

INTRODUCTION

Policy 8.3.2 of the County's Municipal Development Plan requires an annual review and report based on the findings of the monitoring matrix under Part 3. The matrix was created to test the success or failure of the policies within the Municipal Development Plan (MDP) and Land Use Bylaw (LUB), and evaluate whether the policies are meeting the plan's objectives. The following are the findings broken down by section, followed by recommendations for proposed changes based on the findings.



SECTION 3 - OUR AGRICULTURAL COMMUNITY



The key objective of this section is to protect and encourage the County's strong agricultural community. The main focus of the policies is on subdivision and preventing the fragmentation and land use change from primary agriculture, while also supporting specialized agricultural operations that need less land. The percentage of land zoned Agricultural 'A' District in the County is still extremely high at 98.63%. In 2020, only one rezoning was completed; 60 hectares (148 acres) was rezoned to Heavy Industrial 'I-HI' District, west of the Hamlet of Mirror. The land was rezoned to facilitate a Dry Bulk Facility for the purposes of transporting and distributing frac sand.

There was one (1) appeal received for an application in the Agricultural 'A' District in 2020. A community facility was appealed as some neighbours felt the proposed community facility conflicts with, is incompatible with, or negatively affects the agricultural community. The appeal board decision denied the appeal, and upheld the decision of the Municipal Planning Commission to approve the community facility.

The total number of first parcel out subdivisions received was fourteen (14), and all were approved. The average size of the applications approved was 1.72 hectares (4.25 acres), which is a slight increase from last year's 1.67 hectares (4.13 acres). While the average size is slightly larger than the permitted 1.62 hectares (4 acres), it is well within the discretionary maximum of 2.2 hectares (5.5 acres).

The total number of fragmented parcel subdivisions received was two (2), and they were both approved. The average size of the applications approved was 8.29 hectares (20.49 acres).

The total number of agricultural subdivisions received was three (3), and they were all approved. The applications were for an existing tree farm, horse breeding operation, and a horse training operation. The average size of the applications approved was 8.60 hectares (21.25 acres).

The total number of boundary adjustment subdivisions received was four (4), and they were all approved. All approved applications conformed to other subdivision policies; one application did result in a net increase in size of a parcel, however it still conformed to the first parcel out subdivision policy. Inquiries to the County have indicated that the boundary adjustment policy as worded leads to confusion, and staff are presenting an amendment to clarify it, although not change the intent of the policy.

Two (2) subdivision applications were submitted that did not conform to any subdivision policies in the MDP. Therefore, both applications were refused due to the MDP not containing

policies that would allow for these types of subdivisions to occur, and subsequently both were appealed by the applicants. The first application was for a second first parcel out subdivision, of which the decision of the appeal hearing is pending at the time of writing this report. The second application was to reinstate the quarter section line on a previously consolidated parcel. The Municipal Government Board upheld the appeal and the subdivision was approved, as the Municipal Government Board decided the subdivision along the original quarter section line complies with the intent of the MDP. Accordingly, the Municipal Government Board is not bound by the MDP policies, and has discretion to approve subdivisions notwithstanding noncompliance in appropriate circumstances. The Municipal Government Board found this is an appropriate case to do so.

The County met its referral responsibilities in terms of the Natural Resources Conservation Board (NRCB). The County responded to the NRCB on two (2) new confined feeding operations and six (6) expansions to existing operations.

Suggested Actions based on the Matrix Results:

1. In the MDP, clarify Section 3.8 and Policy 3.8.1 relating to Boundary Adjustment subdivisions.



SECTION 4 - OUR NATURAL ENVIRONMENT AND RECREATION

Objective: Demonstrate responsible stewardship of the County's natural environment and provide a variety of recreational opportunities

The key objective of this section is to demonstrate responsible stewardship of the County's natural environment and provide a variety of recreational opportunities. The County has many progressive policies to protect its natural environment. One integral way of protecting the County's waterbodies and watercourses is through the incorporation of environmental reserve. As part of any second parcel subdivision, the County requires environmental reserve or environmental reserve easement, depending on the location or type of waterbody or watercourse. For 2020, one (1) application had an environmental reserve and one (1) application had an environmental reserve was required along an unnamed waterbody, and the environmental reserve easement was required along the named watercourse of Haynes Creek. The amount of environmental reserve was 3.84 hectares (9.5 acres) and the amount of environmental reserve easement requirements relaxed by the County.

There were no new rezoning projects proposed in 2020.

Ensuring the safe disposal of wastewater, safeguarding groundwater and the proper retention and filtration of stormwater are fundamental to the responsible stewardship of the County's natural environment. All development and subdivision applications are required to confirm that they can provide adequate sewage disposal. There were no new rezoning applications requiring a geotechnical study or stormwater management plan for 2020.

Since the adoption of the MDP and LUB, the County has adopted several programs to inform the public and increase awareness and protection of the County's natural capital. Allowing the public to access natural recreational areas encourages environmental stewardship. In developments where environmental reserve is required, municipal reserve is also required adjacent to it so that the County can incorporate trails along the water body or water feature. In 2020, no municipal reserve was taken adjacent to environmental reserve, as there were no applications with a combination of both municipal reserve and environmental reserve dedications.

To date, the County has received a total of 198.4 hectares (490 acres) of donated lands. In 2020, no new lands were donated, but the County added an additional amenity (washroom) to the Mirror ball diamonds. In 2020, the County also partnered with the Mirror Community Network and the Living Truth Christian School to provide fencing for the Mirror Dog Park. The previously donated lands comply with the County's green infrastructure policies, through the design of the development and donated lands incorporating and accentuating the natural features.

There were no municipal subdivisions in 2020, where Lacombe County initiated subdivision for municipal/public use purposes.

In terms of community education and information, the Take It Off Program continued for all of the County lakes in early 2020. In spring 2020, the Alberta Guide to Sportfishing Regulations was changed, and it is now mandatory to identify your ice fishing hut with your WiN number or name, phone number, and address. Ice huts must be removed before March 31 each year. These new rules have replaced the Take It Off Program, and as such the County will only be continuing to provide education on the Provincial requirements.

In 2020, Council decided to no longer administer the Alternative Land Use Services (ALUS) program, although ALUS is still active in our community and supported by Lacombe County. Council is still very supportive of general conservation projects.

The Agricultural Plastics Recycling Program also began in late 2018 to ensure proper recycling of grain bags. The program is a partnership between Lacombe County, Ponoka County, and Red Deer County, where agricultural producers can access this service, free of charge.

Suggested Action based on the Matrix Results:

1. In the MDP, update all references to supporting the Alternative Land Use Services (ALUS) program to supporting general conservation projects in section 4.6.



SECTION 5 - OUR ECONOMIC GROWTH

Objective: Diversify and support economic growth

The overarching economic development strategy is to ensure that commercial and industrial development is located along major highways and hamlets, while home based businesses can locate in the agricultural area to help support the agricultural community. The policies supporting this strategy have been successful to date. One-hundred and three (103) industrial and commercial developments were approved within 3.2 kilometres of a provincial highway. For applications in the Agricultural 'A' District, there was eight (8) major home based business applications, and three (3) minor business or trades applications in 2020. To date, development approvals are in line with the development strategy.

The Development Incentive Grant has been piloted since 2018 in the Hamlet of Mirror to encourage small businesses to locate in the hamlet, and in 2019 staff implemented a communication strategy to promote the grant program. No new applications were made in 2020, however Council decided to extend the program for five (5) more years.

Tourism was identified as important to the economic development of the County by the public, and tourism also plays a key role in harnessing and building upon the culture of the area. For 2020, eleven (11) applications were received for businesses that support or facilitate tourism These include eight (8) community facilities, and three (3) campgrounds. Due to the increasing popularity of the community facility use, staff are suggesting creation of a new guide to develop an Emergency Response Plan (ERP) for a community facility. The current *Emergency Response Plan Guidelines* is intended to guide developing an ERP for a special event, not a permanent venue.

Several initiatives are also ongoing where the County supports its tourist assets, including Policy RC(10) which provides operating support to historical, cultural, tourism and visitor information facilities, and services, and Policy RC(1) which provides funding for community facilities.

An important component of the County's economic growth is the clustering of commercial and industrial developments to help create synergies. The County has achieved this success through its existing industrial and commercial parks. Eight (8) development applications were received in the industrial districts and two (2) in a commercial district in 2020.

The County continues to support and encourage the incorporation of crime prevention principles to help mitigate crime in our community. Crime Prevention through Environmental Design (CPTED) sets out easy to incorporate design principles, such as landscaping, fencing or lighting for your property, which can greatly reduce the chance of a crime occurring. No new developments integrated CPTED, and County staff did not complete any CPTED property assessments in 2020, due to COVID-19. Over the past two years County Peace Officer's teamed up with the Central Alberta Crime Prevention Center to co-instruct their Advanced CPTED course, focusing the specific needs of rural properties. This course has been attended by folks from as close as Lacombe County, and as far as Quebec. This wide variety of experience provides many opportunities and examples for all in attendance to learn from, and bring back to their communities.

Natural resources are an important part of the economy and are supported by the County. In 2020, there was one (1) existing gravel pit renewed with no changes, and five (5) existing pits renewed with expansions to their previous approval. The County has a strong process and good working relationship with its gravel pit operators to help minimize any impacts to the County's residents from these operations. As part of the County's continued review of gravel pit operations within its borders and with input received from area operators, landowners and other stakeholders, a review of haul routes for sand a gravel pits occurred in 2020. Council directed administration to work together with operators at the time of development application to establish haul routes to potentially utilize additional County paved roads. Routes will be considered through the development permit application process that strike a balance between safety, reducing red tape, optimizing haul routes and maintaining the integrity of our road network. Where a haul route is gravel, we will continue to base the route off the shortest distance. Therefore, operators now have the opportunity to apply for a development permit requesting an expanded haul route utilizing more County paved roads than previously permitted.

In 2020, the County participated in a project coordinated by the Central Alberta Economic Partnership (CAEP) to produce a *Community Profile*, and a *Vacant Industrial Land Inventory*. This was followed up with the creation of the *Business Development Guide* which focuses on industries in the area, as well as the County's various (commercial, industrial, residential and recreational) development opportunities and amenities.

The assessment for policy implementation to meet the economic growth objective is considered successful for 2020.

Suggested Action based on the Matrix Results:

1. Create a new guide titled *Emergency Response Plan Guidelines – Community Facility*, specific to developing an ERP for community facilities.

SECTION 6 - OUR BUILT ENVIRONMENT

Objective: Ensure compatible and responsible development of the County's built environment

The MDP sets out a housing strategy for the County, which focuses developments into hamlets and areas identified as being suitable for residential development. The strategy's aim is to protect agricultural land from subdivision and acreage pressure, and help strengthen and grow hamlet communities. The matrix assessed the County's twenty nine (29) multi-lot residential developments and it met the housing strategy criteria. In terms of development approvals, the majority of housing approved is still within the County's Agricultural 'A' District with thirty (30) agriculture/acreage first dwellings, and eight (8) agriculture second dwellings. Two (2) of the agriculture second dwellings were located on a property less than 40 acres in size, one for compassionate care, and one for an agricultural operation. Thirteen (13) dwellings were approved in a Recreational Vehicle Resort 'R-RVR' District, ten (10) dwellings were approved in a multi-lot development, and two (2) dwellings were approved in a hamlet. While the economic climate may be playing a part in the slow up-take of the County's multi-lot developments, we did see an increase in multi-lot development permits compared to previous years, which is positive to see. There is still a strong trend of agricultural land being the primary area for residential development, which reflects the culture of our area as an agricultural community. To continue to support hamlet development, the County continues the Development Incentive Grant and the Main Street Projects for the Hamlet of Mirror. The Development Incentive Grant saw no applications in 2020.

There were no multi-lot residential rezoning applications for 2020; therefore, we cannot yet assess compliance with the principles for Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design or Dark Sky.

The public identified the sensitive lake environments of the County as an important environmental, cultural and recreational amenity. County policies have long recognized this and employed required density provisions for multi-lot residential development in the lakes watershed areas. The matrix is evaluating these densities to ensure compliance and outlines which districts makeup the residential component of the watershed areas. The densities will be evaluated over time to ensure compliance.

The assessment for policy implementation to meet the built environment objective is considered successful for 2020.

Suggested Action based on the Matrix Results:

No suggested action for this year's review.

SECTION 7 - OUR INFRASTRUCTURE & TECHNOLOGY

Objective: Support innovative and efficient infrastructure and technologies

The County's road infrastructure is integral to the economic success of the County and development can often place additional pressure on the existing road infrastructure; therefore, the MDP and LUB set out numerous policies and regulations to ensure the highest level of service for County residents. The 5-year road construction plan was reviewed and some major roads have been completed or are slated for upgrading. Of the projects upgraded or planned to be upgraded, 25% of the total kilometres are located in or adjacent to development nodes identified in the County's MDP Land Use Concept Map. No exceptions have been made to-date requiring developers to carry out Traffic Impact Assessments and all required developments are referred to Alberta Transportation for their comments. For 2020 a total of \$20,000 was collected in road improvement levies. The levies were collected from three (3) previous resubdivision applications in the Country Residential 'R-CR' District.

Regional and communal water and wastewater are important considerations to the future protection of the environment and a consistent source of waste disposal and water provision for our industries. The County sets out policies to work with and cooperate with neighbouring municipalities to provide regional services. The County is also part of water and wastewater commissions, which provide regional servicing in the County. Where regional water and wastewater services are not available, all new multi-lot development is required to install communal systems.

The policies on stormwater management were increased as part of the adoption of the MDP and LUB in 2017. Developers are now required to provide constructed wetlands or use existing wetlands for the retention/detention of stormwater from developments. These systems allow for longer retention rates and more filtration and removal of particulates, which leads to cleaner water entering the system. No applications required new stormwater management facilities in 2020.

Alternative technologies will play a more important role in the future provision for energy in the County. Although there were no permits issued for alternative energy generation in 2020, it is important to note that microgeneration developments in the Agricultural 'A' District are not required to obtain a development permit. The County had two (2) inquiries regarding personal solar installations which do not require a development permit. The Joffre Solar Park initiated their Alberta Utility Commission (AUC) process and completed public consultation in the fall of 2020. The proposed development started the process of County approval in early 2021.

The statistics show that the MDP policies that encourage developers, businesses and residents to construct energy efficient buildings have thus far been ineffective. To date, we have not

received any applications for green development. As a first step toward properly promoting and evaluating green developments, County staff have become certified in Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) for Neighbourhood Development.

Two (2) telecommunication tower applications were received in 2020, and approved. Due to the previous duplication in process between Innovation, Science and Economic Development (ISED) Canada and the County's consultation requirements for telecommunication towers, the MDP and LUB telecommunication tower policies were updated mid-2020. Telecommunication towers no longer require development permit approval, and are to follow the federally regulated process established by ISED Canada.

Suggested Actions based on the Matrix Results:

1. The County may wish to consider drafting an energy efficient building incentive program to encourage utilization of the policies contained in Section 7.7 Alternative Energy and Green Technology. This program would be similar to our Development Incentive Grant for the Hamlet of Mirror, but instead of focusing exclusively on a hamlet, it would apply County wide.



Lacombe County Municipal Development Plan - Monitoring Matrix 2020 REVIEW

SECTION 8 - OUR MUNICIPAL LEADERSHIP

Objective: Foster Strong Municipal Leadership

The policies set out in this section of the MDP are to ensure that the County is transparent and accountable in terms of the implementation of its policies. The County is required to carry out an annual review based on the information required by the monitoring matrix. This will be presented to Council on March 11, 2021. Two MDP amendments and ten LUB amendments are being proposed as a result of the matrix analysis, as well as due to a number of public requests and administrative initiatives to achieve policy goals and better evaluate the matrix. The details of the proposed amendments based on the matrix review are included under 'Suggested Actions based on the Matrix Results' throughout this report. Two suggestions are administrative in nature and does not require policy amendments.

Strong municipal leadership is also fostered through cooperation and consultation with neighbouring municipalities. In 2020, the County completed one (1) Intermunicipal Development Plan (IDP) review, completed one (1) new IDP, is in the process of updating one (1) IDP and in the process of creating one (1) new IDP. Four (4) developments and two (2) subdivisions were circulated for comment to help ensure conflict is avoided between municipalities. Four (4) new and three (3) updated Joint-Use Agreement were completed in 2020. The total spent by the County as a result of all its Joint-Use Agreements was \$3,187,108.75, which shows our continued dedication to municipal partnerships. The increase of Joint-Use Agreement contribution from previous years was mainly the result of contributions to urban neighbours for capital projects.

The assessment for policy implementation to meet the municipal leadership objective is considered successful for 2020.

Suggested Action based on the Matrix Results:

No suggested action for this years review.

OUR AGRICULTURAL COMMUNITY

		Protect	and encourage the Co	unty's strong agricult	ural community			
D 1:	Related	How is success and/or implementation	F: I: ((2017)	F: 1: ((2010)	F: 1: ((2010)	F: 1: ((2020)	How are v	ve doing?
Policy	Supporting Statement(s)	measured? [']	Findings (as of 2017)	Findings (as of 2018)	Findings (as of 2019)	Findings (as of 2020)	Needs Work	On-track
3.3.1	1, 2	Percentage of land in Lacombe County zoned Agricultural 'A' District	98.68%	98.65%	98.65%	98.63%		X
3.3.2	1, 2, 4	Amount of land removed from agricultural use for current year	43.57 hectares (107.66 acres)	127.75 hectares (315.68 acres)	53.69 hectares (132.67 acres)	84.21 hectares (208.09 acres)		X
3.3.3	1	Staff will undertake annual review of MDP policies alongside Agricultural Guide	Completed - no findings	Completed - no findings	Completed - no findings	Completed - no findings		X
3.3.4	1, 2, 3	How much land has been identified for non-agricultural uses vs. how much land has been rezoned (total existing at the time)	13,806 hectares (34,115 acres) identified for non-agricultural uses	13,806 hectares (34,115 acres) identified for non-agricultural uses	13,806 hectares (34,115 acres) identified for non-agricultural uses	13,806 hectares (34,115 acres) identified for non-agricultural uses		X
		Annual review of impacts and/or changes observed in areas around rezoned lands	vs. 3,707 hectares (9,160 acres) rezoned	vs. 3,785 hectares (9,355 acres) rezoned	vs. 3,796 hectares (9,381 acres) rezoned	vs. 3,857 hectares (9,530 acres) rezoned		
			No changes for 2017	No changes for 2018	No changes for 2019	No changes for 2020		
3.3.5	1, 2, 3	Number of subdivisions which are larger than the maximum permitted parcel size for current year	1 application	0 applications	0 applications	0 applications		Χ
		Annual number of development appeals in the Agricultural 'A' District for current year	1 application	2 applications (1 agricultural service facility and 1 cannabis production facility)	3 applications (1 sand and gravel extraction and processing, 1 outdoor recreation facility, and 1 setback relaxation)	1 application (community facility)		
3.4.1	3	Number of subdivision refusals by the Subdivision Authority vs. total number of applications, for current year	5 refused vs. 24 applications	2 refused vs. 43 applications	3 refused vs. 35 applications	2 refused vs. 28 applications		X
		Number of applicant appeals vs. total number of applications for subdivision, for current year	3 appeals vs. 24 applications	2 appeals vs. 43 applications	1 appeal vs. 35 applications	2 appeals vs. 28 applications		
3.5.1 3.5.2	3	Number of first parcel out subdivision applications approved vs. number refused, for current year	9 approved vs. 0 refused	20 approved vs. 0 refused	17 approved vs. 0 refused	14 approved vs. 0 refused		X
3.5.3		Average size of an approved first parcel out subdivision for current year	1.73 hectares (4.27 acres)	1.69 hectares (4.18 acres)	1.67 hectares (4.13 acres)	1.72 hectares (4.25 acres)		

OUR AGRICULTURAL COMMUNITY

		Protect	and encourage the Co	unty's strong agricultu	ıral community			
	Related	How is success and/or implementation					How are v	we doing?
Policy	Supporting Statement(s)	measured?	Findings (as of 2017)	Findings (as of 2018)	Findings (as of 2019)	Findings (as of 2020)	Needs Work	On-track
3.6.1	3	Number of fragmented parcel subdivisions approved vs. number refused, for current year Average size of an approved fragmented parcel subdivision for current year	4 approved vs. 3 refused Reasons for refusal: Applications were refused as they were not considered fragmented parcels (MGB upheld Subdivision Authority's decision on 2 applications, and 1 application has a Municipal Government Board decision pending). 12.43 hectares (30.72 acres)	Reasons for refusal: Applications were refused as they were not considered fragmented parcels (one application has a Municipal Government Board decision pending). Note: There were 2 other applications (not reported in the numbers above) that had no decision made, as the applicants withdrew the application prior to a decision being made. The reasons for withdrawal were that under a strict interpretation of Policy AG 3.6.1 the applications were not eligible for fragmented parcel subdivision, as they would create more than three (3) titles on the quarter section, and the application prior to refusal. 12.42 hectares (30.69 acres)	8 approved vs. 2 refused Reasons for refusal: Applications were refused as they were not considered fragmented parcels 10.12 hectares (25 acres)	2 approved vs. 0 refused 8.29 hectares (20.49 acres)		X
3.7.1	1, 3, 4	Number of agricultural parcel subdivisions approved vs. number refused, for current year Average size of an approved agricultural parcel subdivision, common types of operations, for current year	1 approved vs. 2 refused Reasons for refusal: Custom farming service application refused as it did not meet the definition of an agricultural operation (Municipal Government Board decision pending). Performance horse breeding application refused as it did not meet the 75% established criteria (Municipal Government Board decision pending). 13 hectares (32.12 acres) for an existing sheep farm	2 approved vs. 0 refused 4 hectares (9.88 acres) for an existing hog farm and existing horse boarding operation	1 approved vs. 0 refused 16.18 hectares (40 acres) for an existing U-pick berry farm	3 approved vs. 0 refused 8.60 hectares (21.25 acres) for an existing tree farm, horse breeding operation, and a horse training operation		X

OUR AGRICULTURAL COMMUNITY

	Protect and encourage the County's strong agricultural community									
	Related	How is success and/or implementation					How are v	ve doing?		
Policy	Supporting Statement(s)	measured?	Findings (as of 2017)	Findings (as of 2018)	Findings (as of 2019)	Findings (as of 2020)	Needs Work	On-track		
3.8.1	1, 2, 3	Number of boundary adjustment subdivisions approved vs. number refused, for current year	No review	No review	2 approved vs. 1 refused	4 approved vs. 0 refused	Χ			
		Number of boundary adjustment subdivisions approved that did not conform to other subdivision policies in this Section	(policy was inserted August 8, 2019)	(policy was inserted August 8, 2019)	0 applications	0 applications				
		Number of boundary adjustment subdivisions approved that resulted in a net increase in size of either parcel			0 applications	1 application increased in size, however conformed to other subdivision policies in this section				
						indicated the policy as worded leads to confusion				
3.9.1	1, 4	Number of NRCB referrals for new confined feeding operations for current year	0 referrals	6 referrals	2 referrals	2 referrals		X		
		Number of NRCB referrals for expanded confined feeding operations for current year	7 referrals	18 referrals	10 referrals	6 referrals				

			e responsible steward and provide a variety					
	Related	How is success and/or implementation					How are w	ve doing?
Policy	Supporting Statement(s)	measured?	Findings (as of 2017)	Findings (as of 2018)	Findings (as of 2019)	Findings (as of 2020)	Needs Work	On-track
4.3.1	1, 2	Number of subdivision applications conditionally approved with environmental reserve dedication required for current year	2 applications	1 application	1 application	1 application		X
		Number of subdivision applications conditionally approved with environmental reserve easement dedication required for current year	0 applications	2 applications	5 applications	1 application		
		Most common waterbodies/courses where ER/ERE taken for current year	No applications on named waterbodies/watercourses	No applications on named waterbodies/watercourses	1 tributary to Blindman River, 1 tributary to Whelp Brook, 1 on Whelp Brook, others on unnamed waterbodies/watercourses	1 on Haynes Creek, 1 on an unnamed waterbody		
4.3.2	1, 2	Number of development permits affected or proximal to a waterbody/course Number of development permits which have had the minimum	23 applications with environmentally sensitive features	55 applications with environmentally sensitive features	21 applications with environmentally sensitive features	30 applications with environmentally sensitive features		X
		30m setback relaxed	0 applications	0 applications	0 applications	0 applications		
4.3.3	1, 2	Number of applications considered which required a geotechnical report/study for current year	4 applications (Burbank Heights, Lincoln Ranch, The Nursery Golf Course and Country Club, Procor)	2 applications (Resubdivision of existing residential lot in Milton area, and North Aspelund Industrial Park - Phase 1 and Phase 2)	2 applications (fragmented parcel subdivision and Transand Inc.)	1 application (resubdivision of existing residential lot in Milton area)		X
4.3.4 4.3.5	3, 4	Total amount of environmental reserve dedication included in conditionally approved applications for current year	1.52 hectares (3.7 acres)	6.52 hectares (16.1 acres)	6.07 hectares (15 acres)	3.84 hectares (9.5 acres)		Χ
		Total amount of municipal reserve dedication adjacent to environmental reserve, included in conditionally approved applications for current year	2.05 hectares (5.07 acres)	1.53 hectares (3.79 acres)	0 hectares (0 acres)	0 hectares (0 acres)		
4.3.6	3, 4	Total dollar amount of public access levy received for current year	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$77,500		X
		Current lake access/improvements underway using these dollars	No new lake access projects underway	No new lake access projects underway	No new lake access projects underway	No new lake access projects underway		
4.3.7 4.3.8	1, 2	Administrative review of alignment with provincial legislation	Completed - no findings	Completed - no findings	Completed - no findings	Completed - no findings		X

			e responsible steward and provide a variety					
Policy	Related Supporting Statement(s)	How is success and/or implementation measured?	Findings (as of 2017)	Findings (as of 2018)	Findings (as of 2019)	Findings (as of 2020)	How are v Needs Work	ve doing? On-track
4.3.9	1,2	Total number of naturalized stormwater management facilities included in conditionally approved applications for current year Compliance with County Standards for Stormwater Management Facilities Current projects or initiatives which support these policies	1 naturalized stormwater management facility (Burbank Heights) Yes compliant Projects/Initiatives: County's Environmental Management Plan, Environmental Improvement Grant, Sylvan Lake Management Committee, Take it Off Program on Gull Lake/Buffalo Lake/Sylvan Lake, Alternative Land Use Services (ALUS), Crooker Aggregate Pit Wetland Creation, Dedicating donated lands to park spaces (Such as Kuhnen Park, Anderson Park, Mary & Cliff Soper Natural Area), OHV trails, Environmental & Protective Services Department workshop opportunities for community members	1 naturalized stormwater management facility (North Aspelund Industrial Park - Phase 1) Yes compliant Projects/Initiatives: County's Environmental Management Plan, Environmental Improvement Grant, Sylvan Lake Management Committee, Take it Off Program on Gull Lake/Buffalo Lake/Sylvan Lake, Alternative Land Use Services (ALUS), Crooker Aggregate Pit Wetland Creation, Dedicating donated lands to park spaces, OHV trails, Environmental & Protective Services Department workshop opportunities for community members	O naturalized stormwater management facility (Transand Inc. stormwater system is proposed to be a dry pond because the footprint of the pond is less than what is recommended to sustain a wet pond). Yes compliant Projects/Initiatives: County's Environmental Management Plan, Environmental Improvement Grant, Sylvan Lake Management Committee, Take it Off Program on Gull Lake/Buffalo Lake/Sylvan Lake, Alternative Land Use Services (ALUS), Crooker Aggregate Pit Wetland Creation, Dedicating donated lands to park spaces, OHV trails, Environmental & Protective Services Department workshop opportunities for community members	No applications had stormwater management facilities Yes compliant Projects/Initiatives: County's Environmental Management Plan, Environmental Improvement Grant, Sylvan Lake Management Committee, Take it Off Program on Gull Lake/Buffalo Lake/Sylvan Lake, Alternative Land Use Services (ALUS), Crooker Aggregate Pit Wetland Creation, Dedicating donated lands to park spaces, OHV trails, Environmental & Protective Services Department workshop opportunities for community members		X

				ship of the County's na of recreational opport				
Policy	Related Supporting Statement(s)	How is success and/or implementation measured?	Findings (as of 2017)	Findings (as of 2018)	Findings (as of 2019)	Findings (as of 2020)	How are v Needs Work	ve doing? On-track
4.4.1 4.4.2 4.4.3 4.4.4 4.4.5 4.4.6	1, 2	Number of applications considered which required a biophysical assessment for current year Notable findings and/or recommendations which influenced the development Description of the "net gain/loss" of any natural features present on notable sites	3 applications (Burbank Heights, Lincoln Ranch, Procor) Burbank Heights: A majority of the wooded areas of the site has been dedicated as Municipal Reserve, while the pasture area and ephemeral wetland did not warrant conservation due to their low ecological value. Lincoln Ranch: The Plan Area is dominated by tame pasture and cropland. One seasonal wetland, three temporary wetlands, five ephemeral wetlands, and one ephemeral drainage feature were identified during the wetland assessment. Two wetlands have been proposed to be incorporated into the stormwater management system, and all remaining wetlands have been proposed for removal. Procor: Most of the proposed expansion will occur within the existing rail yard, or will extend slightly to the east into the cultivated field. Tree clearing is not planned; therefore, the shrubby riparian area in the southern portion of the project footprint will remain intact. Beaver activities as well as potential nesting, denning or breeding habitat for the sensitive species list in the FWMIS search will not be affected by the project; as a result, no further wildlife mitigation is required, if tree clearing is required, if tree clearing is required, if the commendations for construction and operation were provided.	1 application completed a Wetland Assessment and Impact Report (North Aspelund Industrial Park - Phase 1) North Aspelund Industrial Park - Phase 1: A Wetland Assessment and Impact Report were completed for the three wetlands (Wetlands 1, 2 and 3). Preliminary site investigation suggests that the overall drainage of the quarter is to the northeast and west. Consequently, 1150319 Alberta Ltd. intends to design the three northeast wetlands (Wetlands 1, 2 and 3) to be utilized as a stormwater management facility when development of the rest of the quarter proceeds. A detailed Wetland Assessment and Impact Report, and a Biophysical Impact Report, will be required for North Aspelund Industrial Park - Phase 2.	Transand Inc.: Two Biophysical assessments were conducted by Maywood Environmental Ltd. in March and May of 2019. The onsite vegetation consists of heavily grazed tame pasture species with trembling aspen, balsam poplar, red osier dogwood, saskatoon, and prickly wild rose, wolf willow, buckbrush, sagebrush buttercup, smooth brome, pasture sage, prairie sage and other species typically found in the central parkland natural sub-region. There were no visual occurrences of rare or listed plant species within the project foot print during the field visit. The grazing intensity and timing of the assessment indicates a low potential for rare plant occurrences to be within the project footprint. A Fisheries and Wildlife Management Information System (FWMIS) search highlighted the area as Sharp Tailed Grouse Habitat and Sensitive Raptor-Bald Eagle range, but none of the species identified in the search were observed at the time of the assessment. A number of wetlands were identified in the report; however, none of these are within the project footprint.	0 applications		X

			e responsible steward and provide a variety					
	Related	How is success and/or implementation					How are	we doing?
Policy	Supporting Statement(s)	measured?	Findings (as of 2017)	Findings (as of 2018)	Findings (as of 2019)	Findings (as of 2020)	Needs Work	On-track
4.4.7	1, 2, 3, 4	Number of subdivision applications conditionally approved for municipal purposes Description of applications as they relate to protecting the rural landscape, protecting the natural environment, and supporting or	No review (policy was inserted July 9, 2020)	No review (policy was inserted July 9, 2020)	No review (policy was inserted July 9, 2020)	0 applications		X
4.4.8 4.4.9	1, 2	providing recreational amenities Number of applications considered which required flood mapping for current year	1 application (Burbank Heights)	0 applications	0 applications	0 applications		X
4.4.9	1,2	Number of applications considered which required Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessments (ESA) for current year Number of applications considered which required Phase 2 ESAs for current year Notable or common issues identified and rectified through the ESA recommendations/findings	2 applications (Burbank Heights, Lincoln Ranch) 1 application (Burbank Heights) Burbank Heights: The main concern with this report related to an abandoned crude oil well which has now been reclaimed. There are also three pipelines present on the site which will require utility easements to be registered on the impacted lots. The developer will be required to provide confirmation that the Ember Resources pipeline has been removed. Lincoln Ranch: The Phase 1 assessment considered the level of potential environmental risk associated with the Lincoln Ranch Plan Area to be moderate. This rating was based on the presence of abandoned wells and operational natural gas pipelines on the Property. The well site and access road passed all applicable criteria for reclamation of well sites. As a result of the Phase 2 ESA, a reclamation certificate was received for the well located in the northwest portion of the Plan Area.	1 application (North Aspelund Industrial Park - Phase 1) 0 applications North Aspelund Industrial Park - Phase 1: The site was found to pose a low to moderate environmental risk. A Phase 2 ESA was warranted for Phase 2 of the development based on a Bonavista Energy Corporation gas well and above ground storage tank were located near the centre of the property.	0 applications 0 applications	0 applications 0 applications		X

Demonstrate responsible stewardship of the County's natural environment and provide a variety of recreational opportunities 4.4.10 1, 2 Number of developments and total area of hazard lands identified 4 developments prepared 2 developments prepared a 2 developments prepared a 1 development prepared a geotechnical reports (Burbank geotechnical report (Resubdivision geotechnical report (fragmented geotechnical report (resubdivision of for current year Heights, Lincoln Ranch, The Nursery of existing residential lot in Milton parcel subdivision and Transand Inc.) existing residential lot in Milton area) Most common types of hazards identified and mitigation measures Golf Course and Country Club, area, and North Aspelund Industrial employed Fragmented Parcel Subdivision: Resubdivision of existing residential Park - Phase 1 and Phase 2) Procor) Suitable building site exists on lot in Milton area: Suitable building Burbank Heights: The main concern Resubdivision of existing residential proposed parcel. site to be proven for second and arising from the geotechnical report lot in Milton area: Suitable building third parcel being created. Transand Inc.: Driven steel was in general, most of the property site to be proven for second parcel piles or screw piles have been was not suitable for conventional being created. recommended for the development treatment field systems due to North Aspelund Industrial Park of this site, based on the shallow relatively permeable sandy soil Phase 1 and Phase 2: No notable water table. conditions. The more feasible option findings, site is suitable for proposed would be the use of treatment development. mounds on this site. The geotechnical report and findings will be registered on title via restrictive covenant, to notify landowners of development constraints. 4.4.11 1,5 Number of developments which have incorporated "Fire Smart" 2 developments (Burbank Heights, 0 developments 0 developments 0 developments Lincoln Ranch) principles 4.4.12 5 Number of developments which have incorporated "Dark Sky" 2 developments (cannabis 1 development (cannabis 0 developments 0 developments production facilities) production facility) 4.6.1 Staff will ensure consistency between the MDP and the County's Completed - no findings Completed - no findings Completed - no findings Completed - no findings Use and Management of County Reserve Lands policy 4.6.2 Total amount of Municipal Reserve (MR) dedication included in 2.185 hectares (5.40 acres) and 1.83 hectares (4.53 acres) and \$3,445 0 hectares (0 acres) and \$58,319,58 0 hectares (0 acres) and \$34,694.58 conditional approved applications for current year (either cash-in-\$1,520 cash-in-lieu cash-in-lieu cash-in-lieu cash-in-lieu 4.6.3 lieu and/or land totals) 4.6.4 Number of conditionally approved applications where MR was 0 applications 2 applications 4 applications 2 applications 4.6.5 deferred for current year 4.6.6 Number of conditionally approved applications where additional 1 application (Burbank Heights) 0 applications 0 applications 0 applications MR or open space was provided by developer or required by the 4.6.7 Development Authority for current year 4.6.8 Total amount of MR land sold (number of lots and total area) for 0 hectares 0 hectares 0 hectares 0 hectares current year Number of conditionally approved applications where MR required 0 applications 0 applications 0 applications 0 applications along the lakeshore for current year Total amount of MR dedication included in conditional approvals 0 hectares 0 hectares 0 hectares 0 hectares along lakeshore for current year

				Iship of the County's na of recreational opport				
Policy	Related Supporting Statement(s)	How is success and/or implementation measured?	Findings (as of 2017)	Findings (as of 2018)	Findings (as of 2019)	Findings (as of 2020)	How are w Needs Work	ve doing? On-track
4.6.9 4.6.10 4.6.11	2	Total amount of Environmental Reserve (ER) dedication included in conditional approvals for current year Total amount of Environmental Reserve Easement (ERE) dedication included in conditional approvals for current year Number of subdivision applications adjacent to or affected by watercourse/body for current year	4.09 hectares (10.1 acres) 6 applications	6.52 hectares (16.1 acres) 9.09 hectares (22.46 acres) 7 applications	6.07 hectares (15 acres) 29.82 hectares (73.69 acres) 6 applications 0 applications	3.84 hectares (9.5 acres)2.54 hectares (6.3 acres)7 applications0 applications		X
	3	Number of subdivision applications where ER and/or ERE requirements have been relaxed Total dollar amount of MR provided to urban municipalities for schools	0 applications \$0	0 applications \$0	\$0	\$0		X
4.6.14	4, 5	Number of inquiries into conservation easement opportunities Total amount of land donated to the County for current year, as well as to date What is the common use(s) of donated lands	0 inquiries 36.8 hectares (91 acres) donated in 2017 (Mary & Cliff Soper Natural Area, and Kuhnen Park) 172 hectares (425 acres) total donated to date Common Use(s): Public recreation, naturalized park space	0 inquiries 26.4 hectares (65 acres) donated in 2018 (Kuhnen Natural Area) 198.4 hectares (490 acres) total donated to date Common Use(s): Public recreation, naturalized park space	2 inquiries 0 hectares (0 acres) donated in 2019 198.4 hectares (490 acres) total donated to date Common Use(s): Public recreation, naturalized park space	3 inquiries 0 hectares (0 acres) donated in 2020 198.4 hectares (490 acres) total donated to date Common Use(s): Public recreation, naturalized park space		X
4.6.15	5	Land amount and/or number of features protected through or in tandem with the Alternative Land Use Services (ALUS) program Number of community groups/participants in the program	39.25 hectares (97 acres) enrolled in the project, a total of 5 projects 4 ALUS participants	33.59 hectares (83 acres) enrolled in the project in 2018, for a total of 55.8 hectares (138 acres) in 8 projects 6 ALUS participants	66 hectares (163 acres) enrolled in the project in 2019, for a total of 122 hectares (301 acres) in 11 projects 9 ALUS participants	While ALUS is still active in our community and supported by Lacombe County, the County no longer administers the program, and does not have access to participation numbers Policy 4.6.15 will require update to support general conservation projects, instead of naming the ALUS program directly	X	
4.7.1	3, 4	Has a Parks and Open Space Master Plan been drafted and/or adopted? Staff will conduct annual assessment of connectivity between the County's trails, open spaces and amenities	No To be completed Summer 2018	Project on hold until Summer 2020, due to <i>Municipal Government Act</i> changes increasing long range planning program schedule	Project on hold until 2022, due to decrease in long range planning program schedule	Project on hold until 2023, due to decrease in long range planning program schedule		X

				ship of the County's na of recreational opport				
Policy	Related	How is success and/or implementation	Findings (as of 2017)	Findings (as of 2019)	Findings (as of 2010)	Findings (as of 2020)	How are v	ve doing?
Policy	Supporting Statement(s)	measured?	Findings (as of 2017)	Findings (as of 2018)	Findings (as of 2019)	Findings (as of 2020)	Needs Work	On-track
4.7.2	3, 4	Total existing kilometres of trails and how many of those introduced for current year	33.94 kilometres (21 miles) of existing trails 1.5 kilometres (0.93 miles) of new trails	35.44 kilometers (22 miles) of existing trails 2 kilometers (1.24 miles) of new trails (Mary & Cliff Soper Natural Area)	37.44 kilometers (23 miles) of existing trails	37.44 kilometers (23 miles) of existing trails		X
4.7.3	3, 4	Total number of parks and amenities for current year	2 new parks with amenities	1 new park (Kuhnen Natural Area)	0 new parks	0 new parks		X
			(Anderson Park, Cliff and Mary Soper Natural Area) 1 replacement amenity in existing	1 new additional amenity to existing park (playground at Anderson Park)	1 new additional amenity to existing park (playground at Sandy Point beach)	1 new replacement amenity to existing park (washroom at the Mirror ball diamonds)		
			park (new playground in Mirror)			Lacombe County partnered with the Mirror Community Network and the Living Truth Christian School to provide fencing for the Mirror Dog Park		
4.7.4	5	What community groups are involved with the management of local park and community facilities Number of parks and amenities which are being wholly or partially managed by a community group(s)	New Saratoga and Birch Bay communities mow the open space. The Haynes, Mirror and Gilby Community Halls are operated by community groups, but owned by	New Saratoga and Birch Bay communities mow the open space. The Haynes, Mirror and Gilby Community Halls are operated by community groups, but owned by	New Saratoga and Birch Bay communities mow the open space. The Haynes, Mirror and Gilby Community Halls are operated by community groups, but owned by	New Saratoga and Birch Bay communities mow the open space. The Haynes, Mirror and Gilby Community Halls are operated by community groups, but owned by		X
			the County. Other property County owns but is managed by others – Joffre Rink, Tees Rodeo Ground, Wilson's Beach Campground, Mirror Campground, Haunted Lakes Campground, Mirror Rink, Mirror Jolly Seniors, Burbank Park	the County. Other property County owns but is managed by others – Joffre Rink, Tees Rodeo Ground, Wilson's Beach Campground, Mirror Campground, Haunted Lakes Campground, Mirror Rink, Mirror Jolly Seniors, Burbank Park	the County. Other property County owns but is managed by others – Joffre Rink, Tees Rodeo Ground, Wilson's Beach Campground, Mirror Campground, Haunted Lakes Campground, Mirror Rink, Mirror Jolly Seniors, Burbank Park	the County. Other property County owns but is managed by others – Joffre Rink, Tees Rodeo Ground, Wilson's Beach Campground, Mirror Campground, Haunted Lakes Campground, Mirror Rink, Mirror Jolly Seniors, Burbank Park		
			10 parks and amenities managed by a community group(s)	10 parks and amenities managed by a community group(s)	10 parks and amenities managed by a community group(s)	10 parks and amenities managed by a community group(s)		
4.7.5 4.7.6	1, 4, 5	Notable green infrastructure projects and/or initiatives for current year	None	None	None	None		Χ
4.8.1	5	Name and number of specific communication mediums utilized for publications, public meetings, notifications, etc.	9 communication methods (County website, County Facebook, County Twitter, MDP/LUB Facebook, MDP/ LUB website, County News, local newspapers, mail-outs, radio)	8 communication methods (County website, County Facebook, County Twitter, County News, Sylvan Lake IDP website, local newspapers, mail- outs, radio)	8 communication methods (County website, County Facebook, County Twitter, County News, Sylvan Lake IDP website, local newspapers, mail- outs, radio)	8 communication methods (County website, County Facebook, County Twitter, County News, Sylvan Lake IDP website, local newspapers, mail- outs, radio)		X
4.8.2	5	Total number of educational signs, and the number of educational	21 total signs	21 total signs	28 total signs	28 total signs		Χ
		signs installed for current year Notable features and/or site elements that the signage pertains to	8 new signs	Local wildlife and pollinators	7 new signs	Local wildlife, pollinators, species of interest, or geological features		
		notable leatures and/or site elements that the signage pertains to	Local wildlife and pollinators		Local wildlife, pollinators, species of interest, or geological features	interest, or geological leatures		

			Diversify and su	pport economic growt	th			
Policy	Related Supporting Statement(s)	How is success and/or implementation measured?	Findings (as of 2017)	Findings (as of 2018)	Findings (as of 2019)	Findings (as of 2020)	How are v Needs Work	ve doing? On-track
5.3.1	1, 2	Number of commercial and industrial developments within 3.2 kilometres (2 miles) of a provincial highway or urban municipality for current year New or expanded development located within Joffre/Prentiss area Number of Home Based Businesses and Minor Business or Trades in the Agricultural 'A' District	5 applications (2 accessory building, gun loading facility, contractor business, outdoor storage) 1 development near Joffre/Prentiss (rail expansion and portable) 1 Minor Home Based Business application (interior design) 6 Major Home Based Business applications (line locating business, souvenir/clothing distribution, metal fabrication, oilfield service) 5 Minor Business or Trades applications (pressure services, directional drilling, auction business)	18 applications (2 outdoor storage renewed, 3 new heavy equipment service and repair, 1 new minor business or trades, 3 renewed minor business or trades, 3 new home-based businesses, 6 renewed home-based businesses) 0 developments near Joffre/Prentiss 1 Minor Home Based Business application (coffee importing) 14 Major Home Based Business applications (automotive repair, welding and repair, RV/boat storage, equipment repair, oilfield business) 8 Minor Business or Trades applications (mechanic, piling services, reclamation services, oilfield services, welding business)	32 applications (2 accessory buildings for businesses, 1 animal grooming business, 3 cannabis production facilities, 1 heavy equipment sales and rentals, 1 industrial light manufacturing business, 3 kennels, 8 major home based businesses, 1 minor home based business, 8 minor business or trade, 4 outdoor storage businesses) 2 developments near Joffre/Prentiss (Home Based Business & a field office for Pembina) 12 Major Home Based Business applications in the Agricultural 'A' District 10 Minor Business or Trade Applications in the Agricultural 'A' District	70% (103 applications) were within 3.2 kilometers (2 miles) of a highway 1setback relaxation for the Prentiss Site 8 Major Home Based Businesses in the Agricultural 'A' District 3 Minor Business or Trade applications in the Agricultural 'A' District		X
5.3.2	2, 4	Number of tourism, supplemental farm business activities, agricultural support services and/or indigenous enterprise developments/endeavors for current year	2 applications (intermediate campsite and a wedding venue)	7 applications (wedding venue, intermediate campground, 2 outdoor recreation facilities, major campground, wedding venue, and indoor recreation facility)	7 applications (1 minor special event, 1 bed & breakfast, 1 campground, 2 community facilities, 2 outdoor recreation facilities) in the Agricultural 'A' District	8 applications (3 ag service facilities, 5 community facilities) in the Agricultural 'A' District		X
5.3.3	1	Types of programs and/or initiatives are in place or being developed to support a business friendly climate	Development Incentive Grant (Hamlet of Mirror) Central Alberta Business Incubator (County participated in preliminary meetings) Central Alberta Economic Partnership Ltd. (CAEP) initiatives	Development Incentive Grant program for the Hamlet of Mirror Lacombe Regional Tourism Member of Central Alberta Economic Partnership	3 Development Incentive Grant applications received and approved in the Hamlet of Mirror Lacombe Regional Tourism Member of Central Alberta Economic Partnership Undertook Community Economic Profile (McSweeney)	Development Incentive Grant program in the Hamlet of Mirror was extended for another 5 years Lacombe Regional Tourism Member of Central Alberta Economic Partnership Developed the Business Development Guide		X

			Diversify and su	pport economic growt	h			
	Related	How is success and/or implementation	F: 1: (COOLE)	F: 1: (C2212)	F: 1: / C2042	F: 1: ((()) ()	How are v	ve doing?
Policy	Supporting Statement(s)	measured?	Findings (as of 2017)	Findings (as of 2018)	Findings (as of 2019)	Findings (as of 2020)	Needs Work	On-track
5.3.4	3	Current partnership opportunities and projects completed and/ or underway Data contained within the annual business climate report published by the Central Alberta Economic Partnership Ltd.	Water/Wastewater Study (Lacombe IDP) Lacombe Regional Tourism Joint Economic Agreements with Lacombe & Blackfalds CAEP report published (available at https://caepalberta.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/Community-Overview-Lacombe-County-2017-FINAL.pdf)	Sylvan Lake IDP (underway) IDPs updated to meet revised MGA (Town of Bentley, Town of Eckville, Village of Alix, Village of Clive) (completed) Council approved funding to support community facilities in neighbouring municipalities (i.e. Gary Moe Sportsplex, Clive Arena) Draft 2019 Community Overview has been sent to County 2018 and 2019 community overviews show only small changes (number of businesses went from 243 to 283, and vehicle registrations went from 1686 to 1737)	Sylvan Lake IDP and Ponoka County IDP (underway) Community Economic Profile completed (McSweeney) 2020 Community overview shows a small decrease in the number of businesses to 196 with vehicle registrations remaining the same at 1737	Ponoka County IDP and Blackfalds IDP (complete) Sylvan Lake IDP and Buffalo Lake IDP (underway) Completed the Regional Vacant Industrial Land Inventory through CAEP 2021 Community overview shows a small increase in the number of businesses to 205 with vehicle registrations also increased to 2251		X
5.4.1 5.4.2 5.4.3 5.4.4	2	Number of new home based business applications approved for current year Number of minor business or trades applications approved for current year Number of complaints pursuant to any home-based businesses or minor businesses in the Agricultural 'A' District, what types of issues are noted commonly	7 new home based business applications (interior design, souvenir/clothing distribution, metal fabrication, oilfield service) 5 minor business or trades applications (pressure services, directional drilling, auction business) Complaints to be tracked in 2018; typically regarding hours of operation or unauthorized business activity	6 new home based business applications (4 major and 2 minor) 8 minor business or trades applications (mechanic, piling services, reclamation services, oilfield services, welding business) Complaints are typically regarding unauthorized businesses or businesses not following business description	15 home based business applications (14 major and 1 minor) 10 minor business or trades applications (pallet business, upholsterer, heavy duty mechanic, hydrovac, oilfield trucking, welding, water well drilling, excavating) Complaints are typically regarding unauthorized businesses or businesses not following business description	16 home based businesses (12 major and 4 minor) 3 minor business or trade applications (oilfield trucking, mechanical businesses) Complaints are typically regarding unauthorized businesses or businesses not following business description		X

			Diversify and su	pport economic growt	:h			
	Related	How is success and/or implementation					How are v	ve doing?
Policy	Supporting Statement(s)	measured?	Findings (as of 2017)	Findings (as of 2018)	Findings (as of 2019)	Findings (as of 2020)	Needs Work	On-track
5.5.1 5.5.2 5.5.3	3	Number of tourism related permit applications Policies or initiatives are formally supported by the County to protect tourist assets	2 applications (intermediate campsite and a wedding venue) NSF-40 requirement around lakes/communal system requirements Policy RC(10) — Operating support of historical, cultural, tourism visitor information services	4 applications (2 wedding venues, major campground, and a retail store for a campground) Policy RC(1) applications approved by Council for funding to support community facilities Policy RC(10) applications for funding to support historical and cultural tourism services and groups	9 applications (1 minor special event, 1 major special event, 1 bed & breakfast, 1 campground, 3 community facilities, 1 indoor recreation facility, 2 outdoor recreation facilities) 4 <i>Policy RC(1)</i> applications approved by Council for funding to support community facilities, totalling \$188,253 5 <i>Policy RC(10)</i> applications for funding to support historical and cultural tourism services and groups, totalling \$135,246	11 applications (8 community facilities, 3 campgrounds) 12 <i>Policy RC(1)</i> applications approved by Council for funding to support community facilities, totalling \$3,120,509 approved 6 <i>Policy RC(10)</i> applications for funding to support historical and cultural tourism services and groups, totalling \$121,461.55 approved		X
5.6.1 5.6.2 5.6.3 5.6.4	1, 2, 3	Annual monitoring of commercial and industrial nodes for potential conflicts Annual identification of complementary businesses and/or uses within the commercial and industrial nodes Number and area of commercial and industrial parks located within 1.6 kilometres (1 mile) of a provincial highway and/or urban municipal boundary	Ongoing Oilfield with Oilfield/Heavy Machinery Servicing Construction/Contracting Companies with Raw Materials Processing and Fabrication Oilfield Service/Storage with Oilfield Contracting Companies 5 (Aspelund North & South, McLevin Industrial, Iron Rail, Burbank, Wildrose)	Ongoing Service and repair for oilfied vehicles and equipment Material construction/fabrication for other industrial buildings and infrastructure 5 (Aspelund North & South, McLevin Industrial, Iron Rail, Burbank, Wildrose)	Ongoing Diversification of an existing business to include additional sales and rentals Material construction/fabrication for other industrial buildings and infrastructure 5 (Aspelund North & South, McLevin Industrial, Iron Rail, Burbank, Wildrose)	Ongoing Value added Agri-business Expansion of material construction/ fabrication for other industrial buildings and infrastructure 5 (Aspelund North & South, McLevin Industrial, Iron Rail, Burbank, Wildrose)		X
5.6.5	2	Number of development applications for commercial and/or industrial uses within or adjacent to a hamlet for current year. What are the common uses being proposed within or adjacent to hamlets	2 applications (outdoor storage, small café) Small commercial businesses, residential, light industrial	1 application (renewed home based- business) Auto repair	1 major development (redesignation process started for Frac Sand Terminal adjacent to Hamlet of Mirror) Dog Grooming, Auction service, Antiques, Auto service	3 applications (auto service, community facility, security/operator suite)		X
5.6.6	1	Annual review and confirmation of no exceptions to requirement to enter a deferred services agreement and/or building requirement where typically required	Review completed - no exceptions	Review completed - no exceptions	Review completed - no exceptions	Review completed - no exceptions		X
5.6.7 5.6.8	1	Number of existing residences within 0.4 kilometres (0.25 miles) of lands zoned Heavy Industrial, and number of new residences within 0.4 kilometres (0.25 miles) of lands zoned Heavy Industrial	6 existing residences 0 new residences this year	6 existing residences 0 new residences this year	6 existing residences 0 new residences this year	6 existing residences 0 new residences this year		X

			Diversify and su	pport economic growt	h			
	Related	How is success and/or implementation					How are v	ve doing?
Policy	Supporting Statement(s)	measured?	Findings (as of 2017)	Findings (as of 2018)	Findings (as of 2019)	Findings (as of 2020)	Needs Work	On-track
5.7.1 5.7.2	1	What are some existing common types and/or features of development design that incorporate the ideals of a healthy workplace environment	Naturalized wetlands via wetlands policy Landscaping features Site designs which connect to public spaces (paths around wetlands in Aspelund)	Landscaping as per the Development Agreements Naturalized wetlands Site designs which connect to public spaces (paths around wetlands in Aspelund)	Landscaping as per the Development Agreements Naturalized wetlands Site designs which connect to public spaces (paths around wetlands in Aspelund)	Landscaping as per the Development Agreements Naturalized wetlands Site designs which connect to public spaces (paths around wetlands in Aspelund)		X
5.7.3	1	Total number of site development guidelines that have been required to date	6 site development guidelines (3 in Aspelund(s), 1 for McLevin, 1 in Wildrose, 1 in Iron Rail)	6 site development guidelines (3 in Aspelund(s), 1 for McLevin, 1 in Wildrose, 1 in Iron Rail)	6 site development guidelines (3 in Aspelund(s), 1 for McLevin, 1 in Wildrose, 1 in Iron Rail)	6 site development guidelines (3 in Aspelund(s), 1 for McLevin, 1 in Wildrose, 1 in Iron Rail)		X
5.7.4 5.7.5 5.7.6	1	Annual analysis of current and proposed trail amenities and natural corridors in terms of connectivity Annual desktop analysis of commercial and industrial park buffers, identification of any areas which may need attention Number of developments in which Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) has been incorporated into the development Number of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) assessments completed		Addition of trail from the current North Aspelund Industrial Park and expanded, also the fen for the North Aspelund Industrial Park expansion Kuhnen family donated 65 acres of land (SE 01-39-23-W4M) to County for public recreation along the Red Deer River. The grand opening of the Kuhnen Natural Area is set for 2019 Industrial/commercial parks are bordered by highways and/or major county roads Typically adjacent to agricultural and green space Based off of 2017 Google Earth images, no areas need further attention at this time 0 developments incorporated CPTED	Done on a piecemeal basis with the expectation that future development will incorporate existing fragmented sections of trail. Mary & Cliff Soper Natural Area trails may eventually connect to the Town of Blackfalds east stormwater project trail system depending on future development in this area. A portion of the Burbank Industrial buffer was removed from the Blackfalds Intermunicipal Development Plan, and the parcel was redesignated to facilitate expansion of an existing business in the industrial park 1 development incorporated CPTED 9 CPTED assessments completed	Burbank Heights trail completed, Berg trails in progress. Neither of these connect to anything at the moment but there is the possibility to do so in the future Boskers subdivision provided ER around unnamed lake north of Lacombe Transand Inc. will look at internal buffers at development permit stage. Railyard on east side and Parlby Creek channel on west side, Highway 50 on South all provide good buffering to residential uses. North Property line will need attention. 0 new developments incorporated CPTED 0 CPTED assessments completed due to COVID-19		X
5.8.1 5.8.2 5.8.3	2	Number of new permits for resource extraction, number of permits for expansions to existing resource extraction endeavors	3 renewal applications (no changes) 2 renewal applications (including expansion)	1 new application 5 renewal applications (no changes) 4 renewal applications (including expansion)	1 renewal application (no changes) 5 renewal applications (including expansion)	1 renewal application (no changes) 5 renewal applications (including expansion)		X
5.8.4	2	Total number of subdivisions for the purpose of accommodating oil and gas facilities, sand and gravel extraction and processing, or an agricultural services operation	0 applications	0 applications	1 application (for sand and gravel extraction and processing)	2 applications (1 for sand and gravel, 1 for oil and gas)		X

OUR BUILT ENVIRONMENT

		Ensure compatik	ole and responsible de	velopment of the Cou	nty's built environmer	nt		
	Related	How is success and/or implementation					How are v	ve doing?
Policy		measured?				Findings (as of 2020)	Needs Work	
6.3.1 6.3.2	3, 4	Number of multi-lot residential developments within or adjacent to priority settlement areas (proposed and existing)	2 developments (Burbank Heights and Lincoln Ranch)	0 developments	0 developments	1 development (Berg)		Х
6.3.3	3	Number of new permits for each type of residential dwelling for current year	Agriculture / Acreage 1st Dwelling - 25	Agriculture / Acreage 1st Dwelling – 30	Agriculture / Acreage 1st Dwelling – 45	Agriculture / Acreage 1st Dwelling – 30		Χ
			Agriculture 2nd Dwelling – 5	Agriculture 2nd Dwelling – 9	Agriculture 2nd Dwelling – 5	Agriculture 2nd Dwelling – 8		
			Agriculture 3rd Dwelling – 1 Recreation Vehicle Resort 'R-RVR'	Recreation Vehicle Resort 'R-RVR' District Dwelling – 11	Recreation Vehicle Resort 'R-RVR' District Dwelling – 16	Recreation Vehicle Resort 'R-RVR' District Dwelling – 13		
			District Dwelling – 5 All other Multi-lot Residential	All other Multi-lot Residential Dwellings – 8	All other Multi-lot Residential Dwellings – 3	All other Multi-lot Residential Dwellings – 10		
			Dwellings – 2 Hamlet Dwelling - 1	Hamlet Dwelling - 2	Hamlet Dwelling - 3	Hamlet Dwelling - 2		
6.4.1	3,5	Number of new second dwellings permitted on parcels under 40 acres for current year – what are the common reasons for exceptions Number of inquiries for second dwellings on parcels under 40	1 of 5 – reasoning is for farming operation/accommodation requirement	2 of 9 – for personal/family care Record of inquiries not initiated - to	0 new second dwellings on parcels under 40 acres	1 compassionate care and 1 for an agricultural operation		X
		acres for current year	To be completed in 2018	be completed in 2019	4 inquiries	6 inquiries		
6.5.1 6.5.2	3, 4	Current or initiatives or projects that are being undertaken by the County in support of hamlet development	Development Incentive Grant and Main Street Project (Hamlet of	Development Incentive Grant and Main Street Project (Hamlet of	3 developments (Mercedes Mutts, Annie's Cafe, Big Strapper Auctions)	Development Incentive Grant was extended for another 5 years		Χ
6.5.3		Number of developments beyond the boundaries of each growth hamlet, but proximal to the hamlet for current year	Mirror) No major developments (1 dwelling	Mirror) 2 developments (1 home based	No major developments in proximity	Transand Inc. property rezoned to Business Industrial 'I-BI' District		
6.5.4 6.5.5		Number of redevelopment or infill projects within the non-growth	and 1 communication tower) 1 infill project (Burbank Heights)	business renewed south of the Hamlet of Morningside, 1 dwelling north of the Hamlet of Mirror)	0 infill projects	2 resubdivisions (1 in Burbank and 1 in the Milton Area)		
		hamlets for current year Number of concept plans prepared for current year	3 concept plans (Burbank Heights, Lincoln Ranch, Nursery)	0 infill projects	0 concept plans	0 concept plans		
		Number of concept plans prepared for current year		0 concept plans				
6.6.1	3	Number of new/proposed multi-lot residential developments for current year	2 multi-lot residential developments (Burbank Heights and Lincoln Ranch)	0 new multi-lot residential developments	0 new multi-lot residential developments	0 new multi-lot residential developments		Χ
6.6.2 6.6.3	1, 2, 5	Analysis of identified multi-lot lands within existing Area Structure Plan (ASP) areas for compliance with 6.6.2	No Issues Identified	No Issues Identified	No Issues Identified	No Issues Identified		Χ
6.6.4		Number of new multi-lot residential cluster-style developments	1 development (Lincoln Ranch)	0 developments	0 developments	0 developments		

OUR BUILT ENVIRONMENT

		Ensure compatik	ole and responsible de	velopment of the Cou	ınty's built environmen	nt		
	Related	How is success and/or implementation						ve doing?
Policy			Findings (as of 2017)			Findings (as of 2020)	Needs Work	On-track
6.7.1 6.7.2	1, 2	Number of new multi-lots for current year	2 multi-lot residential developments (Burbank Heights and Lincoln Ranch)	0 new multi-lot residential developments	0 new multi-lot residential developments	0 new multi-lot residential developments		X
6.7.3		Total number of multi-lot residential developments (proposed and existing), and how many of these are cluster-style	29 multi-lot residential developments	29 multi-lot residential developments	29 multi-lot residential developments	29 multi-lot residential developments		
6.7.4 6.7.5		Number of multi-lot residential which are zoned Residential Lake Area 'R-RLA', Residential Conservation Cluster 'R-RCC', or Higher Density Residential 'R-HDR' approved for year; number approved which are not one of these three residential districts 'R Number and types of notable amenities in multi-lot residential developments within the lake area(s)	2 developments are cluster-style (The Slopes and Lincoln Ranch)	2 developments are cluster-style (The Slopes and Lincoln Ranch)	2 developments are cluster-style (The Slopes and Lincoln Ranch)	2 developments are cluster-style (The Slopes and Lincoln Ranch)		
6.7.6			1 development (Lincoln Ranch is Residential Conservation Cluster 'R-RCC' District and Higher Density	0 developments approved for year	0 developments approved for year	0 developments approved for year		
			Residential 'R-HDR' District)					
		Number of Visual Impact Assessments (VIAs) required for current year, notable changes and/or recommendations to inform the development	Trails, natural areas, boat launches, Sandy Point beach, Anderson Park, general open space	Trails, natural areas, boat launches, Sandy Point beach, Anderson Park, general open space	Trails, natural areas, boat launches, Sandy Point beach, Anderson Park, general open space	Trails, natural areas, boat launches, Sandy Point beach, Anderson Park, general open space		
		New multi-lot developments within lake areas & type of water/wastewater	0 VIAs required	0 VIAs required	0 VIAs required	1 VIAs completed for the Joffre Solar Park (not for a residential development)		
			1 development (Lincoln Ranch) is communal	0 new developments	0 new developments	0 new developments		
6.8.1 6.8.2	1,3	Notable incorporations of natural/geographic and/or cultural amenities within multi-lot residential developments, for current year	Burbank Heights - a Historical Resource Impact Assessment identified two small campsites with lithic artifacts. Additional shovel tests were conducted on one of the sites in order to catalog and remove the artifacts while the second site is to be protected within an Environmental Reserve parcel	0 new developments	0 new developments	0 new developments		X
6.8.3 6.8.4	1, 2	Amount (area) of land within high-density developments that is preserved (above and beyond the 10% requirement); for Residential Conservation Cluster 'R-RCC' District	5.83 hectares (14.41 acres) in Lincoln Ranch	0 new developments	0 new developments	0 new developments		Χ
6.8.5	1, 3	Annual analysis of current and proposed trail amenities and natural corridors in terms of connectivity	Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing		Χ
6.8.7		Number of developments in which Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) has been incorporated into the development	1 development (Lincoln Ranch)	0 new developments	1 new development (Silver Mountain Cannabis)	0 new developments		

		Suppo	rt innovative and effici	ient infrastructure and	l technologies			
	Related	How is success and/or implementation					How are v	ve doing?
Policy	Supporting Statement(s)	measured?	Findings (as of 2017)	Findings (as of 2018)	Findings (as of 2019)	Findings (as of 2020)	Needs Work	On-track
7.3.1	1	Identify the projects on road construction plan which were as a result of development	5.1 kilometres (3.17 miles) DeGraff Road	6.2 kilometres (3.85 miles) DeGraff's, Sandy Point, Lincoln Ranch	None	None		X
		Kilometres of roadway upgraded or planned to be upgraded within or adjacent to identified nodes on the MDP land use concept map	4 kilometres (2.5 miles) Range Road 1-1, Sandy Point	6.2 kilometres (3.85 miles) of 30.1 kilometres (20%)	10.5 kilometres (6.5 miles) of 25.75 kilometres (16 miles) (40%)	6 kilometers (3.72 miles) of 24 kilometers (15 miles) (25%)		
7.3.2	1	The number and/or size of developments adjacent to, or served by each County Main Road	40 out of 95 are adjacent to a County Main Road (42%)	79 out of 185 are adjacent to a County Main road (42%)	73 out of 160 are adjacent to a County Main road (45%)	76 out of 160 are adjacent to a County Main road (47%)		X
7.3.3	1	Number of development and/or subdivision applications which required input from Alberta Transportation for current year	19 out of 95 (20%)	31 out of 185 (16%)	32 of 160 (20%)	14 of 160 (8%)		Χ
7.3.4	1	Throughout processing of applications, administration will assess each application for subdivision or development for compliance with (a)-(d), any exceptions to these will be noted	This must be done as applications are completed; (a)-(d) represent a standard of practice within department. No exception have been noted. Three noise abatement studies required (Procor, Burbank Heights, Ken Webster's racetrack)	This must be done as applications are completed; (a)-(d) represent a standard of practice within the department. No exceptions have been noted.	This must be done as applications are completed; (a)-(d) represent a standard of practice within the department. No exceptions have been noted.	This must be done as applications are completed; (a)-(d) represent a standard of practice within the department. No exceptions have been noted.		X
7.3.5	1	Number of applications considered which required a Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) for current year	3 applications (Burbank Heights, Lincoln Ranch, The Nursery Golf Course and Country Club)	0 applications	1 application (Transand Inc.)	0 applications		X
7.3.6	1	Total amount of money collected under road improvement levies	\$17,010	\$95,651	\$12,355	\$20,000		X
7.3.7		for current year Number of developments which were required to contribute a road improvement levy for current year	1 development (Degraff's RV Resort)	3 developments (Burbank Heights, DeGraff's RV Resort, The Nursery Golf Course and Country Club)	2 developments (DeGraff's RV Resort and Sandy Point RV Resort)	3 resubdivisions (Country Residential 'R-CR' District)		
7.3.8	1	Review of complaint-tracker issues submitted by the public	5 complaints regarding gravel trucks. Issues arose from rock chips, speed of gravel trucks near residences, and dust 0 tickets given (not an offence under the Traffic Safety Act)	1 complaint regarding gravel trucks off of designated route causing dust issues 0 tickets given (not an offense under the Traffic Safety Act)	1 complaint regarding gravel trucks (rock chip) 0 tickets given (not an offense under the Traffic Safety Act)	2 complaints (1 rock chip and one from a construction detour which impacted the condition of the road) 0 tickets given (not an offense under the Traffic Safety Act)		X
7.3.9	1	Instances where the County's Operations Manual was deviated	None	1 (Burbank Heights taper	1 (MEGlobal - number of access	2 (Sandy Point campground		Χ
7.3.10		from, with permission of the County		requirements were relaxed due to site specific conditions on Burbank Road)	points)	surfacing and an extra access for the Track on 2 to facilitate servicing)		
7.4.1	2	What regional water/wastewater system commissions is the County currently involved with, and what initiatives and/or projects is the County undertaking to facilitate the provision of regional water/wastewater infrastructure	Highway 12-21 Water, North Red Deer Water, North Red Deer Waste, Sylvan Lake Regional Water, Sylvan Lake Regional Wastewater	Highway 12-21 Water, North Red Deer Water, North Red Deer Waste, Sylvan Lake Regional Water, Sylvan Lake Regional Wastewater	Highway 12-21 Water, North Red Deer Water, North Red Deer Waste, Sylvan Lake Regional Water, Sylvan Lake Regional Wastewater	Highway 12-21 Water, North Red Deer Water, North Red Deer Waste, Sylvan Lake Regional Water, Sylvan Lake Regional Wastewater, Wildrose utility extension from the City of Lacombe		X

		Suppoi	rt innovative and effic	ient infrastructure and	l technologies			
	Related	How is success and/or implementation					How are v	we doing?
Policy	Supporting Statement(s)	measured?	Findings (as of 2017)	Findings (as of 2018)	Findings (as of 2019)	Findings (as of 2020)	Needs Work	On-track
7.4.2	2	Which urban municipalities is the County currently cooperating with for urban service extension, and what initiatives and/or projects is the County undertaking to facilitate the provision of urban service expansion	The City of Lacombe (Lacombe Area West - Wildrose)	The City of Lacombe (Lacombe Area West - Wildrose)	The City of Lacombe (Lacombe Area West - Wildrose)	The City of Lacombe (Lacombe Area West - Wildrose)		X
7.4.3	2	Total number of lots located within commercial/industrial nodes or other areas identified for/currently served by regional water and wastewater infrastructure which currently have a business operating without a building	2 lots (Mega Cranes and Page Transportation)	2 lots (Mega Cranes and Page Transportation)	2 lots (Mega Cranes and Page Transportation)	2 lots (Mega Cranes and Page Transportation)		Χ
7.4.4	2	Notable cases where any water/wastewater systems were/are designed, constructed and/or operated outside of the bounds of the County's <i>Standards Manual</i> or per a negotiated development agreement	None	None	The Slopes obtained a variance for the interim operation of their system	None		X
7.4.5	2	Number of multi-lot subdivisions served by regional/municipal water/wastewater services	None	None	None	None		X
		Number of multi-lot subdivisions served by communal water/wastewater services	None	None	None	None		
		Number of multi-lot subdivisions not served by regional or communal water/wastewater services	1 (Burbank Heights)	None	None	None		
7.4.6	2	Notable reductions and/or relaxations of the County's standards for any municipal infrastructure	None	None	None	None		X
7.4.7	2	Cases where the County contributes to the servicing of a new development; total combined dollar amount of County contribution toward servicing provision for current year	None	Lacombe West Servicing \$12,939,605	None	None		X
7.4.8	2	Cases where the County allows for utilities to be installed/located outside of a designated utility right-of-way; what were/are the reasons for this	None	None	None	None		X
7.5.1	2	Number of applications considered which required a stormwater management plan for current year	4 applications (Burbank Heights, Lincoln Ranch, The Nursery Golf Course and Country Club, Procor)	1 application (North Aspelund Industrial Park expansion)	1 application (Transand Inc.)	None		Χ
7.5.2	2	Number of new developments proposed within the Wolf Creek Whelp Brook drainage basin; Staff will take the master drainage plan into consideration for all applications within the plan area	30 of 95 (31%) developments are within the watershed 1 rezoning development (The Nursery Golf Course and Country Club)	30 of 95 (31%) developments are within the watershed	29 of 160 (18%) developments are within the watershed	26 of 160 (16%) are within the watershed		X

		Suppo	rt innovative and effic	ient infrastructure and	l technologies			
	Related	How is success and/or implementation					How are v	we doing?
Policy	Supporting Statement(s)	measured?	Findings (as of 2017)	Findings (as of 2018)	Findings (as of 2019)	Findings (as of 2020)	Needs Work	On-track
7.5.3 7.5.4 7.5.5	2	Number of stormwater facilities proposed which have incorporated existing wetlands/natural features into the design, for current year Number of stormwater facilities which have been proposed as a naturalized wetland, for current year Number of stormwater facilities proposed to be constructed as an ornamental, dry pond, or other type of facility as an exemption to Policy INF& TECH 7.5.4; reasons for this exemption, for current year Staff will take into consideration the County's Standards for Stormwater Management Facilities for all stormwater management facility proposals; how many notable exemptions to these standards were there and what were the reasons, for current year	1 (Lincoln Ranch) 2 (Burbank Heights, Lincoln Ranch) 1 (The Nursery Golf Course and Country Club), the drainage area is too small to be functional as a naturalized stormwater facility Design drawings have not been submitted; however, the Burbank Heights subdivision and Lincoln Ranch subdivision are to have constructed wetlands	(North Aspelund Industrial Park expansion) (North Aspelund Industrial Park expansion) None None	1 (Transand Inc. incorporates a portion of a wetland which was formerly part of Parlby Creek before it was channelized) None 1 (Transand Inc.) Transand Inc.'s stormwater system is proposed to be a dry pond because the footprint of the pond is less than what is recommended to sustain a wet pond	None None		X
7.6.1	2	Number of referrals received by the County, and any cases where alternatives were sought as a result of County recommendations, for current year	None	2 (Altalink Central East Transmission Project, Red Deer Area Transmission Project)	None	None		X
7.6.2	2, 4	Were there any instances where there were difficulties getting a provider to service a development?	Difficulty with Telus providing services (A problem province wide, urban & rural). No instances where utilities have not been identified at the concept stage	Difficulty with Telus providing services (A problem province wide, urban & rural). No instances where utilities have not been identified at the concept stage. Burbank Heights will not have Telus hardwired service. An "Air-card" will be utilized for phone / internet services.	Difficulty with Telus providing services (A problem province wide, urban & rural)	None		X
7.6.3	2	Cases where the County allows for utilities to be installed/located outside of a designated utility right-of-way; what were/are the reasons for this	None	Burbank Heights was granted a small relaxation so that electrical services could be provided to the development. The existing alignment of the services required a relaxation.	None	None		X
7.7.1	3	Number of permits issued for macrogeneration projects	1 (MET Tower)	None	One inquiry regarding a solar farm	Joffre Solar Park project conducted		X
7.7.2		number of permits for relaxations.	None	None	in the Joffre area. They have initiated their Alberta Utility Commission (AUC) process and intend to start the process of County approval in spring of 2020 None	public consultation prior to submitting Development Permit Application None		

		Suppo	rt innovative and effic	ent infrastructure and	l technologies			
	Related	How is success and/or implementation					How are v	ve doing?
Policy	Supporting Statement(s)	upporting measured?	Findings (as of 2017) Finding	Findings (as of 2018)	Findings (as of 2019)	Findings (as of 2020)	Needs Work	On-track
7.7.3 7.7.4	3	What initiatives or projects is the County currently/planning to be involved in with regard to the promotion and support of energy efficient buildings and construction	None	Staff Training in Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED)	Staff have become certified in Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) for	Joffre Solar Park project conducted public consultation prior to submitting Development Permit		X
		Number of development applications in which one or more aspects incorporated energy efficient construction and management, for current year	None	None	Neigbourhood Development None	Application		
7.8.1	2, 4	Number of applications for telecommunication towers, either new or additions/expansions, for current year	None	None	2 applications (1 was withdrawn)	2 applications		Χ
		Number of applications for telecommunication towers which required public consultation for current year	None	None	2 applications			
7.9.1	2	What current agreements and/or initiatives is the County currently involved with in partnership with neighbouring municipalities and private entities with regard to regional solid waste management	Lacombe Regional Solid Waste Commission		X			
		Number of applications for businesses/operations related to solid waste management for current year	None	None	None	None		
7.9.2	2	What projects, initiatives and/or contributions has the County been involved with to support health care in the community	Family and Community Support Services, Alberta Health (Mirror)	Family and Community Support Services	Family and Community Support Services	Family and Community Support Services		X
7.9.3	2	What projects, initiatives and/or contributions has the County been involved with to support emergency and protective services both within the County and adjacent municipalities	Mutual Aid with neighbouring municipalities, Joint Use agreements for equipment & buildings, Lacombe emergency partnership, Protective services between Alix, Bentley, Clive & Eckville	Mutual Aid with neighbouring municipalities, Joint Use agreements for equipment & buildings, Lacombe emergency partnership, Protective services between Alix, Bentley, Clive & Eckville	Mutual Aid with neighbouring municipalities, Joint Use agreements for equipment & buildings, Lacombe emergency partnership, Protective services between Alix, Bentley, Clive & Eckville	Mutual Aid Agreements with neighboring municipalities, Joint operation fire services agreements, joint use agreements for building and equipment, Lacombe Regional Emergency Management Partnership, Lacombe County Mutual Aid Organization (Industry Partners), Membership in a Regional Emergency Management Team, Protective Services contracts with Alix, Bentley, Clive and Eckville		X

OUR MUNICIPAL LEADERSHIP

			Foster strong	municipal leadership				
	Related	How is success and/or implementation					How are v	ve doing?
Policy	Supporting Statement(s)	measured?	Findings (as of 2017)	Findings (as of 2018)	Findings (as of 2019)	Findings (as of 2020)	Needs Work	On-track
8.3.1	1	Date of annual review of Municipal Development Plan	January 2, 2018	January 2019	January 2020	January 2021		X
8.3.2		Identified misalignments between the LUB and MDP	Definition for "Specialized or	Definition for "Community Facility"	Add "Agri-tourism Business" to LUB	No misalignments found		
8.3.3		Number of amendments carried out, for current year. How many	Intensive Agricultural Operation"	Limit size of Cannabis Production	Clarify "Bed and Breakfast" in	2 MDP amendments initiated by		
8.3.4		were County initiated, how many were publicly initiated	Clarify "Agricultural Service Facility"	Facilities in the Agricultural 'A' District	Agricultural 'A' District	County		
			Outdoor storage in the Agricultural 'A' District has no limit in size	1 amendment initiated by County Add accreted land setback from original lot line to the Agricultural 'A' District and Recreation 'PR' District 1 MDP amendment initiated by				
			1 amendment initiated by County		1 MDP amendment initiated by			
					County			
8.4.1	2	Publish date of annual MDP review document	March 2018	February 2019	February 2020	March 2021		Χ
8.4.2		Specific amendments carried out as part of the annual review	Regular clean up items	Regular clean up items	Regular clean up items	Regular clean up items		
8.4.3		Mediums of communication used to notify community	Addition of definition for	Change to Policy 3.6.1 clarifying	Addition of Municipal Subdivision	Amendment of ALUS program		
			"Specialized or Intensive Agricultural Operation"	that three (3) titles is only under Agricultural 'A' District zoning	Policy Addition of Telecommunication	Clarification of Boundary Adjustment Subdivisions		
			Amendments as a result of the	County News, Local Papers, County	Tower Policy	County News, Local Papers, County		
			Municipal Government Act changes	website, Facebook	County News, Local Papers, County	website, Facebook		
			County News, Local Papers, County website, Facebook		website, Facebook			
8.5.1	3	Number of referrals to adjacent municipalities for current year	11 developments	10 developments	18 developments	4 developments		Χ
			2 subdivisions	0 subdivisions	6 subdivisions	2 subdivisions		
8.5.2	3	Number of Intermunicipal Development Plans and/or agreements updated for current year	2 updates in process (Town of Eckville, Village of Alix)	3 updates (Town of Eckville, Town of Bentley and the Village of Clive)	2 updates in process (Blackfalds, and Buffalo Lake)	1 updated IDP in process (Buffalo Lake)		X
		Number of new Intermunicipal Development Plans and/or	Lacombe Intermunicipal	No new IDPs adopted, but Sylvan	1 update done (Gull Lake)	1 updated IDP done (Blackfalds)		
		agreements for current year	Development Plan and Joint Economic Agreement adopted in	Lake IDP has been initiated	2 new IDPs in process (Ponoka	1 new IDP done (Ponoka County)		
			June 2017		County, and Sylvan Lake)	1 new IDP in process (Sylvan Lake)		
8.5.3 8.5.4	3	What annexation processes are underway, are they in alignment with an existing intermunicipal development plan and/or agreement?	None	None	None	None		Χ
		Were any revenue-producing lands annexed/proposed to be annexed?	None	None	None	None		
8.5.5	3	Number of referrals to adjacent municipalities for development,	11 developments	10 developments	18 developments	4 developments		X
8.5.6		subdivision or other endeavors	2 subdivisions	0 subdivisions	6 subdivisions	2 subdivisions		

OUR MUNICIPAL LEADERSHIP

	Foster strong municipal leadership									
Dolicy	Related Policy Supporting Statement(s)	How is success and/or implementation	Findings (as of 2017)	dings (as of 2017) Findings (as of 2018) Findings (as of 2019) Findings (as of 2020)	Findings (as of 2020)	How are we doing?				
Policy		measured/	Fillulligs (as of 2017)		Tillulings (as of 2019)	Tillulligs (as of 2020)	Needs Work	On-track		
8.5.7	3	Number of new and/or updated joint-use agreements Total dollar amount contributed by the County for the current year	2 \$1,073,875.20	None \$1,999,974.89	1 new agreement (Town of Sylvan Lake) \$1,912,538.86	4 new agreements (Lacombe Athletic Park Association Cost Sharing, Lacombe Performing Arts Centre Cost Sharing, Fire Services Agreement Summer Village of Gull Lake & Town of Bentley and Fire Services Agreement Summer Village of Sunbreaker Cove & Town of Bentley) and 3 updated agreements (Town of Blackfalds Recreation Cost Sharing, City of Lacombe Recreation/ Cultural Cost Sharing, Bentley Recycling Facility Cost Sharing) \$3,187,108.75		X		