to park spaces, OHV trails, Environmental & Protective | Projects/Initiatives: County's Environmental Management Plan, Environmental Action Plan Environmental Stewardship Award, Resident | Plan, AD (33) Environmental Community Engagement Policy, RC (8) Use and Management of County Reserve Lands, 1398/23 Parks and Reserves Management Bylaw, Crooker Aggregate Pit Wetland Creation, dedicating donated lands to park spaces, designated OHV trails, and Environmental & Protective Services Department workshop opportunities for community members |  |



on reserve land

# Objective: Demonstrate responsible stewardship of the County's natural environment and provide a variety of recreational opportunities

|  |                                 |   |                                     | and prov  | ide a variety of recreati   | onal opportunities |                 |   |                 |  |
|--|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|---|--------------------|-----------------|---|-----------------|--|
| Policy   | Related Supporting Statement(s) | How is success and/or implementation measured?  | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,<br>2017) | Findings (2018)   | Findings (2019)   | Findings (2020)    | Findings (2021) | Findings (2022)   | Findings (2023) | How are we doing?  Room for Improvement On-track |
| 4.4.1<br>4.4.2<br>4.4.3<br>4.4.4<br>4.4.5<br>4.4.6 | 1, 2                            | Number of applications considered which required a biophysical assessment for current year  Notable findings and/or recommendations which influenced the development  Description of the "net gain/loss" of any natural features present on notable sites |                                     | northeast wetlands (Wetlands 1, 2 and 3) to be utilized as a stormwater management facility when development of the rest of the quarter proceeds.  A detailed Wetland Assessment and Impact Report, and a Biophysical Impact Report, will be required for North Aspelund Industrial Park - Phase 2. | Transand Inc.: Two Biophysical assessments were conducted by Maywood Environmental Ltd. in March and May of 2019. The on-site vegetation consists of heavily grazed tame pasture species with trembling aspen, balsam poplar, red osier dogwood, saskatoon, and prickly wild rose, wolf willow, buckbrush, sagebrush buttercup, smooth brome, pasture sage, prairie sage and other species typically found in the central parkland natural sub-region. There were no visual occurrences of rare or listed plant species within the project foot print during the field visit. The grazing intensity and timing of the assessment indicates a low potential for rare plant occurrences to be within the project footprint. A Fisheries and Wildlife Management Information System (FWMIS) search highlighted the area as Sharp Tailed Grouse Habitat and Sensitive Raptor-Bald Eagle range, but none of the species identified in the search were observed at the time of the assessment. A number of wetlands were identified in the report; however, none of these are within the project footprint. |                    | 0 applications  | 2 applications (Palm Cove rezoning and Southeast Bentley Area Structure Plan)  Palm Cove: An update to a previous biophysical assessment was completed, and there was no major changes from the original stormwater management plan.  Southeast Bentley Area Structure Plan: Lacombe County and the Town of Bentley completed a biophysical assessment to inform the creation of the Southeast Bentley Area Structure Plan; however, this was a part of the County's Long Range Planning Program and not a result of an application.  The assessment found that 96% of the Plan Area has been converted from its natural aspen parkland state. No sensitive or rare plant or animal species were identified in this area.  Only a few remnant aspen/spruce forests exist, accounting for 3% of the Plan Area. The remaining 1% consists of largely temporary, Class III, graminoid marshes. | O applications  |  |

|                |                                       |  | Ob  | jective: Demonstrate re<br>and prov             | esponsible stewardship<br>ride a variety of recreat |                 | environment  |  |   |  |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|-----------------|--|--|---|--|
| Policy         | Related<br>Supporting<br>Statement(s) | THEASURE(1)  | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,<br>2017)             | Findings (2018)                                 | Findings (2019)                                     | Findings (2020) | Findings (2021)  | Findings (2022)  | Findings (2023)   | How are we doing?  Room for Improvement On-track |
| 4.4.7          | 1, 2, 3, 4                            | Number of subdivision applications conditionally approved for municipal purposes  Description of applications as they relate to protecting the rural landscape, protecting the natural environment, and supporting or providing recreational amenities | No review<br>(policy was inserted July 9, 2020) | No review<br>(policy was inserted July 9, 2020) | No review<br>(policy was inserted July 9, 2020)     | 0 applications  | 3 applications  2 boundary adjustments on existing reserve lands, and 1 application in relation to donated lands, for a future park space to protect environmental lands adjacent to Sylvan Lake |  | 1 application  Application to create a parcel to accommodate a lease for a sewage treatment facility in Lincoln Ranch | ✓  |
| 4.4.8<br>4.4.9 | 1, 2                                  | Number of applications considered which required flood mapping for current year  | 1 application (Burbank Heights)                 | 0 applications                                  | 0 applications                                      | 0 applications  | 0 applications   | 1 application (Agricultural 'A' District acreage near Milton) Through the mapping, it was determined that the building site is not within a flood hazard area. | 0 applications  | ✓  |

|        |                       |  |  | OUR NATUR  | AL ENVIRONN                                       | IENT & RECREAT                                   | ΓΙΟΝ                          |                               |                               |                         |          |
|--------|-----------------------|--|--|--|---|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|
|        |                       |  | Ob   |  | esponsible stewardshi<br>vide a variety of recrea | p of the County's natura<br>tional opportunities | al environment                |                               |                               |                         |          |
| Policy | Related<br>Supporting | How is success and/or implementation   | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,   | Findings (2018)  | Findings (2019)                                   | Findings (2020)                                  | Findings (2021)               | Findings (2022)               | Findings (2023)               | How are we              | e doing? |
|        | Statement(s)          | measured?  | 2017)  | :ags (=0.0)  | ags (=0.7)  | :ags (====)                                      | gs (===,/                     | :ago (====)                   | :ags (2023)                   | Room for<br>Improvement | On-track |
| 4.4.10 | 1, 2                  | Number of applications considered which required Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessments (ESA) for current year  Number of applications considered which required Phase 2 ESAs for current year  Notable or common issues identified and rectified through the ESA recommendations/findings | 2 applications (Burbank Heights, Lincoln Ranch) 1 application (Burbank Heights) Burbank Heights: The main concern with this report related to an abandoned crude oil well which has now been reclaimed. There are also three pipelines present on the site which will require utility easements to be registered on the impacted lots. The developer will be required to provide confirmation that the Ember Resources pipeline has been removed.  Lincoln Ranch: The Phase 1 assessment considered the level of potential environmental risk associated | 1 application (North Aspelund Industrial Park - Phase 1) 0 applications North Aspelund Industrial Park - Phase 1: The site was found to pose a low to moderate environmental risk. A Phase 2 ESA was warranted for Phase 2 of the development based on a Bonavista Energy Corporation gas well and above ground storage tank were located near the centre of the property. | 0 applications 0 applications                     | 0 applications 0 applications                    | 0 applications 0 applications | 0 applications 0 applications | 0 applications 0 applications |                         |          |

with the Lincoln Ranch Plan Area to be moderate. This rating was based on the presence of abandoned wells and operational natural gas pipelines on the Property. The well site and access road passed all applicable criteria for reclamation of well sites. As a result of the Phase 2 ESA, a reclamation certificate was received for the well located in the northwest portion of the

Plan Area.

#### Objective: Demonstrate responsible stewardship of the County's natural environment and provide a variety of recreational opportunities 4.4.11 1, 2 Number of developments and total area of hazard 4 developments prepared 2 developments prepared 2 developments prepared 1 development prepared 0 developments 0 developments 0 developments lands identified for current year geotechnical reports (Burbank a geotechnical report a geotechnical report a geotechnical report Heights, Lincoln Ranch, The (Resubdivision of existing (fragmented parcel subdivision (resubdivision of existing Most common types of hazards identified and Nursery Golf Course and residential lot in Milton area) residential lot in Milton area, and Transand Inc.) mitigation measures employed Country Club, Procor) and North Aspelund Industrial Fragmented Parcel Subdivision: Resubdivision of existing Park - Phase 1 and Phase 2) Burbank Heights: The main Suitable building site exists on residential lot in Milton area: concern arising from the Resubdivision of existing Suitable building site to be proposed parcel. geotechnical report was residential lot in Milton area: proven for second and third Transand Inc.: Driven steel in general, most of the Suitable building site to be parcel being created. piles or screw piles have property was not suitable proven for second parcel being been recommended for the for conventional treatment created. development of this site, based field systems due to relatively North Aspelund Industrial on the shallow water table. permeable sandy soil Park - Phase 1 and Phase 2: No conditions. The more feasible notable findings, site is suitable option would be the use of for proposed development. treatment mounds on this site. The geotechnical report and findings will be registered on title via restrictive covenant, to notify landowners of development constraints. 4.4.12 1,5 Number of developments which have incorporated 2 developments (Burbank 0 developments 0 developments 0 developments 0 developments 0 developments 0 developments "Fire Smart" principles Heights, Lincoln Ranch) 4.4.13 5 Number of developments which have incorporated 0 developments 2 developments (cannabis 1 development (cannabis 0 developments 0 developments 0 developments 0 developments "Dark Sky" principles production facilities) production facility) Number of applications which required Historical 4.5.1 1 1 application (Lincoln Ranch) 1 application (North Aspelund 0 applications 0 applications 0 applications 0 applications 0 developments Resources Impact Assessment clearance Industrial Park - Phase 1) Number of applications which required further 1 application (Burbank Heights) Historical Resources Impact Assessments or study 4.6.1 2 Staff will ensure consistency between the MDP and Completed - no findings In 2023, the County has X the County's Use and Management of County Reserve updated the *Use and* Management of County Reserve Lands policy The County has initiated Lands Policy and has adopted community engagement to Bylaw 1398/23 - Parks and create a reserve management Reserves Management Bylaw bylaw in Spring/Summer 2023 A review of the new policy and bylaw were completed and the documents are consistent with the Municipal Development *Plan*; however, the *Municipal*

Development Plan will be updated to refer to the new

bylaw.

|  |   | Ob  | jective: Demonstrate re<br>and prov                    | esponsible stewardship<br>vide a variety of recrea   |  | al environment                                       |   |   |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| Policy Related Supporting Statement(s) | How is success and/or implementation measured?  | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,<br>2017)                     | Findings (2018)  | Findings (2019)                                      | Findings (2020)                                      | Findings (2021)                                      | Findings (2022)                                       | Findings (2023)                                     | How are we doing?  Room for Improvement On-track |
| 4.6.2 2<br>4.6.3                       | Total amount of Municipal Reserve (MR) dedication included in conditional approved applications for current year (either cash-in-lieu and/or land totals) | 2.185 hectares (5.40 acres) and<br>\$1,520 cash-in-lieu | 1.83 hectares (4.53 acres) and<br>\$3,445 cash-in-lieu | 0 hectares (0 acres) and<br>\$58,319.58 cash-in-lieu | 0 hectares (0 acres) and<br>\$34,694.58 cash-in-lieu | 0 hectares (0 acres) and<br>\$19,873.48 cash-in-lieu | 0 hectares (0 acres) and<br>\$121,324.80 cash-in-lieu | 0 hectares (0 acres) and<br>\$2,318.78 cash-in-lieu | ✓  |
| 4.6.5                                  | Number of conditionally approved applications where Municipal Reserve (MR) was deferred for   | 0 applications  | 2 applications   | 4 applications                                       | 2 applications                                       | 2 applications                                       | 1 application   | 1 application                                       |  |
| 4.6.6<br>4.6.7                         | current year  Number of conditionally approved applications   | 1 application (Burbank Heights)                         | 0 applications   | 0 applications                                       | 0 applications                                       | 0 applications                                       | 0 applications  | 0 applications                                      |  |
| 4.6.8                                  | where additional Municipal Reserve (MR) or open<br>space was provided by developer or required by the<br>Development Authority for current year           | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                    | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                   | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                 | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                 | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                 | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                  | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                |  |
|  | Total amount of Municipal Reserve (MR) land sold (number of lots and total area) for current year   | 0 applications  | 0 applications   | 0 applications                                       | 0 applications                                       | 0 applications                                       | 0 applications  | 0 applications                                      |  |
|  | Number of conditionally approved applications where Municipal Reserve (MR) required along the lakeshore for current year                                  | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                    | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                   | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                 | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                 | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                 | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                  | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                |  |
|  | Total amount of Municipal Reserve (MR) dedication included in conditional approvals along lakeshore for current year                                      |   |  |  |  |  |   |   |  |
| 4.6.9 2<br>4.6.10                      | Total amount of Environmental Reserve (ER) dedication included in conditional approvals for   | 1.52 hectares (3.76 acres)                              | 6.52 hectares (16.1 acres)                             | 6.07 hectares (15 acres)                             | 3.84 hectares (9.5 acres)                            | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                 | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                  | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                | ✓  |
| 4.6.11                                 | Current year  Total amount of Environmental Reserve Easement  | 4.09 hectares (10.1 acres)                              | 9.09 hectares (22.46 acres)                            | 29.82 hectares (73.69 acres)                         | 2.54 hectares (6.3 acres)                            | 19.59 hectares (48.41 acres)                         | 10.28 hectares (25.4 acres)                           | 7.06 hectares (17.45 acres)                         |  |
|  | (ERE) dedication included in conditional approvals for current year   | 6 applications  | 7 applications   | 6 applications                                       | 7 applications                                       | 13 applications                                      | 9 applications  | 5 applications                                      |  |
|  | Number of subdivision applications adjacent to or affected by watercourse/body for current year   | 0 applications  | 0 applications   | 0 applications                                       | 0 applications                                       | 0 applications                                       | 0 applications  | 0 applications                                      |  |
|  | Number of subdivision applications where<br>Environmental Reserve (ER) and/or Environmental<br>Reserve Easement (ERE) requirements have been<br>relaxed   |   |  |  |  |  |   |   |  |
| 4.6.12 2                               | Total amount of Conservation Reserve (CR) dedication included in conditional approvals for  | No review   | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                   | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                 | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                 | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                 | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                  | 0 hectares (0 acres)                                | <b>√</b>   |
|  | current year  | (policy was inserted March 8,<br>2018)                  | \$0  | \$0  | \$0  | \$0  | \$0   | \$0   | •  |
|  | Total dollar amount spent to compensate the landowner(s) in an amount equal to the market value of the land required for a Conservation Reserve (CR)      |   |  |  |  |  |   |   |  |
| 4.6.13 3                               | Total dollar amount of Municipal Reserve (MR) provided to urban municipalities for schools  | \$0   | \$0  | \$0  | \$0  | \$0  | \$0   | \$0   | ✓  |
| 4.6.14 5                               | Number of inquiries into conservation easement opportunities  | 0 inquiries   | 0 inquiries  | 2 inquiries  | 3 inquiries  | 3 inquiries  | 1 inquiry   | 0 inquiries   | $\checkmark$                                     |

|        |                                       |   | Ob  | jective: Demonstrate re   | esponsible stewardship   |   | environment   |   |   |            |                      |
|--------|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|------------|----------------------|
| Policy | Related<br>Supporting<br>Statement(s) | How is success and/or implementation measured?  | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,<br>2017)   | Findings (2018)   | Findings (2019)  | Findings (2020)   | Findings (2021)   | Findings (2022)   | Findings (2023)   | How are we | e doing?<br>On-track |
| 4.6.15 | 4, 5                                  | Total amount of land donated to the County for current year, as well as to date  What is the common use(s) of donated lands   | 36.8 hectares (91 acres)<br>donated in 2017 (Mary & Cliff<br>Soper Natural Area)<br>172 hectares (425 acres) total<br>donated to date<br>Common Use(s): Public<br>recreation, naturalized park<br>space | 26.4 hectares (65 acres)<br>donated in 2018 (Kuhnen<br>Natural Area)<br>198.4 hectares (490 acres) total<br>donated to date<br>Common Use(s): Public<br>recreation, naturalized park<br>space | 0 hectares (0 acres) donated in<br>2019<br>198.4 hectares (490 acres) total<br>donated to date<br>Common Use(s): Public<br>recreation, naturalized park<br>space | 0 hectares (0 acres) donated in<br>2020<br>198.4 hectares (490 acres) total<br>donated to date<br>Common Use(s): Public<br>recreation, naturalized park<br>space  | 0 hectares (0 acres) donated in<br>2021<br>198.4 hectares (490 acres) total<br>donated to date<br>Common Use(s): Public<br>recreation, naturalized park<br>space  | 7.7 hectares (19.03 acres)<br>donated in 2022 (Burns Nature<br>Park)<br>206.1 hectares (509.03 acres)<br>total donated to date<br>Common Use(s): Public<br>recreation, naturalized park<br>space  | 0 hectares (0 acres) donated in<br>2023<br>206.1 hectares (509.03 acres)<br>total donated to date<br>Common Use(s): Public<br>recreation, naturalized park<br>space   |            | ✓                    |
| 4.6.16 | 5                                     | Land amount and/or number of features protected through or in tandem with the Alternative Land Use Services (ALUS) program  Number of community groups/participants in the program  Other notable conservation projects | 39.25 hectares (97 acres) enrolled in the project, a total of 5 projects 4 ALUS participants  | 33.59 hectares (83 acres) enrolled in the project in 2018, for a total of 55.8 hectares (138 acres) in 8 projects 6 ALUS participants   | 66 hectares (163 acres) enrolled in the project in 2019, for a total of 122 hectares (301 acres) in 11 projects  9 ALUS participants                             | While ALUS is still active in our community and supported by Lacombe County, the County no longer administers the program, and does not have access to participation numbers  Policy 4.6.15 will require update to support general conservation projects, instead of naming the ALUS program directly | ALUS: Lacombe County continues to support and encourage landowners to seek the ALUS program, which is continuing to be facilitated within our County.  95.95 hectares (237.10 acres) enrolled within Lacombe County to date 31 ALUS projects 12 ALUS participants  Wild About Bees Initiative (webinar) | ALUS: Lacombe County continues to support and encourage landowners to seek the ALUS program, which is continuing to be facilitated within our County.  130.76 hectares (323.11 acres) enrolled within Lacombe County to date 68 ALUS projects 17 ALUS participants  Conservation Tillage: A Tool in Central Alberta (webinar) Shelterbelt Workshop (webinar) Growing Native Plans Workshop (webinar) Wildlife Corridor Workshop (webinar) | ALUS: Lacombe County continues to support and encourage landowners to seek the ALUS program, which is continuing to be facilitated within our County.  285.54 hectares (705.58 acres) enrolled within Lacombe County to date 93 ALUS projects 19 ALUS participants  Agricultural Excellence: Securing Your Farm Future (workshop) Environmental Farm Plan (workshop) Wonderful World of Wetlands (youth engagement) |            | •                    |
| 4.7.1  | 3,4                                   | Has a Parks and Open Space Master Plan been drafted and/or adopted?  Staff will conduct annual assessment of connectivity between the County's trails, open spaces and amenities  | No To be completed Summer 2018  | No Project on hold until Summer 2020, due to <i>Municipal Government Act</i> changes increasing long range planning program schedule  | Project on hold until 2022,<br>due to decrease in long range<br>planning program schedule  | Project on hold until 2023,<br>due to decrease in long range<br>planning program schedule   | Project on hold until 2024,<br>due to decrease in long range<br>planning program schedule   | Project on hold until 2025,<br>due to decrease in long range<br>planning program schedule   | The project has been removed from the long range planning program, as the other <i>Municipal Development Plan</i> policies in this section have proven sufficient to promoting open space and trails in the County.   |            | ✓                    |

|                |                                       |  | Ok  | jective: Demonstrate re<br>and prov  | esponsible stewardship<br>vide a variety of recreati  |   | environment   |   |   |                                      |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| Policy         | Related<br>Supporting<br>Statement(s) | How is success and/or implementation measured?   | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,<br>2017)   | Findings (2018)  | Findings (2019)   | Findings (2020)   | Findings (2021)   | Findings (2022)   | Findings (2023)   | How are we doing?  Room for On-track |
| 4.7.2          | 3,4                                   | Total existing kilometres of trails and how many of those introduced for current year  | 33.94 kilometres (21 miles) of<br>existing trails<br>1.5 kilometres (0.93 miles) of<br>new trails   | 35.44 kilometers (22 miles) of<br>existing trails<br>2 kilometers (1.24 miles) of new<br>trails (Mary & Cliff Soper Natural<br>Area)                               |   | 37.44 kilometers (23 miles) of existing trails  | 37.44 kilometers (23 miles) of<br>existing trails<br>0.5 km (0.3 miles) of new trails<br>(Burbank Heights)  | 37.44 kilometers (23 miles) of existing trails  | 42.14 kilometers (26.33 miles) of existing trails 1.5 kilometers (0.93 miles) of new natural trails (Burns Nature Park) and 3.2 kilometers (1.99 miles) of new paved trail (Sandy Point Regional Trail)   | ✓                                    |
| 4.7.3          | 3,4                                   | Total number of parks and amenities for current year   | 2 new parks with amenities<br>(Anderson Park, Cliff and Mary<br>Soper Natural Area)<br>1 replacement amenity in<br>existing park (new playground<br>in Mirror)  | 1 new park (Kuhnen Natural<br>Area) 1 new additional amenity to<br>existing park (playground at<br>Anderson Park)  | 0 new parks 1 new additional amenity to existing park (playground at Sandy Point beach)   | 0 new parks 1 new replacement amenity to existing park (washroom at the Mirror ball diamonds) Lacombe County partnered with the Mirror Community Network and the Living Truth Christian School to provide fencing for the Mirror Dog Park   | 1 new park with amenities<br>(Burbank Heights), 1 new green<br>space (Burbank Campground)   | 1 new park (Burns Nature Park)  | 0 new parks 1 new additional amenity (Burns Nature Park benches and garbage receptacles) 1 replacment amenity (Sunbreaker Cove bathroom)  | ✓                                    |
| 4.7.4          | 5                                     | What community groups are involved with the management of local park and community facilities  Number of parks and amenities which are being wholly or partially managed by a community group(s) | New Saratoga and Birch Bay communities mow the open space. The Haynes, Mirror and Gilby Community Halls are operated by community groups, but owned by the County.  Other property County owns but is managed by others – Joffre Rink, Tees Rodeo Ground, Wilson's Beach Campground, Mirror Campground, Haunted Lakes Campground, Mirror Rink, Mirror Jolly Seniors, Burbank Park  10 parks and amenities | Wilson's Beach Campground,<br>Mirror Campground, Haunted<br>Lakes Campground, Mirror<br>Rink, Mirror Jolly Seniors,<br>Burbank Park<br>10 parks and amenities      | New Saratoga and Birch Bay communities mow the open space. The Haynes, Mirror and Gilby Community Halls are operated by community groups, but owned by the County.  Other property County owns but is managed by others – Joffre Rink, Tees Rodeo Ground, Wilson's Beach Campground, Mirror Campground, Haunted Lakes Campground, Mirror Rink, Mirror Jolly Seniors, Burbank Park  10 parks and amenities | New Saratoga and Birch Bay communities mow the open space. The Haynes, Mirror and Gilby Community Halls are operated by community groups, but owned by the County.  Other property County owns but is managed by others – Joffre Rink, Tees Rodeo Ground, Wilson's Beach Campground, Mirror Campground, Haunted Lakes Campground, Mirror Rink, Mirror Jolly Seniors, Burbank Park  10 parks and amenities | New Saratoga and Birch Bay communities mow the open space. The Haynes, Mirror and Gilby Community Halls are operated by community groups, but owned by the County.  Other property County owns but is managed by others – Joffre Rink, Tees Rodeo Ground, Wilson's Beach Campground, Mirror Campground, Haunted Lakes Campground, Mirror Rink, Mirror Jolly Seniors, Burbank Park  10 parks and amenities | New Saratoga and Birch Bay communities mow the open space. The Haynes, Mirror and Gilby Community Halls are operated by community groups, but owned by the County.  Other property County owns but is managed by others – Joffre Rink, Tees Rodeo Ground, Wilson's Beach Campground, Mirror Campground, Haunted Lakes Campground, Mirror Rink, Mirror Jolly Seniors, Burbank Park  10 parks and amenities | New Saratoga and Birch Bay communities mow the open space. The Haynes, Mirror and Gilby Community Halls are operated by community groups, but owned by the County.  Other property County owns but is managed by others – Joffre Rink, Tees Rodeo Ground, Wilson's Beach Campground, Mirror Campground, Haunted Lakes Campground, Mirror Rink, Mirror Jolly Seniors, Burbank Park  10 parks and amenities |                                      |
| 4.7.5<br>4.7.6 | 1, 4, 5                               | Notable green infrastructure projects and/or initiatives for current year  | managed by a community group(s)  None   | managed by a community group(s)  None (0 new multi-lot developments)   | managed by a community group(s)  None (0 new multi-lot developments)  | managed by a community group(s)  None (0 new multi-lot developments)  | managed by a community group(s)  None (0 new multi-lot developments)  | managed by a community group(s)  None (0 new multi-lot developments)  | managed by a community group(s)  None (0 new multi-lot developments)  | ✓                                    |
| 4.7.0          | 5                                     | Name and number of specific communication mediums utilized for publications, public meetings, notifications, etc.  | 9 communication methods<br>(County website, County<br>Facebook, County Twitter,<br>MDP/LUB Facebook, MDP/LUB<br>website, County News, local<br>newspapers, mail-outs, radio)  | 8 communication methods<br>(County website, County<br>Facebook, County Twitter,<br>County News, Sylvan Lake IDP<br>website, local newspapers,<br>mail-outs, radio) | 8 communication methods<br>(County website, County<br>Facebook, County Twitter,<br>County News, Sylvan Lake IDP<br>website, local newspapers,<br>mail-outs, radio)  | 8 communication methods<br>(County website, County<br>Facebook, County Twitter,<br>County News, Sylvan Lake IDP<br>website, local newspapers,<br>mail-outs, radio)  | 9 communication methods<br>(County website, County<br>Facebook, County Twitter,<br>County Instagram, County<br>News, Sylvan Lake IDP website,<br>local newspapers, mail-outs,<br>radio)   | 8 communication methods<br>(County website, County<br>Facebook, County Twitter,<br>County Instagram, County<br>News, local newspapers, mail-<br>outs, radio)  | 8 communication methods<br>(County website, County<br>Facebook, County Twitter,<br>County Instagram, County<br>News, local newspapers, mail-<br>outs, radio)  | ✓                                    |

|        |                                 |   | Ok  |  | esponsible stewardship<br>vide a variety of recreat   |   | l environment  |   |   |                                      |   |
|--------|---------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| Policy | Related Supporting Statement(s) | How is success and/or implementation measured?  | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,<br>2017)                             | Findings (2018)                                  | Findings (2019)   | Findings (2020)   | Findings (2021)  | Findings (2022)   | Findings (2023)   | How are w<br>Room for<br>Improvement |   |
| 4.8.2  | 5                               | Total number of educational signs, and the number of educational signs installed for current year  Notable features and/or site elements that the signage pertains to | 21 total signs<br>8 new signs<br>Local wildlife and pollinators | 21 total signs<br>Local wildlife and pollinators | 28 total signs 7 new signs Local wildlife, pollinators, species of interest, or geological features | 28 total signs Local wildlife, pollinators, species of interest, or geological features | 30 total signs 2 new signs Local wildlife and wetlands | 30 total signs Local wildlife, pollinators, species of interest, or geological features | 36 total signs 6 new signs Local wildlife, pollinators, species of interest, geological features, local history, land |                                      | ✓ |

acknowledgement

|     |      |                                       |  |   | Objectiv  | e: Diversify and suppor   | t economic growth   |   |   |  |  |
|-----|------|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Po  |      | Related<br>Supporting<br>Statement(s) | How is success and/or implementation measured?   | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,<br>2017)   | Findings (2018)   | Findings (2019)   | Findings (2020)   | Findings (2021)   | Findings (2022)   | Findings (2023)  | How are we doing?  Room for Improvement On-track |
| 5.3 | .1 1 | 1, 2                                  | Number of commercial and industrial multi-lot developments along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas  Number of commercial and industrial multi-lot developments NOT along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas  New or expanded development located within Joffre/Prentiss area  Number of Home Based Businesses and Minor Business or Trades in the Agricultural 'A' District | 5 commercial and industrial multi-lot developments along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas (Wild Rose Commercial Park, North Aspelund Industrial Park, South Aspelund Industrial Park, Burbank Industrial Park, Iron Rail Business Park)  0 commercial and industrial multi-lot developments NOT along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas  1 development near Joffre/ Prentiss (rail expansion and portable)  1 Minor Home Based Business application (interior design)  6 Major Home Based Business applications (line locating business, souvenir/clothing distribution, metal fabrication, oilfield service)  5 Minor Business or Trades applications (pressure services, directional drilling, auction business) | 5 commercial and industrial multi-lot developments along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas (Wild Rose Commercial Park, North Aspelund Industrial Park, South Aspelund Industrial Park, Burbank Industrial Park, Iron Rail Business Park)  0 commercial and industrial multi-lot developments NOT along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas  0 developments near Joffre/ Prentiss  1 Minor Home Based Business application (coffee importing)  14 Major Home Based Business applications (automotive repair, welding and repair, RV/boat storage, equipment repair, oilfield business)  8 Minor Business or Trades applications (mechanic, piling services, reclamation services, oilfield services, welding business) | 5 commercial and industrial multi-lot developments along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas (Wild Rose Commercial Park, North Aspelund Industrial Park, South Aspelund Industrial Park, Burbank Industrial Park, Iron Rail Business Park)  0 commercial and industrial multi-lot developments NOT along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas  2 developments near Joffre/ Prentiss (Home Based Business & a field office for Pembina)  12 Major Home Based Business applications in the Agricultural 'A' District  10 Minor Business or Trade Applications in the Agricultural 'A' District | 5 commercial and industrial multi-lot developments along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas (Wild Rose Commercial Park, North Aspelund Industrial Park, South Aspelund Industrial Park, Burbank Industrial Park, Iron Rail Business Park)  0 commercial and industrial multi-lot developments NOT along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas  1 setback relaxation for the Prentiss Site  8 Major Home Based Businesses in the Agricultural 'A' District  3 Minor Business or Trade applications in the Agricultural 'A' District | 5 commercial and industrial multi-lot developments along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas (Wild Rose Commercial Park, North Aspelund Industrial Park, South Aspelund Industrial Park, Burbank Industrial Park, Iron Rail Business Park)  0 commercial and industrial multi-lot developments NOT along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas  1 development near Joffre/ Prentiss (Joffre Solar Park approved, directly adjacent to the Joffre petrochemical complex)  12 Major Home Based Businesses in the Agricultural 'A' District  2 Minor Business or Trade applications in the Agricultural 'A' District | 5 commercial and industrial multi-lot developments along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas (Wild Rose Commercial Park, North Aspelund Industrial Park, South Aspelund Industrial Park, Burbank Industrial Park, Iron Rail Business Park)  0 commercial and industrial multi-lot developments NOT along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas  0 new development near Joffre/Prentiss, however the Joffre Solar Park groundbreaking took place October 24th and work is underway (development permit issued in 2021)  11 Major Home Based Businesses in the Agricultural 'A' District (6 new, 4 renewals, 1 expansion)  2 Minor Business or Trade applications in the Agricultural 'A' District (1 renewal, 1 expansion) | 5 commercial and industrial multi-lot developments along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas, (Aspelund Industrial Parks, North and South, Burbank Industrial Park, Iron Rail Business Park, and Wild Rose Commercial Park). Within these commercial and industrial developments there were 11 applications received; 8 New Businesses, 1 Expansion of Existing business and 2 Renewal of Existing Businesses.  0 commercial and industrial multi-lot development NOT along the County's main highway corridors and urban fringe areas;  1 ongoing application for expanded development located within Joffre/Prentiss area (DOW railyard expansion);  13 Major Home-Based Businesses (9 New Home-Based Businesses (9 New Home-Based Businesses or Trade applications (2 new and 5 renewal) in the Agricultural 'A' District; |  |
| 5.3 | .2 2 | 2, 4                                  | Number of tourism, supplemental farm business activities, agricultural support services and/or Indigenous enterprise developments/endeavors  | 2 applications (intermediate campsite and a wedding venue)  | 7 applications (wedding venue, intermediate campground, 2 outdoor recreation facilities, major campground, wedding venue, and indoor recreation facility)   | 7 applications (1 minor special event, 1 bed & breakfast, 1 campground, 2 community facilities, 2 outdoor recreation facilities) in the Agricultural 'A' District   | 8 applications (3 ag service facilities, 5 community facilities) in the Agricultural 'A' District   | 8 applications (3 outdoor recreation, 2 community facilities, 1 marina & boat rental, 1 bed and breakfast, and 1 campground intermediate  | 13 applications 9 new (2 major campground,, 2 special events, 1 eating and drinking establishment, 1 bed and breakfast, 1 tourist home, 1 agri- commercial, 1 major home based business) 2 renewals (2 agricultural service facilities) 2 expansions (1 minor retail, 1 machinery, equipment and sales)   | 14 applications 8 new (2 agricultural service facilities, 1 community facility, 1 eating and drinking establishment, 1 institutional camp, 1 recreation facility outdoor, 1 marina and boat rental, 1 special event, ,minor) 3 renewals (2 recreation facility outdoor, 1 eating and drinking establishment) 3 expansions (2 community facilities, 1 eating and drinking establishment)  |  |

|        |                                 |   |  | O  | OR ECONOMIC   | GNOWITI   |   |   |   |                       |
|--------|---------------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------------|
|        |                                 |   |  | Objecti  | ve: Diversify and suppor  | t economic growth   |   |   |   |                       |
| Policy | Related Supporting Statement(s) | How is success and/or implementation measured?  | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,<br>2017)  | Findings (2018)  | Findings (2019)   | Findings (2020)   | Findings (2021)   | Findings (2022)   | Findings (2023)   | Hov<br>Roor<br>Improv |
| 5.3.3  | 1                               | Types of programs and/or initiatives are in place or being developed to support a business friendly climate | Development Incentive Grant (Hamlet of Mirror) Central Alberta Business Incubator (County participated in preliminary meetings) Central Alberta Economic Partnership Ltd. (CAEP) initiatives | Development Incentive Grant program for the Hamlet of Mirror Lacombe Regional Tourism Member of Central Alberta Economic Partnership | 3 Development Incentive Grant applications received and approved in the Hamlet of Mirror Lacombe Regional Tourism Member of Central Alberta Economic Partnership Undertook Community Economic Profile (McSweeney) | Development Incentive Grant program in the Hamlet of Mirror was extended for another 5 years Lacombe Regional Tourism Member of Central Alberta Economic Partnership Developed the Business Development Guide | No new Development Incentive Grant applications in the Hamlet of Mirror Hiring of a permanent, full- time Economic Development Officer. Budget commitment of \$60,000 toward Economic Development Strategy development in 2022 Lacombe Regional Tourism Member of Central Alberta Economic Partnership (CAEP) | No new Development Incentive Grant applications in the Hamlet of Mirror Initiated the Economic Development Strategy by selecting a consultant for the project Budget commitment of \$30,000 toward economic development activities in 2023 Cooperation with Lacombe Regional Tourism Participating Member of CAEP | Refreshed advertising on the Development Incentive Grant in 2023 by launching new social media and newspaper advertising with a redesigned information package. No new applications in 2023  Completed the Economic Development Strategy  Collaborated with Central Alberta Tourism Alliance (CATA) on the creation of the Central Alberta Agritourism Development Strategy  Secured \$30,000 of the Northern and Regional Economic Development (NRED) Grant funding to support Lacombe County businesses by conducting a Business Retention and Expansion Study and a Business Investment and Attraction Study (which will be carried through in 2024)  Successfully obtained Rural Municipalities of Alberta (RMA) Micro-Grant Funding, \$10,000, to create 10 short films to advertise Lacombe County to different selected audiences around the region, the province, the country, and the world.  Maintaining an active member status in CAEP  Working collaboratively with Lacombe Regional Tourism to promote tourism businesses within Lacombe County Business Spotlight: each month, a business and an |                       |



agricultural business are featured in the County News.

|                                  | Objective: Diversify and support economic growth  Related How is success and/or implementation Findings (July 6 - Dec 31 How are we doing? |   |   |  |  |  |                 |  |   |                              |                       |  |
|----------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|--|-----------------|--|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Policy                           | Related Supporting Statement(s)  | How is success and/or implementation measured?  | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,<br>2017)   | Findings (2018)  | Findings (2019)  | Findings (2020)  | Findings (2021) | Findings (2022)  | Findings (2023)   | How are Room for Improvement | we doing?<br>On-track |  |
| 5.3.4                            |  | Current partnership opportunities and projects completed and/or underway  Data contained within the community profile published by the Central Alberta Economic Partnership Ltd.  | Water/Wastewater Study (Lacombe IDP)  Lacombe Regional Tourism  Joint Economic Agreements with Lacombe & Blackfalds  CAEP report published (available at https://caepalberta.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/Community-Overview-Lacombe-County-2017-FINAL.pdf) | Sylvan Lake IDP (underway)  IDPs updated to meet revised MGA (Town of Bentley, Town of Eckville, Village of Alix, Village of Clive) (completed)  Council approved funding to support community facilities in neighbouring municipalities (i.e. Gary Moe Sportsplex, Clive Arena)  Draft 2019 Community Overview has been sent to County  The community profile show the number of businesses increased from 243 to 283, and vehicle registrations increased from 1686 to 1737) | completed (McSweeney)  The community profile shows a small decrease in the number of businesses to 196, with vehicle registrations remaining   | Ponoka County IDP and Blackfalds IDP (complete)  Sylvan Lake IDP and Buffalo Lake IDP (underway)  Completed the Regional Vacant Industrial Land Inventory through CAEP  The community profile shows a small increase in the number of businesses to 205, with vehicle registrations also increased to 2251 |                 | Southeast Bentley ASP (underway)  EDO is a current committee member with Central Alberta Tourism Alliance (CATA) for the purpose of a regional agritourism study  EDO is a current steering committe member with Travel Alberta for the purpose of developing a plan for the Tourism Development Zone (TDZ) for Olds to Lacombe  Participating Member of CAEP  The community profile shows a small decrease in the number of businesses to 179, with vehicle registrations increased to 2543 | Southeast Bentley ASP (complete)  QEII West ASP update (underway)  EDO is currently a committee member with the Central Alberta Tourism Alliance (CATA) for the purpose of the Central Alberta Regional Economic Impact Assessment of Tourism, which will conclude in Q3 of 2024.  Travel Alberta released the Tourism Development Zones (TDZ) in late 2023. Lacombe County falls in the Olds-Lacombe TDZ; the EDO will continue collaborating with the TDZ group to follow through with the initiative set out in the TDZ strategy.  Data contained within the community profile published by the CAEP  Participating in CAEP as an active member and with Council representation. |                              |                       |  |
| 5.4.1<br>5.4.2<br>5.4.3<br>5.4.4 |  | Number of home based business applications approved  Number of minor business or trades applications approved  Types of complaints pursuant to any home based business or minor business in the Agricultural 'A' District | 3 major home based business applications 5 minor business or trades applications Complaints to be tracked in 2018; typically regarding hours of operation or unauthorized business activity   | 11 major home based business applications 8 minor business or trades applications Complaints are typically regarding unauthorized businesses or businesses not following business description  | 14 major home based business applications and 1 minor home based business application  10 minor business or trades applications  Complaints are typically regarding unauthorized businesses or businesses not following business description | 12 major home based business applications and 4 minor home based business applications 3 minor business or trade applications Complaints are typically regarding unauthorized businesses or businesses not following business description  | •               |  | 17 home based business applications 7 minor business or trade applications Complaints are typically regarding unauthorized businesses or businesses not following permitted business description  |                              | ✓                     |  |

|                                  |                                       | Objective: Diversify and support economic growth  Related How is success and/or implementation Findings (July 6 Doc 21 How are we doing?   |  |   |   |   |  |  |   |                                 |                       |  |  |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Policy                           | Related<br>Supporting<br>Statement(s) | How is success and/or implementation measured?   | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,<br>2017)  | Findings (2018)   | Findings (2019)   | Findings (2020)   | Findings (2021)  | Findings (2022)  | Findings (2023)   | How are v  Room for Improvement | ve doing?<br>On-track |  |  |
| 5.5.1<br>5.5.2<br>5.5.3          | 3                                     | Number of tourism related permit applications  Policies or initiatives are formally supported by the County to protect tourist assets  | 2 applications (intermediate campsite and a wedding venue)  NSF-40 requirement around lakes/communal system requirements  32 Policy RC(1) applications, Council approved funding to support community facilities totalling \$2.463 million  8 Policy RC(10) applications, applications, Council approved funding to support historical and cultural tourism services and groups totalling \$151,377.51   | 4 applications (2 wedding venues, major campground, and a retail store for a campground)  24 <i>Policy RC(1)</i> applications, Council approved funding to support community facilities totalling \$345,420.89  7 <i>Policy RC(10)</i> applications, applications, Council approved funding to support historical and cultural tourism services and groups totalling \$129,745.00                                       | 9 applications (1 minor special event, 1 bed & breakfast, 1 campground, 3 community facilities, 1 indoor recreation facility, 2 outdoor recreation facilities)  12 Policy RC(1) applications, Council approved funding to support community facilities totalling \$199,909.84  8 Policy RC(10) applications, Council approved funding to support historical and cultural tourism services and groups totalling \$151,534.50                       | 11 applications (8 community facilities, 3 campgrounds) 17 <i>Policy RC(1)</i> applications, Council approved funding to support community facilities totalling \$1,802,538.00 7 <i>Policy RC(10)</i> applications, Council approved funding to support historical and cultural tourism services and groups totalling \$137,803.55  | 8 applications (3 outdoor recreation, 2 community facilities, 1 marina & boat rental, 1 bed and breakfast, and 1 campground intermediate 17 <i>Policy RC(1)</i> applications, Council approved funding to support community facilities totalling \$1.786 million 7 <i>Policy RC(10)</i> applications, Council approved funding to support historical and cultural tourism services and groups totalling \$136,082.55                     | 7 applications (2 major campground, 1 special event, 1 eating and drinking establishment, 1 bed and breakfast, 1 tourist home, 1 minor retail)  9 Policy RC(1) applications, Council approved funding to support community facilities totalling \$146,167.80  7 Policy RC(10) applications, Council approved funding to support historical and cultural tourism services and groups totalling \$153,175.00  Council approved a budget amount of \$25,000 for payment of 25% of a master plan for Medicine Lodge Ski Hill.  | 4 applications (3 eating and drinking establishments and 1 special event)  9 Policy RC (1) applications, Council approved funding to support community facilities totaling \$259,640.52; this includes a contribution of \$25,000 representing 50% of the Medicine Lodge Ski Hill Master Plan.  7 Policy RC (10) applications, Council approved funding to support historical and cultural tourism services and groups totaling \$171,825.43. |                                 |                       |  |  |
| 5.6.1<br>5.6.2<br>5.6.3<br>5.6.4 | 1, 2, 3                               | Annual monitoring of commercial and industrial nodes for potential conflicts  Annual identification of complementary businesses and/or uses within the commercial and industrial nodes  Number of commercial and industrial parks located along the County's main highway corridors and urban fringe areas | Ongoing Oilfield with Oilfield/Heavy Machinery Servicing Construction/Contracting Companies with Raw Materials Processing and Fabrication Oilfield Service/Storage with Oilfield Contracting Companies 5 commercial and industrial multi-lot developments along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas (Wild Rose Commercial Park, North Aspelund Industrial Park, South Aspelund Industrial Park, Burbank Industrial Park, Iron Rail Business Park) | Ongoing  Service and repair for oilfied vehicles and equipment  Material construction/ fabrication for other industrial buildings and infrastructure  5 commercial and industrial multi-lot developments along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas (Wild Rose Commercial Park, North Aspelund Industrial Park, South Aspelund Industrial Park, Burbank Industrial Park, Iron Rail Business Park) | Ongoing  Diversification of an existing business to include additional sales and rentals  Material construction/ fabrication for other industrial buildings and infrastructure  5 commercial and industrial multi-lot developments along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas (Wild Rose Commercial Park, North Aspelund Industrial Park, South Aspelund Industrial Park, Burbank Industrial Park, Iron Rail Business Park) | Ongoing  Value added Agri-business  Expansion of material construction/fabrication for other industrial buildings and infrastructure  5 commercial and industrial multi-lot developments along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas (Wild Rose Commercial Park, North Aspelund Industrial Park, South Aspelund Industrial Park, Burbank Industrial Park, Iron Rail Business Park) | Ongoing  Joffre Solar Park adjacent to Joffre petrochemical complex  Expansion of material construction/fabrication for other industrial buildings and infrastructure  5 commercial and industrial multi-lot developments along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas (Wild Rose Commercial Park, North Aspelund Industrial Park, South Aspelund Industrial Park, Burbank Industrial Park, Iron Rail Business Park) | Ongoing  1 Agri-industrial - trucking company filling a supply chain gap by manufacturing their own product. 1 Agri-commercial - company that builds farm structures located in a business park with other supplemental farm services.  1 Heavy equipment sales and rental, oilfield-related services  5 commercial and industrial multi-lot developments along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas (Wild Rose Commercial Park, North Aspelund Industrial Park, South Aspelund Industrial Park, Burbank Industrial Park, Iron Rail Business Park) | Ongoing  1 Agri-Industrial - North Aspelund Industrial Park (Dairy Lane Systems) providing equipment to local dairies.  5 commercial and industrial multi-lot developments along the County's main Highway corridors and urban fringe areas (Wild Rose Commercial Park, North Aspelund Industrial Park, South Aspelund Industrial Park, Burbank Industrial Park, Iron Rail Business Park)   | *                               |                       |  |  |
| 5.6.5                            | 2                                     | Number of development applications for commercial and/or industrial uses within or adjacent to a hamlet, and proposed uses   |  | 1 application (renewed home<br>based-business - auto repair)  | 1 major development<br>(redesignation process started<br>for Frac Sand Terminal adjacent<br>to Hamlet of Mirror)<br>4 applications (Dog Grooming,<br>Auction service, Antiques, Auto<br>service)  | 3 applications (auto service, community facility, security/ operator suite)   | 2 applications (dog daycare, community facility)   | 2 applications (special event, and eating and drinking establishment)  | 2 applications (2 eating and drinking establishments - 1 new, 1 renewal)  |                                 | ✓                     |  |  |

|                           |                                       |   |   | Objectiv  | e: Diversify and suppor   | rt economic growth   |   |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Policy                    | Related<br>Supporting<br>Statement(s) | How is success and/or implementation measured?  | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,<br>2017)   | Findings (2018)   | Findings (2019)   | Findings (2020)  | Findings (2021)   | Findings (2022)  | Findings (2023)  | How are we doing?  Room for Improvement On-track |
| 5.6.6                     | 1                                     | Annual review and confirmation of no exceptions to requirement to enter a deferred services agreement and/or building requirement where typically required  | Review completed - no exceptions  | Review completed - no exceptions  | Review completed - no exceptions  | Review completed - no exceptions   | Review completed - no exceptions  | Review completed - no exceptions   | Review completed - no exceptions   | ✓  |
| 5.6.7<br>5.6.8            | 1                                     | Number of existing residences within 0.4 kilometres (0.25 miles) of lands zoned Heavy Industrial, and number of new residences within 0.4 kilometres (0.25 miles) of lands zoned Heavy Industrial | 6 existing residences<br>0 new residences this year   | 6 existing residences<br>0 new residences this year   | 6 existing residences<br>0 new residences this year   | 6 existing residences<br>0 new residences this year  | 6 existing residences<br>0 new residences this year   | 6 existing residences<br>0 new residences this year  | 6 existing residences 0 new residences this year.  | ✓  |
| 5.7.1<br>5.7.2            | 1                                     | What are some existing common types and/or features of development design that incorporate the ideals of a healthy workplace environment  | Landscaping as per the Development Agreements Naturalized wetlands Site designs which connect to public spaces (paths around wetlands in Aspelund)  | Landscaping as per the Development Agreements Naturalized wetlands Site designs which connect to public spaces (paths around wetlands in Aspelund)  | Landscaping as per the Development Agreements Naturalized wetlands Site designs which connect to public spaces (paths around wetlands in Aspelund)  | Landscaping as per the Development Agreements Naturalized wetlands Site designs which connect to public spaces (paths around wetlands in Aspelund)                             | Landscaping as per the Development Agreements Naturalized wetlands Site designs which connect to public spaces (paths around wetlands in Aspelund)  | Landscaping as per the Development Agreements Naturalized wetlands Site designs which connect to public spaces (paths around wetlands in Aspelund)                 | Landscaping as per the Development Agreements.  Naturalized wetlands  Site designs which connect to public spaces (paths around wetlands in Aspelund)                    | ✓  |
| 5.7.3                     | 1                                     | Total number of site development guidelines that have been required to date   | 6 site development guidelines<br>(Aspelund Industrial Park,<br>North Aspelund Industrial Park,<br>South Aspelund Industrial Park,<br>McLevin Industrial Park, Wild<br>Rose Commercial Park, Iron Rail<br>Business Park)   | 6 site development guidelines<br>(Aspelund Industrial Park,<br>North Aspelund Industrial Park,<br>South Aspelund Industrial Park,<br>McLevin Industrial Park, Wild<br>Rose Commercial Park, Iron Rail<br>Business Park) | 6 site development guidelines<br>(Aspelund Industrial Park,<br>North Aspelund Industrial Park,<br>South Aspelund Industrial Park,<br>McLevin Industrial Park, Wild  | 6 site development guidelines<br>(Aspelund Industrial Park,<br>North Aspelund Industrial Park,<br>South Aspelund Industrial Park,<br>McLevin Industrial Park, Wild             | 6 site development guidelines<br>(Aspelund Industrial Park,<br>North Aspelund Industrial Park,<br>South Aspelund Industrial Park,<br>McLevin Industrial Park, Wild<br>Rose Commercial Park, Iron Rail<br>Business Park) | 6 site development guidelines<br>(Aspelund Industrial Park,<br>North Aspelund Industrial Park,<br>South Aspelund Industrial Park,<br>McLevin Industrial Park, Wild | 6 site development guidelines<br>(Aspelund Industrial Park,<br>North Aspelund Industrial Park,<br>South Aspelund Industrial Park,<br>McLevin Industrial Park, Wild       | ✓  |
| 5.7.4 1<br>5.7.5<br>5.7.6 | 1                                     | Annual analysis of current and proposed trail amenities and natural corridors in terms of connectivity  Annual desktop analysis of commercial and industrial                                      | nual analysis of current and proposed trail nenities and natural corridors in terms of nnectivity  Outside connections required for developments  TransCanada Trail (facilitating the continuation of this project)   | Industrial Park and expanded,<br>also the fen for the North   | basis with the expectation that future development trails will incorporate existing these fragmented sections of trail.  Mary & Cliff Soper Natural Area  Comparison trails trails these possions of trail. | Burbank Heights trail completed, Silverwood Estates trails in progress. Neither of these connect to anything at the moment but there is the possibility to do so in the future | Application for Federal grant to complete to construct and pave a walking/biking path that will connect Sandy Point and Hwy 12A  uture 0 new developments   | Application for grant funding to complete to construct and pave a walking/biking path that will connect Sandy Point and Hwy 12A trail                              | Construction of a new parking<br>lot and natural trails at Burns<br>Nature Park and paving of<br>Sandy Point Regional Trail;<br>establishment of Mirror<br>Walking Trail | ✓  |
|                           |                                       | need attention  | buffers, identification of any areas which may dattention  Sandy Point trail to Bentley from the resort  Sandy Point trail to Bentley from the resort  Kuhnen family donated 65 acres of land (SE 01-39-23-W4M) to County for public recreation along the Red Deer River. The grand opening of the Kuhnen Natural Area is set for 19 development  Sandy Point trail to Bentley from the resort  Kuhnen family donated 65 acres of land (SE 01-39-23-W4M) to County for public recreation along the Red Deer River. The grand opening of the Kuhnen Natural Area is set for 2019  Green Space around Burbank  No areas need further attention  Industrial/commercial parks as bordered by highways and/or major county roads | onated 65 trails may eventually connect<br>01-39-23- to the Town of Blackfalds<br>for public east stormwater project trail  | Boskers subdivision provided ER around unnamed lake north of Lacombe  | incorporated CPTED  orth 0 CPTED assessments   | 0 new developments incorporated CPTED 0 CPTED assessments completed   | 0 new developments incorporated CPTED 0 CPTED assessments  |  |  |
|                           |                                       | Through Environmental Design (CPTED) has been incorporated into the development Hig Number of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) assessments completed Gre No                  |   | recreation along the Red Deer s<br>River. The grand opening of the c<br>Kuhnen Natural Area is set for<br>2019  | eer system depending on future f the development in this area. For  | Transand Inc. will look at internal buffers at development permit stage. Railyard on east  | pment<br>east   |  | completed  |  |
|                           |                                       |   |   | A portion of the Burbank Industrial buffer was al parks are removed from the Blackfalds ys and/or Intermunicipal Development  | side and Parlby Creek channel<br>on west side, Highway 50<br>on South all provide good<br>buffering to residential uses.  | k channel<br>ay 50<br>good<br>ial uses.  |   |  |  |  |
|                           |                                       |   | 1 development incorporated T<br>CPTED (Lincoln Ranch) a<br>B<br>ir<br>a   | Typically adjacent to agricultural and green space Based off of 2017 Google Earth images, no areas need further   | redesignated to facilitate space expansion of an existing business in the industrial park further   | was litate attention.  ting strial park  O new developments incorporated CPTED  O CPTED assessments  | will need   |  |  |  |
|                           |                                       |   |   |   | 0 developments incorporated   | 1 development incorporated CPTED 9 CPTED assessments completed   | completed due to COVID-19   |  |  |  |

CPTED

|                         | Objective: Diversify and support economic growth |  |  |  |   |   |   |   |   |                         |           |  |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------|-----------|--|
| Policy                  | Related<br>Supporting                            | How is success and/or implementation   | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,   | Findings (2018)  | Findings (2019)   | Findings (2020)   | Findings (2021)   | Findings (2022)   | Findings (2023)   | How are w               | ve doing? |  |
|                         | Statement(s)                                     | Measure()  | 2017)  |  |   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |   |   | Room for<br>Improvement | On-track  |  |
| 5.8.1<br>5.8.2<br>5.8.3 | 2  | Number of new permits for sand and gravel extraction, number of permits for expansions to existing sand and gravel extraction  | 3 renewal applications (no changes) 2 renewal applications (including expansion) | <ul><li>1 new application</li><li>5 renewal applications (no changes)</li><li>4 renewal applications (including expansion)</li></ul> | <ul><li>1 renewal application (no changes)</li><li>5 renewal applications (including expansion)</li></ul> | <ul><li>1 renewal application (no changes)</li><li>5 renewal applications (including expansion)</li></ul> | <ul><li>2 renewal application (no changes)</li><li>3 renewal applications (including expansion)</li></ul> | 3 renewal application (no changes) 3 renewal applications (including expansion) | 3 renewal application (no changes) 3 renewal applications (including expansion) |                         | ✓         |  |
| 5.8.4                   | 2  | Total number of subdivisions for the purpose of accommodating oil and gas facilities, sand and gravel extraction and processing, or an agricultural services operation | 0 applications   | 0 applications   | 1 application (for sand<br>and gravel extraction and<br>processing)                                       | 2 applications (1 for sand<br>and gravel extraction and<br>processing, 1 for oil and gas)                 | 0 applications  | 0 applications  | 0 applications  |                         | ✓         |  |

## **OUR BUILT ENVIRONMENT**

|   |         |  | Object  | ive: Ensure compatible   | and responsible develo   | pment of the County's b   | ouilt environment   |  |   |                                    |          |
|---|---------|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|---|------------------------------------|----------|
| Policy                                    |         | How is success and/or implementation measured?   | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,<br>2017)   | Findings (2018)  | Findings (2019)  | Findings (2020)   | Findings (2021)   | Findings (2022)  |   | How are we of Room for Improvement |          |
| 6.3.1<br>6.3.2                            | 3,4     | Number of new multi-lot residential developments within or adjacent to priority settlement areas (proposed and existing)   | 2 multi-lot residential<br>developments (Burbank<br>Heights rezoning/subdivision<br>and Lincoln Ranch rezoning)   | 0 multi-lot residential<br>developments  | 0 multi-lot residential<br>developments  | 1 multi-lot residential<br>development (Silverwood<br>Estates subdivision)  | 0 multi-lot residential<br>developments   | 0 multi-lot residential<br>developments  | 0 multi-lot residential<br>developments   |                                    | ✓        |
| 6.3.3                                     | 3       | Number of new permits for each type of residential dwelling for current year   | Agriculture / Acreage  1st Dwelling – 25  2nd Dwelling – 5  3rd Dwelling – 1  Recreation Vehicle Resort 'R-RVR' District Dwelling – 5  All other Multi-lot Residential Dwellings – 2  Hamlet Dwelling - 1 | Agriculture / Acreage  1st Dwelling – 30  2nd Dwelling – 9  3rd Dwelling - 0  Recreation Vehicle Resort 'R-RVR' District Dwelling – 11  All other Multi-lot Residential Dwellings – 8  Hamlet Dwelling - 2                             | Agriculture / Acreage  1st Dwelling – 45  2nd Dwelling – 5  3rd Dwelling - 0  Recreation Vehicle Resort 'R-RVR' District Dwelling – 16  All other Multi-lot Residential Dwellings – 3  Hamlet Dwelling - 3 | Agriculture / Acreage  1st Dwelling – 30  2nd Dwelling – 8  3rd Dwelling - 0  Recreation Vehicle Resort 'R-RVR' District Dwelling – 13  All other Multi-lot Residential Dwellings – 10  Hamlet Dwelling - 2 | Agriculture / Acreage  1st Dwelling – 45  2nd Dwelling – 7  3rd Dwelling - 0  Recreation Vehicle Resort 'R-RVR' District Dwelling – 26  All other Multi-lot Residential Dwellings – 18  Hamlet Dwelling – 4 | Agriculture / Acreage  1st Dwelling - 31  2nd Dwelling - 13  3rd Dwelling - 0  Recreational Vehicle Resort 'R-RVR" District Dwelling - 15  All other Multi-lot Residential Dwellings - 14  Hamlet Dwelling - 1 | Agriculture / Acreage  1st Dwelling - 23  2nd Dwelling - 8  3rd Dwelling - 2  Recreational Vehicle Resort 'R-RVR" District Dwelling - 12  All other Multi-lot Residential Dwellings - 11  Hamlet Dwelling - 1 |                                    | <b>✓</b> |
| 6.4.1                                     | 3,5     | Number of new second dwellings permitted on parcels under 40 acres for current year – what are the common reasons for exceptions  Number of inquiries for second dwellings on parcels under 40 acres for current year  | 1 of 5 – reasoning is for farming operation/accommodation requirement  To be completed in 2018  | 2 of 9 – for personal/family care<br>Record of inquiries not initiated<br>- to be completed in 2019  | 0 new second dwellings on<br>parcels under 40 acres<br>4 inquiries   | 1 compassionate care and 1 for<br>an agricultural operation<br>6 inquiries  | 2 compassionate care and 1 for<br>an agricultural operation<br>3 inquiries  | 2 compassionate care and<br>1 for a parcel that had road<br>dedication previously taken<br>7 inquiries   | 2 compassionate care and 1 for a new dwelling that will be replacing the existing dwelling once complete  Not tracked for 2023  |                                    | ✓        |
| 6.5.1<br>6.5.2<br>6.5.3<br>6.5.4<br>6.5.5 | 3,4     | Current or initiatives or projects that are being undertaken by the County in support of hamlet development  Number of developments beyond the boundaries of each growth hamlet, but proximal to the hamlet for current year  Number of redevelopment or infill projects within the non-growth hamlets for current year  Number of concept plans prepared for Hamlets for current year | Development Incentive Grant and Main Street Project (Hamlet of Mirror) No major developments (1 dwelling and 1 communication tower) 0 infill projects 0 concept plans                                     | Development Incentive Grant and Main Street Project (Hamlet of Mirror)  2 developments (1 home based business renewed south of the Hamlet of Morningside, 1 dwelling north of the Hamlet of Mirror)  0 infill projects 0 concept plans | 3 developments (Mercedes Mutts, Annie's Cafe, Big Strapper Auctions)  No major developments in proximity  0 infill projects  0 concept plans   | Development Incentive Grant (Hamlet of Mirror) was extended for another 5 years Transand Inc. property rezoned to Business Industrial 'I-BI' District 0 infill projects 0 concept plans                     | Development Incentive Grant continues (Hamlet of Mirror)  No major developments in proximity  0 infill projects  0 concept plans  | Development Incentive Grant continues (Hamlet of Mirror)  2 developments in proximity to Hamlet of Morningside (dwellings)  0 infill projects  0 concept plans   | Development Incentive Grant continues (Hamlet of Mirror)  1 development in proximity to Hamlet of Mirror (dwelling)  1 infill project (dwelling in Mirror)  0 concept plans                                   |                                    | ✓        |
| 6.6.1                                     | 3       | Number of new/proposed multi-lot residential developments for current year   | 2 multi-lot residential<br>developments (Burbank<br>Heights and Lincoln Ranch)  | 0 new multi-lot residential developments   | 0 new multi-lot residential developments   | 0 new multi-lot residential developments  | 0 new multi-lot residential developments  | 0 new multi-lot residential developments   | 0 new multi-lot residential developments  |                                    | ✓        |
| 6.6.2<br>6.6.3<br>6.6.4                   | 1, 2, 5 | Analysis of identified multi-lot lands within existing<br>Area Structure Plan (ASP) areas for compliance with<br>6.6.2<br>Number of new multi-lot residential cluster-style<br>developments  | No Issues Identified  1 cluster-style multi-lot residential development (Lincoln Ranch)   | No Issues Identified  0 new cluster-style multi-lot residential developments   | No Issues Identified  0 new cluster-style multi-lot residential developments   | No Issues Identified  0 new cluster-style multi-lot residential developments  | No Issues Identified  0 new cluster-style multi-lot residential developments  | No issues identified  0 new cluster-style multi-lot residential developments   | No issues identified  0 new cluster-style multi-lot residential developments  |                                    | ✓        |

## **OUR BUILT ENVIRONMENT**

|                         |      |  | Object   | ive: Ensure compatible   | and responsible develo  | pment of the County's k   | ouilt environment  |   |   |  |
|-------------------------|------|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| Policy                  |      | How is success and/or implementation measured?   | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,<br>2017)  | Findings (2018)  | Findings (2019)   | Findings (2020)   | Findings (2021)  | Findings (2022)   |   | How are we doing?  Room for Improvement On-track |
| 6.7.1<br>6.7.2          | 1, 2 | Number of new multi-lots for current year  Total number of multi-lot residential developments  | 2 multi-lot residential<br>developments (Burbank   | 0 new multi-lot residential<br>developments                          | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                            | 0 new multi-lot residential<br>developments                         | 0 new multi-lot residential<br>developments                          | 0 new multi-lot residential<br>developments                         | 0 new multi-lot residential<br>developments                         | ✓  |
| 6.7.3<br>6.7.4          |      | (proposed and existing), and how many of these are cluster-style  Number of multi-lot residential which are  | Heights and Lincoln Ranch)  34 multi-lot residential developments; 4 cluster style   | 34 multi-lot residential developments ; 4 cluster style developments | 34 multi-lot residential developments; 4 cluster style developments | 34 multi-lot residential developments; 4 cluster style developments | 34 multi-lot residential developments ; 4 cluster style developments | 34 multi-lot residential developments; 4 cluster style developments | 34 multi-lot residential developments; 4 cluster style developments |  |
| 6.7.5<br>6.7.6          |      | zoned Residential Lake Area 'R-RLA', Residential<br>Conservation Cluster 'R-RCC', or Higher Density  | developments  1 multi-lot residential  | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                             | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                            | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                            | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                             | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                            | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                            |  |
|                         |      | Residential 'R-HDR' approved for year; number approved which are not one of these three residential districts  | development (Lincoln Ranch<br>is Residential Conservation<br>Cluster 'R-RCC' District and  | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                             | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                            | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                            | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                             | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                            | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                            |  |
|                         |      | Number and types of notable amenities in multi-lot residential developments within the lake area(s)  | Higher Density Residential 'R-HDR' District)   | 0 VIAs required<br>0 new multi-lot residential                       | 0 VIAs required<br>0 new multi-lot residential                      | 1 VIA completed for the Joffre<br>Solar Park (not for a residential | esidential Solar Park (not for a residential                         | 0 VIAs required<br>0 new multi-lot residential                      | 0 VIAs required 0 new multi-lot residential                         |  |
|                         |      | Number of Visual Impact Assessments (VIAs) required for current year, notable changes and/or recommendations to inform the development   | 9 hole golf course, community<br>parks, multi-use trails, and<br>nature trails,  | developments   | developments  | development) 0 new multi-lot residential developments               | development) 0 new multi-lot residential developments                | developments  | developments  |  |
|                         |      | New multi-lot developments within lake areas & type of water/wastewater  | 0 VIAs required<br>1 multi-lot residential<br>developments (Lincoln Ranch)<br>is communal  |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |
| 6.8.1<br>6.8.2          | 1, 3 | Notable incorporations of natural/geographic and/<br>or cultural amenities within multi-lot residential<br>developments, for current year  | Burbank Heights - a Historical<br>Resource Impact Assessment<br>identified two small campsites<br>with lithic artifacts. Additional<br>shovel tests were conducted<br>on one of the sites in order<br>to catalog and remove the<br>artifacts while the second site<br>is to be protected within an<br>Environmental Reserve parcel | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                             | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                            | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                            | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                             | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                            | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                            |  |
| 6.8.3<br>6.8.4          | 1, 2 | Amount (area) of land within high-density<br>developments that is preserved (above and beyond<br>the 10% requirement); for Residential Conservation<br>Cluster 'R-RCC' District  | 5.83 hectares (14.41 acres) in<br>Lincoln Ranch  | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                             | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                            | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                            | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                             | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                            | 0 new multi-lot residential developments                            | ✓  |
| 6.8.5<br>6.8.6<br>6.8.7 | 1, 3 | Annual analysis of current and proposed trail amenities and natural corridors in terms of connectivity  Number of developments in which Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) has been incorporated into the development | Ongoing  1 development (Lincoln Ranch)   | Ongoing  O new developments  | Ongoing  1 new development (Silver Mountain Cannabis)               | Ongoing  O new developments   | Ongoing  0 new developments  | Ongoing  0 new developments   | Ongoing  0 new developments   | ✓  |

|                 |                                 |  |  | Objective: Support ir   | nnovative and efficient i   | nfrastructure and techr   | nologies   |  |   |                                      |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| Policy          | Related Supporting Statement(s) | How is success and/or implementation measured?   | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,<br>2017)  | Findings (2018)   | Findings (2019)   | Findings (2020)   | Findings (2021)  | Findings (2022)  | Findings (2023)   | How are we doing?  Room for On-track |
| 7.3.1           | 1                               | Identify the projects on road construction plan which were as a result of development  | 5.1 kilometres (3.17 miles)<br>DeGraff Road  | 6.2 kilometres (3.85 miles)<br>DeGraff's, Sandy Point, Lincoln  | None  | None  | None   | None   | None  | <b>√</b>                             |
|                 |                                 | Kilometres of roadway upgraded or planned to be upgraded within or adjacent to identified nodes on the MDP land use concept map  | 4 kilometres (2.5 miles) Range<br>Road 1-1, Sandy Point  | Ranch 6.2 kilometres (3.85 miles) of 30.1 kilometres (20%)  | 10.5 kilometres (6.5 miles) of<br>25.75 kilometres (16 miles)<br>(40%)  | 6 kilometers (3.72 miles) of 24 kilometers (15 miles) (25%)   | 12.8 kilometers (8 miles) of 21 kilometers (13 miles) (61%)  | Nothing planned or completed for 2022  | 3.2 kilometers (1.98 miles)<br>of 17.7 kilometers (11 miles)<br>(18%)   | •                                    |
| 7.3.2           | 1                               | The number and/or size of developments adjacent to, or served by each County Main Road   | 7 out of 95 (7%) accessed by a County Main Road)   | 12 out of 184 (7%) accessed by a County Main road)  | 16 out of 158 (10%)accessed by a County Main road   | 14 out of 160 (8%) accessed by a County Main road   | 9 out of 209 (4%) accessed by a<br>County Main road  | 15 out of 180 (8%) accessed by a County Main road  | 13 out of 192 (7%) accessed by a County Main road   | ✓                                    |
| 7.3.3           | 1                               | Number of development and/or subdivision applications which required input from Alberta Transportation for current year  | 19 out of 95 (20%)   | 31 out of 185 (16%)   | 32 of 160 (20%)   | 14 of 160 (8%)  | 24 of 209 (11%)  | 19 of 180 development applications (10%) 13 of 32 subdivision applications (40%)   | 25 of 192 development applications (13%) 17 of 30 subdivision applications (57%)  | ✓                                    |
| 7.3.4           | 1                               | Throughout processing of applications, administration will assess each application for subdivision or development for compliance with (a)-(d), any exceptions to these will be noted | This must be done as applications are completed; (a)-(d) represent a standard of practice within department. No exception have been noted. Three noise abatement studies required (Procor, Burbank Heights, Ken Webster's racetrack) | This must be done as applications are completed; (a)-(d) represent a standard of practice within the department. No exceptions have been noted.           | This must be done as applications are completed; (a)-(d) represent a standard of practice within the department. No exceptions have been noted. | This must be done as applications are completed; (a)-(d) represent a standard of practice within the department. No exceptions have been noted.                                     | This must be done as applications are completed; (a)-(d) represent a standard of practice within the department. No exceptions have been noted.              | This must be done as applications are completed; (a)-(d) represent a standard of practice within the department. No exceptions have been noted.                                | This must be done as applications are completed; (a)-(d) represent a standard of practice within the department. No exceptions have been noted. | •                                    |
| 7.3.5           | 1                               | Number of applications considered which required a Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) for current year  | 3 applications (Burbank<br>Heights, Lincoln Ranch, The<br>Nursery Golf Course and<br>Country Club)   | 0 applications  | 1 application (Transand Inc.)   | 0 applications  | 1 application (Track on 2 for<br>signage)  | 3 applications (Track On<br>2 commercial subdivision,<br>Alberta Views recreation<br>rezoning, and Palm Cove<br>residential rezoning completed<br>an update to a previous TIA) | 1 application (DOW Rezoning<br>and subdivision submitted<br>Traffic Memo)   | ✓                                    |
| 7.3.6<br>7.3.7  | 1                               | Total amount of money collected under road improvement levies for current year  Number of developments which were required to contribute a road improvement levy for current year    | \$17,010<br>1 development (Degraff's RV<br>Resort)   | \$95,651  3 developments (Burbank Heights, DeGraff's RV Resort, The Nursery Golf Course and Country Club)   | \$12,355<br>2 developments (DeGraff's<br>RV Resort and Sandy Point RV<br>Resort)  | \$20,000<br>3 resubdivisions (Country<br>Residential 'R-CR' District)   | None   | \$10,000<br>2 resubdivisions (Country<br>Residential 'R-CR' District)  | None  | ✓                                    |
| 7.3.8           | 1                               | Review of complaint-tracker issues submitted by the public   | 5 complaints regarding gravel<br>trucks. Issues arose from rock<br>chips, speed of gravel trucks<br>near residences, and dust<br>0 tickets given (not an offence<br>under the Traffic Safety Act)                                    | 1 complaint regarding gravel<br>trucks off of designated route<br>causing dust issues<br>0 tickets given (not an offense<br>under the Traffic Safety Act) | 1 complaint regarding gravel<br>trucks (rock chip)<br>0 tickets given (not an offense<br>under the Traffic Safety Act)                          | 2 complaints (1 rock chip and<br>one from a construction detour<br>which impacted the condition<br>of the road)<br>0 tickets given (not an offense<br>under the Traffic Safety Act) | 1 complaint regarding illegal<br>hauling from a pit that did not<br>have County approval<br>0 tickets given (not an offense<br>under the Traffic Safety Act) | O complaints received regarding sand and gravel trucks O tickets given   | <ul><li>0 complaints received regarding sand and gravel trucks</li><li>0 tickets given</li></ul>  | ✓                                    |
| 7.3.9<br>7.3.10 | 1                               | Instances where the County's Operations Manual was deviated from, with permission of the County  | None   | 1 (Burbank Heights taper<br>requirements were relaxed due<br>to site specific conditions on<br>Burbank Road)  | 1 (MEGlobal - number of access points)  | 2 (Sandy Point campground<br>road and trail surfacing and an<br>extra access for the Track on 2<br>to facilitate servicing)   | None   | 1 (Sandy Point campground expansion road and trail surfacing)  | None  | ✓                                    |

|       |                                   |   |  | Objective: Support in  | novative and efficient i   | nfrastructure and techr  | ologies  |  |  |                                      |
|-------|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| Polic | Related y Supporting Statement(s) | How is success and/or implementation measured?  | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,<br>2017)  | Findings (2018)  | Findings (2019)  | Findings (2020)  | Findings (2021)  | Findings (2022)  | Findings (2023)  | How are we doing?  Room for On-track |
| 7.4.1 | 2                                 | What regional water/wastewater system commissions is the County currently involved with, and what initiatives and/or projects is the County undertaking to facilitate the provision of regional water/wastewater infrastructure   | Highway 12-21 Water, North<br>Red Deer Water, North Red<br>Deer Waste, Sylvan Lake<br>Regional Water, Sylvan Lake<br>Regional Wastewater | Highway 12-21 Water, North<br>Red Deer Water, North Red<br>Deer Waste, Sylvan Lake<br>Regional Water, Sylvan Lake<br>Regional Wastewater | Highway 12-21 Water, North<br>Red Deer Water, North Red<br>Deer Waste, Sylvan Lake<br>Regional Water, Sylvan Lake<br>Regional Wastewater | Highway 12-21 Water, North<br>Red Deer Water, North Red<br>Deer Waste, Sylvan Lake<br>Regional Water, Sylvan Lake<br>Regional Wastewater, Wild Rose<br>Business Park utility extension<br>from the City of Lacombe | Highway 12-21 Water, North<br>Red Deer Water, North Red<br>Deer Waste, Sylvan Lake<br>Regional Water, Sylvan Lake<br>Regional Wastewater, Wild Rose<br>Business Park utility extension<br>from the City of Lacombe | Highway 12-21 Water, North<br>Red Deer Water, North Red<br>Deer Waste, Sylvan Lake<br>Regional Water, Sylvan Lake<br>Regional Wastewater, Wild Rose<br>Business Park utility extension<br>from the City of Lacombe,<br>North and South Aspelund<br>Industrial Parks utility extension<br>from Town of Blackfalds | Highway 12-21 Water, North<br>Red Deer Water, North Red<br>Deer Waste, Sylvan Lake<br>Regional Water, Sylvan Lake<br>Regional Wastewater, North<br>and South Aspelund Industrial<br>Parks utility extension from<br>Town of Blackfalds | ✓                                    |
| 7.4.2 | 2                                 | Which urban municipalities is the County currently cooperating with for urban service extension, and what initiatives and/or projects is the County undertaking to facilitate the provision of urban service expansion  | The City of Lacombe (Lacombe<br>Area West - Wild Rose)   | The City of Lacombe (Lacombe Area West - Wild Rose)  | The City of Lacombe (Lacombe Area West - Wild Rose)  | The City of Lacombe (Lacombe<br>Area West - Wild Rose)   | No new   | Wild Rose Business Park utility<br>extension from the City of<br>Lacombe, North and South<br>Aspelund Industrial Parks<br>utility extension from Town of<br>Blackfalds   | North and South Aspelund<br>Industrial Parks utility extension<br>from Town of Blackfalds  | ✓                                    |
| 7.4.3 | 2                                 | Total number of lots located within commercial/<br>industrial nodes or other areas identified for/<br>currently served by regional water and wastewater<br>infrastructure which currently have a business<br>operating without a building   | 2 lots (Mega Cranes and Page<br>Transportation)  | 2 lots (Mega Cranes and Page<br>Transportation)<br>1 temporary permit approved<br>for Iron Rail  | 1 lot (Page Transportation) 1 temporary permit approved for Ranchland HD Equipment Solutions in Wild Rose Business Park  | 1 lot (Page Transportation) 1 temporary permit approved for Ranchland HD Equipment Solutions in Wild Rose Business Park  | ✓                                    |
| 7.4.4 | 2                                 | Notable cases where any water/wastewater systems were/are designed, constructed and/or operated outside of the bounds of the County's <i>Standards Manual</i> or per a negotiated development agreement   | None   | None   | The Slopes obtained a variance for the interim operation of their system   | None   | None   | None   | None   | ✓                                    |
| 7.4.5 | 2                                 | Number of new multi-lot subdivisions served by regional/municipal water/wastewater services  Number of new multi-lot subdivisions served by communal water/wastewater services  Number of new multi-lot subdivisions not served by regional or communal water/wastewater services | 1 (Lincoln Ranch - regional wastewater)  1 (Lincoln Ranch - communal water)  1 (Burbank Heights - both water and wastewater)             | 0 new multi-lot developments   | 0 new multi-lot developments   | 0 new multi-lot developments   | 1 (Wilson's Beach Estates<br>reconfigured previous<br>communal wastewater to<br>regional wastewater)<br>None<br>None   | 1 (Track On 2 - regional water<br>and wastewater)<br>None<br>None  | None<br>None   | ✓                                    |
| 7.4.6 | 2                                 | Notable reductions and/or relaxations of the County's standards for any municipal infrastructure  | None   | None   | None   | None   | None   | 1 (Track On 2 given exemption<br>to not install an emergency<br>backup generation for<br>liftstation facility)   | None   | ✓                                    |
| 7.4.7 | 2                                 | Cases where the County contributes to the servicing of a new development; total combined dollar amount of County contribution toward servicing provision for current year   | None   | Lacombe West Servicing<br>\$12,939,605   | None   | None   | None   | None   | None   | ✓                                    |
| 7.4.8 | 2                                 | Cases where the County allows for utilities to be installed/located outside of a designated utility right-of-way; what were/are the reasons for this  | None   | None   | None   | None   | None   | 1 (Degraffs RV Resort<br>communal wastewater line<br>to Lincoln Utility regional<br>wastewater system, permitted<br>within the road allowance due<br>to landowner issues along the<br>route)   | None   | <b>√</b>                             |

|              |     |                                       |  |   | Objective: Support in   | nnovative and efficient i   | infrastructure and tech                  | nologies                                 |   |   |  |
|--------------|-----|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Pol          |     | Related<br>Supporting<br>Statement(s) | How is success and/or implementation measured?   | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,<br>2017)   | Findings (2018)   | Findings (2019)   | Findings (2020)                          | Findings (2021)                          | Findings (2022)   | Findings (2023)   | How are we doing?  Room for Improvement On-track |
| 7.5.         | 1 2 | 2                                     | Number of applications considered which required a stormwater management plan for current year   | 4 applications (Burbank<br>Heights, Lincoln Ranch, The<br>Nursery Golf Course and<br>Country Club, Procor)  | 1 application (North Aspelund<br>Industrial Park expansion)   | 1 application (Transand Inc.)   | 0 applications                           | 0 applications                           | 4 applications (Alberta Views recreation rezoning, Track On 2 commercial subvisision, Sandy Point Campground development permit and Palm Cove residential rezoning completed an update to a previous stormwater management plan)) | 1 application (DOW rail<br>expansion rezoning)              | ✓  |
| 7.5.         | 2 2 |                                       | Number of new developments proposed within the Wolf Creek Whelp Brook drainage basin; Staff will take the master drainage plan into consideration for all applications within the plan area  | 30 of 95 (31%) developments<br>are within the watershed<br>1 rezoning development<br>(The Nursery Golf Course and<br>Country Club)  | 30 of 95 (31%) developments are within the watershed  | 29 of 160 (18%) developments are within the watershed   | 26 of 160 (16%) are within the watershed | 31 of 209 (14%) are within the watershed | 32 of 180 (17%) are within the watershed 1 subdivision (the Track On 2 commercial subdivision, approved plan is compliant with Master Drainage Plan)  | 40 of 192 (21%) are within the watershed 2 subdivisions     | ✓  |
| 7.5.<br>7.5. |     |                                       | Number of stormwater facilities proposed which have incorporated existing wetlands/natural features into the design, for current year  Number of stormwater facilities which have been proposed as a naturalized wetland, for current year  Number of stormwater facilities proposed to be constructed as an ornamental, dry pond, or other type of facility as an exemption to Policy INF& TECH 7.5.4; reasons for this exemption, for current year  Staff will take into consideration the County's Standards for Stormwater Management Facilities for all stormwater management facility proposals; how many notable exemptions to these standards were there and what were the reasons, for current year | 1 (Lincoln Ranch) 2 (Burbank Heights, Lincoln Ranch) 1 (The Nursery Golf Course and Country Club), the drainage area is too small to be functional as a naturalized stormwater facility  Design drawings have not been submitted; however, the Burbank Heights subdivision and Lincoln Ranch subdivision are to have constructed wetlands | (North Aspelund Industrial Park expansion)     (North Aspelund Industrial Park expansion)     None     None   | 1 (Transand Inc. incorporates a portion of a wetland which was formerly part of Parlby Creek before it was channelized)  None  1 (Transand Inc.)  Transand Inc.'s stormwater system is proposed to be a dry pond because the footprint of the pond is less than what is recommended to sustain a wet pond | None<br>None                             | None<br>None                             | 1 (Alberta Views recreation rezoning) 2 (Alberta Views recreation rezoning and Sandy Point campground) 1 (Track On 2 commercial subdivision includes swales to direct water to an existing pond)                                  | None<br>None<br>None  |  |
| 7.6.         | 1 2 | )                                     | Number of referrals received by the County, and any cases where alternatives were sought as a result of County recommendations, for current year   | None  | 2 (Altalink Central East<br>Transmission Project, Red Deer<br>Area Transmission Project)  | None  | None                                     | None                                     | Operations received 39 D56 referrals for proposed pipelines and well drilling   | Operations received 1 referral from Altalink for a new line | ✓  |
| 7.6.         | 2 2 | 2, 4                                  | Were there any instances where there were difficulties getting a provider to service a development?  | Difficulty with Telus providing<br>services (A problem province<br>wide, urban & rural). No<br>instances where utilities have<br>not been identified at the<br>concept stage  | Difficulty with Telus providing services (A problem province wide, urban & rural). No instances where utilities have not been identified at the concept stage. Burbank Heights will not have Telus hardwired service. An "Air-card" will be utilized for phone / internet services. | Difficulty with Telus providing<br>services (A problem province<br>wide, urban & rural)   | None                                     | None                                     | Difficulty with getting power<br>upgraded to a new Industrial<br>development in Burbank<br>Industrial Park  | None  |  |

|                |                                       |   |  | Objective: Support in   | nnovative and efficient  | infrastructure and techr   | nologies  |   |  |                                 |                       |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Policy         | Related<br>Supporting<br>Statement(s) | How is success and/or implementation measured?  | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,<br>2017)                                  | Findings (2018)   | Findings (2019)  | Findings (2020)  | Findings (2021)   | Findings (2022)   | Findings (2023)  | How are v  Room for Improvement | ve doing?<br>On-track |
| 7.6.3          | 2                                     | Cases where the County allows for utilities to be installed/located outside of a designated utility right-of-way; what were/are the reasons for this  | None   | Burbank Heights was granted<br>a small relaxation so that<br>electrical services could be<br>provided to the development.<br>The existing alignment of the<br>services required a relaxation. | None   | None   | None  | None  | None   |                                 | ✓                     |
| 7.7.1<br>7.7.2 | 3                                     | Number of permits issued for macrogeneration projects  Number of permits issued for microgeneration, including the number of permits for relaxations.  What initiatives or projects is the County currently/ planning to be involved in with regard to the promotion and support of diversified energy sources        | 1 (MET Tower) None None  | None<br>None  | One inquiry regarding a solar farm in the Joffre area. They have initiated their Alberta Utility Commission (AUC) process and intend to start the process of County approval in spring of 2020  None  None | Joffre Solar Park project conducted public consultation prior to submitting Development Permit Application  None  None | None  Lacombe County Solar Project, installed on the County main shop   | None None Lacombe County Solar Project operating on the County main shop roof   | None None Lacombe County Solar Project operating on the County main shop roof  |                                 | ✓                     |
| 7.7.3<br>7.7.4 | 3                                     | What initiatives or projects is the County currently/ planning to be involved in with regard to the promotion and support of energy efficient buildings and construction  Number of development applications in which one or more aspects incorporated energy efficient construction and management, for current year | 0 applications 0 applications  | Staff Training in Leadership<br>in Energy and Environmental<br>Design (LEED)<br>0 applications  | Staff have become certified in Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) for Neigbourhood Development  O applications   | Joffre Solar Park project<br>conducted public consultation<br>prior to submitting<br>Development Permit<br>Application | Lacombe County Solar Project,<br>installed on the County main<br>shop<br>Joffre Solar Park  | Lacombe County Solar Project operating on the County main shop roof  2 (Development Permit Applications with solar components on the roof)      | Lacombe County Solar Project operating on the County main shop roof  6 (Development Permit Applications with solar components , 4 applications included roughed in infrastructure to be implemented at a later date) |                                 | ✓                     |
| 7.8.1          | 2, 4                                  | Number of applications for telecommunication towers, either new or additions/expansions, for current year  Number of applications for telecommunication towers which required public consultation for current year  | 0 applications<br>0 applications                                     | 0 applications<br>0 applications  | 2 applications (1 was withdrawn) 2 applications  | 2 applications   | Permits no longer required, 3 letters of concurrence issued.  Proponents are required to follow Industry Canada's consultation process                      | Permits not required, 1 letter of concurrence issued (Wolf Midstream)  Proponents are required to follow Industry Canada's consultation process | Permits not required, 1 letter of concurrence issued (Rogers)  Proponents are required to follow Industry Canada's consultation process  |                                 | ✓                     |
| 7.9.1          | 2                                     | What current agreements and/or initiatives is the County currently involved with in partnership with neighbouring municipalities and private entities with regard to regional solid waste management Number of applications for businesses/operations related to solid waste management for current year              | Lacombe Regional Solid Waste<br>Commission<br>0 applications         | Lacombe Regional Solid Waste<br>Commission<br>0 applications  | Lacombe Regional Solid Waste<br>Commission<br>0 applications   | Lacombe Regional Solid Waste Commission  0 applications  | Lacombe Regional Solid Waste Commission  2 inquiries - no applications made (Composting facility at the Prentiss site, Construction waste sorting facility) | Lacombe Regional Solid Waste<br>Commission and Recycling<br>Sharing Agreements with<br>City of Lacombe and Town of<br>Bentley<br>None           | Lacombe Regional Solid Waste<br>Commission and Recycling<br>Sharing Agreements with<br>City of Lacombe and Town of<br>Bentley<br>None  |                                 | ✓                     |
| 7.9.2          | 2                                     | What projects, initiatives and/or contributions has the County been involved with to support health care in the community   | Family and Community<br>Support Services, Alberta<br>Health (Mirror) | Family and Community<br>Support Services  | Family and Community<br>Support Services   | Family and Community<br>Support Services   | Family and Community<br>Support Services  | Family and Community<br>Support Services  | Family and Community<br>Support Services   |                                 | $\checkmark$          |

|        | Objective: Support innovative and efficient infrastructure and technologies |                       |   |   |   |                 |                 |   |  |  |                           |          |  |
|--------|---|-----------------------|---|---|---|-----------------|-----------------|---|--|--|---------------------------|----------|--|
|        | Policy  | Related<br>Supporting | How is success and/or implementation  | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,  | Findings (2018)   | Findings (2019) | Findings (2020) | Findings (2021)   | Findings (2022)  | Findings (2023)  | How are we                | doing?   |  |
| 1 Oney |   | Statement(s)          | Theasured?  | 2017)   | 1 manigs (2010)   | 1 mamg3 (2017)  | 1 mamg3 (2020)  | 1 111d111g3 (2021)  | 1 111ai11g3 (2022)   | 1 111d111g3 (2023)   | Room for<br>Improvement ( | On-track |  |
|        | 9.3   |                       | What projects, initiatives and/or contributions has the County been involved with to support emergency and protective services both within the County and adjacent municipalities | municipalities, Joint Use agreements for equipment & buildings, Lacombe emergency | Mutual Aid with neighbouring municipalities, Joint Use agreements for equipment & buildings, Lacombe emergency partnership, Protective services between Alix, Bentley, Clive & Eckville |                 | -               | Mutual Aid Agreements with neighboring municipalities, Joint operation fire services agreements, joint use agreements for building and equipment, Lacombe Regional Emergency Management Partnership, Lacombe County Mutual Aid Organization (Industry Partners), Membership in a Regional Emergency Management Team, Protective Services contracts with Alix, Bentley, Clive and Eckville | Fire services agreements, Fee for service fire agreements, Lacombe Regional Emergency Management Partnership, Lacombe County Mutual Aid Organization (Industry Partners), Membership in a Regional Emergency Management Team, Mutual Aid Agreements with neighboring municipalities, Peace Officer Service Agreements contracts with Alix, Bentley, Clive and Eckville | Fire services agreements, Fee for service fire agreements, Lacombe Regional Emergency Management Partnership, Lacombe County Mutual Aid Organization (Industry Partners), Membership in a Regional Emergency Management Team, Mutual Aid Agreements with neighboring municipalities, Peace Officer Service Agreements contracts with Alix, Bentley, Clive and Eckville |                           | ✓        |  |

|                | Objective: Foster strong municipal leadership |  |  |   |   |   |   |   |  |            |                      |
|----------------|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|------------|----------------------|
| Policy         | Related<br>Supporting<br>Statement(s)         | How is success and/or implementation measured?   | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,<br>2017)  | Findings (2018)   | Findings (2019)   | Findings (2020)   | Findings (2021)   | Findings (2022)   | Findings (2023)  | How are we | e doing?<br>On-track |
| 8.3.1<br>8.3.2 | 1   | Date of annual review of Municipal Development<br>Plan   | January 2018   | January 2019  | January 2020  | January 2021  | January 2022  | January 2023  | January 2024   |            | <b>√</b>             |
| 8.3.3<br>8.3.4 |   | Number of MDP amendments carried out for current year  | MDP and LUB were adopted mid-<br>year in July 2017   | 1 bylaw to amend the MDP<br>2 bylaws to amend the LUB   | 1 bylaw to amend the MDP<br>1 bylaw to amend the LUB  | 2 bylaws to amend the MDP<br>1 bylaw to amend the LUB   | 1 bylaw to amend the MDP<br>1 bylaw to amend the LUB  | 1 bylaw to amend the MDP<br>2 bylaws to amend the LUB   | 1 bylaw to amend the MDP<br>1 bylaw to amend the LUB   |            |                      |
|                |   | Number of LUB amendments carried out for current year  | 0 public submissions to amend<br>the MDP or LUB  | 0 public submissions to amend<br>the MDP or LUB   | 2 public submissions to<br>amend the MDP and 0 public<br>submissions to amend the LUB                             | 1 public submission to<br>amend the MDP and 3 public<br>submissions to amend the LUB  | 0 public submissions to<br>amend the MDP and 2 public<br>submissions to amend the LUB   | 3 public submissions to<br>amend the MDP and 3 public<br>submissions to amend the LUB   | 2 public submissions to<br>amend the MDP and 1 public<br>submission to amend the LUB   |            |                      |
|                |   | Number of public submissions to amend the MDP and LUB  Number of amendments initiated due to public requests |  |   | 0 amendments initiated due to public submissions  | 0 MDP amendments and 3 LUB amendments initiated due to public submissions   |   | 2 LUB amendments initiated due to public submissions  |  |            |                      |
| 8.4.1          | 2   | Publish date of annual MDP review document   | March 2018   | February 2019   | February 2020   | March 2021  | March 2022  | March 2023  | May 2024   |            |                      |
| 8.4.2          |   | Specific amendments carried out as part of the   | Regular clean up items   | Regular clean up items  | Regular clean up items  | Regular clean up items  | Regular clean up items  | Regular clean up items  | Regular clean up items   |            | $\checkmark$         |
| 8.4.3          |   | annual review  Mediums of communication used to notify community   | Addition of definition for "Specialized or Intensive Agricultural Operation"  Amendments as a result of the <i>Municipal Government Act</i> changes  County News, Local Papers, County website, Facebook | Change to Policy 3.6.1 clarifying that three (3) titles is only under Agricultural 'A' District zoning  County News, Local Papers, County website, Facebook | Subdivision Policy Addition of Telecommunication Tower Policy County News, Local Papers, County website, Facebook | Amendment of ALUS program Clarification of Boundary Adjustment Subdivisions County News, Local Papers, County website, Facebook                 | Addition of definition for "undeveloped right-of-way"  Addition of Indigenous Peoples section and policies  County News, Local Papers, County website, Facebook | Clarify Agricultural Parcel Subdivision Policy to follow boundary of use Addition of Community Use Subdivision Policy Addition of Responsible Growth and Residential Resubdivision Policies County News, Local Papers, County website, Facebook, Instagram, Twitter | Include reference to the <i>Economic Development Strategy</i> Remove references to Parks and Open Space Master Plan, Gull Lake Area Structure Plan, and Buffalo Lake Area Structure Plan Update references to County's Parks and Reserves Management Bylaw and the Use and Management of County Reserve Lands policy County News, Local Papers, County website, Facebook, Instagram, Twitter |            |                      |
| 8.5.1          | 3   | Number of referrals to adjacent municipalities for current year  | 11 developments 2 subdivisions   | 10 developments 0 subdivisions  | 18 developments   | 4 developments 2 subdivisions   | 6 developments 12 subdivisions  | 7 developments  | 9 developments   |            | $\checkmark$         |
| 8.5.2          | 3   | Number of Intermunicipal Development Plans and/or agreements updated for current year                        |  | 3 updates (Town of Eckville,<br>Town of Bentley and the Village   | 6 subdivisions  2 updates in process (Blackfalds, and Buffalo Lake)   | 1 updated IDP in process (Buffalo Lake)   | 1 updated IDP done (Buffalo<br>Lake)  | 6 subdivisions 0 new IDP's adopted 0 new or updated existing  | 2 subdivisions 0 new IDP's adopted (review work on Alix IDP underway)  |            | ✓                    |
|                |   | Number of new Intermunicipal Development Plans and/or agreements for current year                            | Lacombe Intermunicipal Development Plan and Joint Economic Agreement adopted in June 2017  | of Clive)  No new IDPs adopted, but Sylvan Lake IDP has been initiated  | 1 update done (Gull Lake) 2 new IDPs in process (Ponoka County, and Sylvan Lake)                                  | <ul><li>1 updated IDP done<br/>(Blackfalds)</li><li>1 new IDP done (Ponoka<br/>County)</li><li>1 new IDP in process (Sylvan<br/>Lake)</li></ul> | 1 new IDP done (Sylvan Lake)  | municipal agreements  | 0 new or updated existing municipal agreements   |            |                      |

|                | Objective: Foster strong municipal leadership |  |                                |                                |  |   |                                |  |   |                         |              |
|----------------|---|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------|--------------|
| Policy         | Related<br>Supporting                         | How is success and/or implementation   | Findings (July 6 - Dec 31,     | Findings (2018)                | Findings (2019)  | Findings (2020)   | Findings (2021)                | Findings (2022)  | Findings (2023)   | How are we doing?       |              |
|                | Statement(s)                                  |  | 2017)                          |                                |  | :ags (==25)   | 1                              |  |   | Room for<br>Improvement | On-track     |
| 8.5.3<br>8.5.4 | 3   | What annexation processes are underway, are they in alignment with an existing intermunicipal development plan and/or agreement? | None                           | None                           | None   | None  | None                           | None   | Town of Bentley has initiated annexation in accordance with Southeast Bentley Area Structure  |                         | $\checkmark$ |
|                |   | Were any revenue-producing lands annexed/<br>proposed to be annexed?   | None                           | None                           | None   | None  | None                           | None   | Plan and Town of Bentley/<br>Lacombe County Intermunicipal<br>Development Plan  |                         |              |
| 0.5.5          | 2   | Ni walang af gafa gaala ka a alia a aaka wa walaina liki a faga  | 11                             | 10 dayalarını sırtı            | 10 decelerate  | 4   | C de vele 200 204              | 7  | None  |                         |              |
| 8.5.5<br>8.5.6 | 3   | Number of referrals to adjacent municipalities for development, subdivision or other endeavors                                   | 11 developments 2 subdivisions | 10 developments 0 subdivisions | 18 developments 6 subdivisions                             | 4 developments 2 subdivisions   | 6 developments 12 subdivisions | 7 developments 6 subdivisions  | 9 developments 2 subdivisions   |                         | $\checkmark$ |
| 8.5.7          | 3   | Number of new and/or updated joint-use agreements  Total dollar amount contributed by the County for the current year            | 2 \$1,073,875.20               | None<br>\$1,999,974.89         | 1 new agreement (Town of<br>Sylvan Lake)<br>\$1,912,538.86 | 4 new agreements (Lacombe Athletic Park Association Cost Sharing, Lacombe Performing Arts Centre Cost Sharing, Fire Services Agreement Summer Village of Gull Lake & Town of Bentley and Fire Services Agreement Summer Village of Sunbreaker Cove & Town of Bentley) and 3 updated agreements (Town of Blackfalds Recreation Cost Sharing, City of Lacombe Recreation/Cultural Cost Sharing, Bentley Recycling Facility Cost Sharing) \$3,187,108.75 |                                | None<br>\$2,324,906.59 (tentative - still<br>waiting on some invoices) | 3 (New Fire Services Agreement with the City of Lacombe; Updated JEA with the Town of Blackfalds; New Wastewater Trunk Line Funding Agreement with the Town of Blackfalds) \$3,054,770.64 |                         | ✓            |

|                         |                            |   |  | Foster strong municipal leadership  |  |   |  |   |                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|---------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Policy                  | Related                    | How is success and/or implementation measured?  |  |   |  |   | How are we   |   |                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|                         | Supporting<br>Statement(s) |   | Findings (2017-2021)   | Findings (2022)   | Findings (2023)  | Needs<br>Work   |  |   |                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
| 8.6.1<br>8.6.2<br>8.6.3 | 1,2                        | Description of Acknowledgements of Land and People incorporated into Planning publications  Description of how Lacombe County commemorated National Day for Truth and Reconciliation (NDTR), to recognize the legacy of the Canadian Indian residential school system and honour the lost children and Survivors of residential schools | No review<br>(policies was inserted April 14, 2022)  | An Acknowledgement of Land and People policy and graphic design map of the Central Alberta area was added to the Municipal Development Plan on April 14, 2022. The map highlights Treaty areas, Metis Nations and settlements, and languages spoken.  | An Acknowledgement of Land and People was included in the Southeast Bentley Area Structure Plan adopted in November 2023  To provide an opportunity for Council and staff to learn more, Lacombe County hosted a   |   |  |   |                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|                         |                            |   |  | To provide an opportunity for Council and staff to learn more, Lacombe County developed a   | presentation given by Beverly Keeshig-Soonias on the 'Oral Tradition as Part of Our Identity'.  Lacombe County also presented a virtual event to staff, featuring one of our own staff members, the Cardinal family 'Intergeneration Resilience:Sharing Stories From Families of Residential School Survivors'. The presentation was a video documenting the familiy's |   |  |   |                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|                         |                            |   |  | • Day 1: What is the National Day for Truth and Reconciliation?, Why do we Wear Orange?, and Whose Land Are We On? (67 views)   |  |   |  |   |                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|                         |                            | Number and description of education opportunities taken by planning staff to listen, learn, and   |  | Day 2: Treaty 6, What is a Treaty? Why is it Important? (56 views)  | discussion with their father, a residential school survivor, and focuses on their resilience.  |   |  |   |                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|                         |                            | understand  |  | Day 3: Terminology - Language Matters, and How to Talk About Indigenous People (44 views)   | Language County Dispusion Commission shelf monticipated in Conducation and making  |   |  |   |                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|                         |                            | Number and description of books read by planning staff to listen, learn, and understand   |  |   |  |   |  |   |                           |  |  | ·   | <ul> <li>Day 4: The Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada (TRC), and Residential Schools (39 views)</li> </ul> | Lacombe County Planning Services staff participated in 6 education opportunities  The Land Sustains Us:Indigenous Perspectives on Development webinar presented by the City of |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|                         |                            |   |  | <ul> <li>Day 5: Creating Understanding, Did You Know about the Big Rock, and Did You Know about Writing-on-Stone (29 views)</li> <li>Day 5 Special Guest: Council and staff were invited to a guest speaker, Bee Calliou Schadek, a member of the Michel First Nation, Treaty #6, Alberta. Bee's presentation was 'Indigenous Awareness - Past, Present, Future'. (73 attendees)</li> </ul> | Mississauga (Planner/Development Officer)  • Medicine Walk by Mahikan Trails hosted by the Lacombe Museum in Sundre(Senior Planner and   |   |  |   |                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|                         |                            |   |  |   | <ul> <li>Planner/Development Officer)</li> <li>University of Alberta, Indigenous Canada online course from the Faculty of Native Studies<br/>(Planner/Development Officer)</li> </ul>  |   |  |   |                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|                         |                            |   |  |   | Lacombe County Planning Services staff participated in 8 education opportunities   | Blueberries for Everyone virtual exhibit (Senior Planner, Planner/Development Officer, Planner/<br>Development Officer, Economic Development Officer, Planning Receptionist)            |  |   |                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|                         |                            |   |  |   |  |   |  |   |                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  | <ul> <li>Conservation through Reconciliation Partnership Webinar: Two-Eyed Seeing in Conservation</li> </ul> | Speaking the Inuit Way exhibit at the Lacombe Museum (Senior Planner) |  |  |
|                         |                            |   |  |   |  |   |  |   | Practice (Senior Planner) | • The Ones We Met exhibit at the Lacombe Museum (Senior Planner) |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|                         |                            |   |  | IAP2 Canada, Course: Indigenous Awareness for Engagement Professionals (Senior Planner)   | • IAP2 Canada, Course: Indigenous Awareness for Engagement Professionals (Planner/Development  |   |  |   |                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|                         |                            |   |  | IAP2 Canada, Course: Engagement methods for Indigenous Cultural Contexts (Senior Planner)   | Officer)   |   |  |   |                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|                         |                            |   | <ul> <li>IAP2 Conference, Session: A Decolonized Approach to Community Engagement in a Municipal Planning Process (Senior Planner)</li> <li>IAP2 Conference, Session: Building a Shared Decision-making Model Grounded in Indigenous Values (Senior Planner)</li> <li>Calling My Spirit Back (Se Braiding Sweetgrass (Ser Firekeeper's Daughter (P)</li> </ul> |   |  |   |  |   |                           | Lacombe County Planning Services staff read 4 books, including   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|                         |                            |   |  |   |  |   |  |   |                           |  |  | Calling My Spirit Back (Senior Planner and Planner/Development Officer)      Development Officer) |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|                         |                            |   |  | Firekeeper's Daughter (Planning Receptionist)   |  |   |  |   |                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|                         |                            |   |  |   |  | <ul> <li>University of Alberta, Indigenous Canada online course from<br/>(Economic Development Officer)</li> <li>Indigenous Tourism Alberta, One day Cultural Awareness Trai</li> </ul> | University of Alberta, Indigenous Canada online course from the Faculty of Native Studies        | Clearing the Plains (Planner/Development Officer) |                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|                         |                            |   |  |   |  |   | • Indigenous Tourism Alberta, One day Cultural Awareness Training (Economic Development Officer) |   |                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|                         |                            |   |  |   |  |   | Lacombe County Planning Services staff read 4 books, including                                   |   |                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|                         |                            |   |  |   |  | In This Together (Senior Planner and Junior Planner)  |  |   |                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|                         |                            |   |  | The Education of Augie Merasty (Senior Planner)   |  |   |  |   |                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|                         |                            |   |  |   |  |   |  |   |                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|                         |                            |   |  | Five Little Indians (Senior Planner)  |  |   |  |   |                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |

Annual Review of the Lacombe County Municipal Development Plan & Land Use Bylaw 2023